**Past Engagement:** 8,500+ Surveys and Comments since 2016

**Regional Transportation Demand Management**
WINTER 2018/19 - FALL 2019
Coordination with 15 local governments & service providers:
- 14 interviews
- FHWA workshop

- Improved coordination, shared data sources, and integrated user tools
- Improved roadway and multimodal connectivity
- Congestion on existing roadways

**Wirtz Dam**
FALL 2016 - SPRING 2017
18 community events:
- 850+ surveys and comments

- Safety concerns due to river crossings
- Support for river crossing at Wirtz Dam

**Regional Arterials Concept Inventory**
SPRING 2018 - SUMMER 2019
27 public meetings:
- 450+ attendees
- 2,250+ surveys and comments

- Dedicated bike lanes and off-street trails
- New sidewalks, trails, or paths
- Congestion on existing roadways

**Luling Transportation Study**
SUMMER 2018 - SUMMER 2019
11 community events:
- 420+ surveys and comments

- Pavement repairs, railroad crossings, and freight traffic
- Character preservation

**Regional Incident Management Study**
FALL - WINTER 2018
50+ stakeholders from 20+ public and private sector agencies:

- Stakeholder workshops
- Individual interviews

**Regional Transit Study**
FALL 2019 - WINTER 2019/2020
17 public meetings:
- 125+ attendees
- 100+ surveys and comments

- More frequent and reliable service
- Need more routes to and from relevant destinations
- Prioritize 620, transit, trails, and SH 71

**Regional Active Transportation Plan**
FALL 2016 - FALL 2017
22 public meetings:
- 300+ attendees
- 2,250+ surveys and comments

- Dedicated bike lanes and off-street trails
- New sidewalks, trails, or paths
- Functionality and multimodal improvements

**2045 Round 1**
FALL 2019
11 public meetings:
- 75+ attendees
- 500+ surveys and comments

- More transit options
- Want to use personal vehicles less often
- 2045 Round 2
SPRING 2020
2 community events, 4 remote open houses:
- 90+ attendees
- 1,000+ surveys and comments

- Prioritize 620, transit, trails, and SH 71
2045 Regional Transportation Plan
Survey Summary and Responses
Round One

Fall 2019
What We Heard – 2045 Survey Round 1

Surveys were available to be completed online or on paper. 501 total responses were received, with 472 surveys completed in English and 29 completed in Spanish.

In what zip code do you live? (465 responses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Number of Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78621</td>
<td>Elgin</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78704</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78660</td>
<td>Pflugerville</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78620</td>
<td>Dripping Springs</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78745</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78644</td>
<td>Lockhart</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78702, 78741</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78732</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78703, 78723</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78757</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78744</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78758, 78654, 78705, 78753</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78641, 78749, 78752, 78759</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78738, 78746, 78748, 78737, 78751</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78610, 78669, 78734</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78731, 78666, 78602, 78676, 78735</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78613, 78653, 78665, 78681, 78727, 78728</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76574, 78612, 78626, 78633, 78634, 78664, 78701, 78717, 78750, 78754, 78957, 78616</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78615, 78628, 78640, 78648, 78721, 78722, 78725, 78726, 78733, 78736, 78739, 78130, 78617</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73301, 76578, 78260, 78412, 78600, 78632, 78642, 78645, 78655, 78724, 78729, 78743, 78756, 78853, 78953, 79756, 787606, 78747</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What mode do you primarily use for your regular travel? (445 responses)

- Personal vehicle: 398
- Walking: 99
- Biking: 95
- Public transit is my secondary way: 64
- Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.: 53
- Carpooling: 28
- Public transit is my primary way: 26
- Other: 12

What will change in your daily travel by the year 2045? (Select all that apply.) (436 responses)

- Use public transit more often: 234
- Use personal vehicle less often: 208
- Walk more often: 144
- Use personal vehicle more often: 132
- Bike more often: 123
- Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often: 70
- Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often: 19
- Walk less often: 18
- Bike less often: 13
- Use public transit less often: 11
What needs to change to make it possible to use your preferred transportation method? (491 responses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Themes of Open-Ended Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transit Options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Lanes/Shared Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build More Roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve Current Roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce Traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Roads*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Specific roads include: Hwy 183, US 290, RR 620, FM 969, IH 35, Mopac

Open-Ended Responses

- Faster transportation time on public transit. More safe ways to walk to work.
- A more reliable public transportation system like an L train.
- Start replacing car lanes with dedicated bus lanes and more bike lanes. Prefer green modes of transit and stop building roads for personal cars. Add more transit routes, especially regional bus and rail routes.
- Real public transportation (not buses sharing lanes with traffic). Walkable path that actually go places.
- Maintain and improve current roads
- Public transportation is preferred. The current CAP Metro bus system is not viable for my needs, or any of my peers. A real, working, public train system is necessary to serve downtown, central Austin, and the airport.
- Need realistic transit solutions. Current bus options would take 1+ hour to get to and from work. A new HEB coming to my neighborhood is fantastic (less than .3 miles), but I fear that the only way I will visit is to drive my car there on my way home from work. How can we continue to prioritize public transit options so that its both cost effective and time-effective for more constituents...
- More roads.
- State needs to change the law that allows communities to join transit agencies. Making cities choose economic development over transit when using sales tax needs to be changed.
- Higher capacity roadways
- I’m a Realtor. My car is my business but, on my off hours I use Uber.
- Better land use regulation and more investment in transit
- More roads
### Open-Ended Responses

| More roads                                                                 | Additional lane(s) on South First Street, Congress, Manchaca, and Lamar... To keep people from cutting through the neighborhoods and endangering our children, pets, bicyclists, and pedestrians. It is crazy dangerous during the school season...  
The have a real public transportation network will reduce traffic and pollution in all the Austin area  
The 290 toll road needs to be extended from Manor through Elgin. |
|---|---|---|
| other options | Public transit options close to home  
Nothing -- I prefer to drive my car.  
Safer, better connected active transportation infrastructure. More regional investment in bus, bike, and pedestrian users. Safety campaigns. Traffic calming devices and speed enforcement.  
Need a bridge over lake Travis  
I am close enough to downtown that biking, walking are my options, but I would prefer we have other public transit options than just the bus to get to other areas of Austin. Austin need more rail lines  
Efficient public transportation  
A well-connected train system similar to the Metro in Washington DC that does not rely on traditional buses for last mile+  
Make 130 Toll Free  
Increased amount of and quality of, public transportation -- maybe something like gondolas. Autonomous vehicles available to all. More and better sidewalks and bike lanes/cycle tracks. increased densities so things are all close enough to each other to bike and take the bus.  
Public transportation needs to be made available  
More options/connectivity between public transit services. Farther reaching public transit to suburbs and office centers.  
This questions does not make sense.  
We need a real public transit system in Austin that provides real connectivity.  
More options to use public transportation in my area.  
Busses need to have timed lights, elevated platforms, and bus-only lanes. That way they are equally/more efficient than car traffic and I’m not motivated to just drive somewhere. Also more expansive bike infrastructure and more densified development.  
Allow for more mixed use infill and remove parking requirements via a new land development code; dedicate existing public right of way to public transit and bikes vs single occupant vehicles.  
Those gondolas would be awesome.  
Or a subway just build a subway already  
Safer bicycle lanes  
More and better roads in Southwest Travis County. Focus for CAMPO, the City of Austin and Travis County has been on bicycle and pedestrian solutions. More money needs to be spent on easing automobile traffic congestion and much less on bike and pedestrian issues.  
Area transit facilities to and from nearby cities.  
Better bike lane network, better sidewalks, better transportation system including light rail for Austin (preferably on Guadalupe/Lamar)  
Widening of highway 290.  
Test |
Open-Ended Responses

Better rail and and bus connections between Round Rock and central and north Austin. More bike trails.

More roads

More public transportation options.

Better rural/suburban transit or on-demand transportation

Multimodal transportation is crucial as the region develops. We seriously need to improve the efficiency and accessibility of public transportation.

Hwy 620 needs to be freeways all the way from 45 to 71. 2222 needs to be 3 to 4 lane each side between Hwy 620 and Hwy 360. Connections between 620 and 2222 need to be fly overs.

Traffic planning & better roads to handle the huge increase in traffic

More and larger park-and-ride stations further from Austin, e.g. Circle C, Belterra, Dripping Springs, Driftwood.

We need more transit options. I’d love to see a rail corridor from Marble Falls to Austin along Hwy 71.

More freeways less roads with signals or more synchronized. 35 needs to be a thru road with many additional lanes to ease congestion and help vehicles get through cities. The air pollution from vehicles idling in Austin is not healthy. The roads need improvement today.

More of an emphasis needs to be put on public transportation and parallel routes to IH-35 and other major roadways in the CAMPO Area. Nothing can be done to improve IH-35 and money needs to be spent else where to improve overall circulation through and around the CAMPO study area.

Continued implementation of the bike plan.

Transit (bus and/or train) extension into Pflugerville that has multiple drop off locations within Austin.

Discounts for public transportation

I would rather not drive as much, but the alternatives are not well supported in central texas. Bus lines are good but also limited. I think that I would use alternatives to driving if getting from point A to B was cheaper or faster. The speed is the main issue, since public transport can be significantly longer trip.

Loops around city so traffic can flow. An inter and outer loop are needed. Traffic now can only flow north and south very poor flow east and west downtown or even 5-10 miles north and south of downtown.

Less toll roads

Local buses

More distant locations available by transit

Lack of safety is the main impediment to my free travel by walking, biking, and transit, as I want to do. The most regionally significant transportation problem is safe street design, safe sidewalk access, and safe places to use vehicles – including cars, trucks, bikes, scooters, and future vehicles.

More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch. PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE ADD ROADS. Currently there are only 2 access points, both of which go onto the gridlock-plagued RM 620. Please add 2 roads deep in Steiner that go to 2222 and Lakeway/Bee Cave. It takes me about 10 MINUTES just to get out of Steiner Ranch from the Grove. This can include THREE school zones. In addition to the quality of life issue, there’s the obvious safety issue during times when all of Steiner needs to evacuate. Please add roads!!!

More bike lanes/dedicated transit lanes

Dedicate more routes to fast electric bike, some intermediary mode between regular bikes and cars.

Redirect spending from much less used modes (public trans, walking, biking) to most everyone’s choice of personal vehicles i.e.cars!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open-Ended Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated ROW for transit to provide a good alternative to highways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a transit system similar to what Vancouver, Canada has – a rapid transit system. “...Rapid transit refers to the automated trains that run above and below ground. SkyTrain consists of the Expo Line and the Millennium Line. A third system called the Canada Line provides the travel from the airport to Downtown Vancouver....”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live closer to where I work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No toll roads. Free up inner city roadways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better flow of traffic in and around Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less congestion from buses and bicycles on busy roads. Buses have very few people on them and delay traffic while the drivers get coffee etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less congestion and road construction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need more rail lines. It’s really the most effective way to move around a crowded urban space. The proof can be found in London, Hong Kong, Tokyo, New York... etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convert Mopac’s Express Lane to a general lane to help alleviate traffic congestion for all, not only the rich. Reduce the number of entrance ramps on 183 and Mopac which are currently causing vehicles to yield to oncoming vehicles (instead of the oncoming vehicles yielding to flowing traffic)!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A strategic public transportation infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down town bypass for cars traveling through Austin and not stopping. High speed train system between all the major cities in Texas and college towns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing will. It will never go to the location that I need it to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Also even if it did more than likely would not go to the location when I want.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Also will take longer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Also may have to change from bus to bus to bus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard to say - I might be dead by then. If I’m alive, not sure I’ll be driving.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’d love to not have to drive ever! However, public transportation here does not go where I need it to. If it does, it takes 6 times the amount of time compared to taking my car.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need high-capacity light rail with dense development around it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wider availability of public transportation within my zip code and between my zip code and Austin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in dedicated pathways for public transportation, rail, rail, rail, and protected bike lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus service added and Park &amp; Ride locations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It would help me to have information that makes it evening to estimate trip combinations such as bike plus bus. Or a scooter plus bus. What even a Lyft plus bus/rail. If you could figure out how to get to the rapid bus or rail line that goes to the bulk of the distance with the least amount of traffic that could make the options more attractive. Also I think carpool options should be much more utilized. Having more protected safe bike lanes will help as well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better flow of traffic East/West out of the Austin area towards Manor and Elgin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. We need dedicated bus lanes or light rail to extend throughout the community connecting suburbs to the central business centers of downtown and the domain. Light rail could be placed as an elevated rail over existing roads. Project Connect has great route maps to look at.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. I-35 needs a complete redesign with express lanes that start prior to the metro area and end after it. Allows pass through traffic to not interfere with regular. Maybe in stead of tearing down the 2nd level, it could be extended the full length of the metro?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More protected bike lanes. Denser areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Open-Ended Responses

**Go underground.** To me, a subway is the only long term sustainable method to avoid the amount of car congestion the city of Austin already experiences. If a subway is not possible, then A LOT more bus routes need to be added with regular/consistent schedules and incentives given to those who take public transportation. More bike/walking lanes need to be added throughout the ENTIRE city, not just the nicer areas of the town. If I wanted to walk to HEB from where I live right now, I’m not able to as there are only a few sidewalks scattered throughout but I’m mostly forced to walk on the street or right on the edge of it.

**Have some public transportation options!**

**More smartly connected roads with more lanes.**

**More access to bus stops that travel into and around Austin.**

**Quit spending billions of dollars to build wider, equally congested highways. Focus transportation spending on public transit, biking, walking, and intercity passenger rail.**

**Better roads.** No stoplights on highway. Tollway extended. Public transportation would need to be available more often and going more places.

**Need a easy access to public transportation, a commuter train will be a great option.**

**Public Transportation that is close to my house and does not take significantly more time than driving**

**POV**

Work needs to begin now, not in 25 years, to fix the increasing bottleneck in Manor during the morning and evening commutes. From the end of the tolled Manor Expressway portion of 290 all the way east to Old Kimbro Road, traffic is getting worse during the rush hour, and there is no relief in sight. There are no alternate routes between Elgin and Austin that are direct or that do not meander through twists and turns of two-lane country roads beside 290. Moreover, the only public transportation option of which I know is the Cap Metro express bus from Elgin to UT, which does people like me who work in the Parmer Tech Ridge area of North Austin no good.

Far less congestion in the 620/2222 vicinity. There is no public transportation in this area, so that needs to be introduced too.

**Better bike routes between georgetown and austin**

**Subway system**

Protected bike lanes and improved bicycle and pedestrian safety at crossings/intersections with major roads. Transit priority lanes on highways and roads.

**Better light rail options.** I know a lot of it has to do with bonds though. Pretty upset the 2016 bond didn’t pass to allow the train to go out to the airport. My family and I would also take it A LOT more if it went downtown on weekends during the day. Only going after 4pm on Saturdays and not at all on Sundays is ridiculous.

Safer motorist behavior, better crossings of major streets. For example, the Shoal Creek route awkwardly terminates with a low-water crossing at 38th St. It should continue for all abilities and weather to 34th Street.

**More high-speed bus or rail lines.** More long-distance bikeways.

**More protected bike lanes so I feel safer on longer bike trips.** Faster public transportation so it does not double the length of my trip if I chose public transit vs car.

I need an affordable, reliable, direct option to take from far south Austin/Hays County in to work downtown.

**More east to west travel options.**

**Better bike infrastructure, better transit service (more dedicated pathways)**

We need a public transportation system that makes it easy and convenient for everyone to get to their location. Waiting for more than 20 minutes is not convenient. Walking more than a few blocks to
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open-Ended Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>access public transportation is also not convenient. Until it’s convenient, people won’t use it. If we really want to get people out of their cars, we have to invest in making that happen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My preferred method of transport does not exist in Austin, except with extremely limited service through Leander and downtown. High speed trains, trains, and light rail options are my preferred method. With already congested roads, separate rail lines would not be hindered by traffic accidents, sheer volume, or road issues in general. They would be more efficient, scheduled and timely than car/bus transportation that rely on those same roads. This would probably be a massive undertaking since we don’t have the infrastructure or network in place, and I’m sure there would be right-of-way issues, environmental blockers and conservative public opponents. I’m not involved in our government, but I would be willing to bet there are gas/oil/car manufacturer organizations with deep pockets who also oppose public train systems.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More public transportation options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More public transportation options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer, connected Bikeways (for those of all ages and abilities). More sidewalks and pedestrian crossings. Frequent and on time public transportation options, which will make connections more feasible. I don’t know anyone who will use more than one line during a single bus trip, because we cannot depend on connections. Less car focused planning. Less prioritizing of parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOUR FUNDING NEEDS TO BE ALLOCATED IN A SUSTAINABLE WAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More available routes from Austin to Elgin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>invest in transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protected bike lanes! And dedicated bus lanes so that traveling by bus is quicker than traveling by car.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved public transit service and connections to major employers in the Austin area. This includes UT-Austin to/from bus connections and improving the frequency of their shuttle buses for faculty and staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More frequent transit that goes to more places. SAFE places to bike that is an actual network, not disparate parts. Sidewalks, everywhere, that create a network, are pleasant, and encourage walking. Prioritizing moving PEOPLE and not VEHICLES.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are rail lines possible in this area?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safer streets for all road users - connected bike infrastructure for all ages and abilities. A functioning rapid transit network.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower speed limits, separate bike lines with tree lines as buffer and increase public transit network throughout area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It needs to be door to door. I’d gladly take public transit to the airport, the doctor, the grocery store if it were simpler. Hell, I’d even drive out of Westlake to 360, or Lake Austin Blvd if you’d put a park and ride down by Red Bud.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>more sidewalks and density along main corridors. MORE PUBLIC TRANSIT !!!!!!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better, safer, faster transit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of trans for aged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 290 thru Manor is a disaster. The toll needs to be extended to the east of 973. The smaller, subdivision intersections should never have been given lights/the option. They should be right-turn only with a turn-around up the road, or made into circles. Stopping 4 lanes of traffic for ONE car to turn in or out of a neighborhood is ridiculous. Regional routes (from Elgin or Manor) need to have a hub point at the east edge of Austin -- 290/183 -- and local lines the surrounding business parks would also be beneficial. Currently, if one tried to</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Open-Ended Responses**

- take the bus starting at "Elgin Park + Ride" to "Cross Park Dr and Forbes Dr" it would take at least 2.5 hrs. Even on a typical 'bad' day, that drive is less than 45 minutes. Any thoughts of a 'rail line' that only went downtown would also do zero good.

- We have to fund and construct passenger rail in the cities and connecting the cities.

- CAMPO must stop funding roadway expansion and new roads and transition to invest in alternative transit and road maintenance.

- Build more roads in areas that make sense.

- Focus on expanded roadway capacity in western Travis County. Provide transit services on a regional basis, especially in western Travis County.

- More transit options – especially west of Austin to Bee Cave and Lakeway. And a transit option from Austin to San Antonio please.

- better public transportation system

- There needs to be a public transit options between San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, and Austin. As the region grows, everyone driving on I-35 will no longer be a feasible option. There should be a commuter rail running alongside I-35, with park and rides and connecting transit routes.

- Expand 973 north of 290

- More access to public transport – Parmer and Neenah Ave. Currently, no bus service on Parmer including to then accesses the Metro rail.

- We must have streets and highways that are adequate for the number of vehicles on the road. Do not attempt to force people to use mass transit by refusing to provide adequate roads -- First you must find a way to entice people to use mass transit, and then you can provide it where it is utilized. Use bus routes that are easily modified and have reached capacity before building permanent infrastructure for mass transit.

- Public transportation options in Lakeway/Bee Cave area.

- Northwest Loop around Austin. A continuation of SH 45 with a connection to IH 35 from 1626. No speed limits, only wreckless driving tickets. More electric or alternative fuels automobiles so I don’t have to drive one. More true mixed use/urban centers so business will quit locating in the center of the region. You'll never build roads big enough or in number if this trend continues. If more advancements in single occupancy vehicles are made, such as weather tight scooters or anti-grav sleds, I’d use those. Your study misses that trend entirely. Of course people will use a powered vehicle over a bicycle any day if it is a commute and not just purely recreation/fun. Especially if there's no shade. That's why the northwest loop would be best elevated with a SUP under it with parks and amenities under the lanes. More transit but not the cumbersome freight rail cars CapMetro had custom built. A bridge across Lake Travis is necessary if the population is going to double since much of the growth will be to the west.

- Better, safer bike infrastructureâ€”including MANY additional multi-use pathways, especially on roads where speed limits are above 35mph. Better public transit, including BRT and a central TX high speed commuter rail.

- A more connected and accessible sidewalk and bicycle path system.

- Safe routes for biking through town. I see that San Marcos has designed large roads for big cars and we can use that space to convert one lane at a time for bikes, skateboards, motorized wheelchairs. Etc

- I need more public transit options in my city. There are virtually no buses or trains commute between Pflugerville and surrounding cities, especially Austin.

- Better connectivity of public transit options and better biking infrastructure â€” separate biking networks, not courtesy bike lanes slapped on the sides of arterial and local roads.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open-Ended Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investments in bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and public transit. People need to feel safe when they're traveling without a body of armor around them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better routes that carry you further</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop building freeways! Spend planning money on transit! Densify the central city!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I'd like to change my preferred method to public transit as opposed to auto travel. The nature of east-west service in Austin makes it difficult for me to do that right now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More and safer bike lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More bus availability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cheaper monthly rates. commuter rate of over $90 is higher than I would pay to keep gas in my prius for a month to make the same amount of trips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More transit in District 8. There are 2 Commuter Express routes to get downtown. There is no transit to Bowie HS in D8 and no high frequency travel from our location the grocery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More public transit on dedicated lines (train) more infill to make walking possible, more bike lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase residential density near major transit lines (like the 803) through zoning changes, which will increase usage of public transit, making it cost effective to have more frequent and faster service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs to be quicker and easier than my current mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More convenient to take transit. More frequent service and easier transfers with less waiting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More bus routes, dedicated transit lanes, ease of access to transit stops for disabled people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A rail line in Austin is built; expanded busways; expanded bike lane networks are built</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More physically protected bike lanes and complete sidewalks. Better connected road grid. Zoning to allow mixed use so that amenities are not separated from where I live. Currently, I have to navigate roads where cars whizz by at 40 - 60 mph just to leave my street.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety needs to be improved for vulnerable road users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better Bus Rapid Transit coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better infrastructure and denser development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>light rail on guadalupe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More efficient and coordinated signal timing. Increased travel time reliability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Give the City of Austin proportional representation on CAMPO. The economic job center and most populous city should have proportional representation. Lakeway and the burbs have an outsized influence to encourage inefficient sprawl and lock people into automobile-centric lifestyles, that ain’t freedom buddy. But also, mandate transit supportive density land use codes for any city receiving public money.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More convenient transit options near my home. I work in downtown Austin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t want to use my car. Make Cap Metro more frequent and efficient on ALL routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More compact and connected land development. A more suitable distribution of road space to support all individuals who need to get around.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower car speed limits, dedicated transit lanes, connected and protected bikeways, complete sidewalk network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sidewalks, safe intersections, 15mph max carspeeds in neighborhoods, protected bike paths, woonersfs, roundabouts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build rail networks instead of freeways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A significantly more robust public transit network, ideally something akin to Seattle’s Sound Transit 3 bond passed a few years ago (which will combine multiple forms of rail – light, heavy, commuter / regional &amp; tram – with BRT &amp; much-improved availability throughout the metro area)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Open-Ended Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suggestion</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More protected bike lanes, a fully connected bike network separate from streets, a full mass transit system that connects walkable neighborhoods, shaded streets with local businesses and housing, density!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment in light rail and dedicated space for buses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A fully-connected bike and pedestrian network, effective mass transit including rail, no parking minimums, and we should probably ban all cars. Houston will be underwater by that point, so dealing with the refugees will be important. Also, build affordable housing on that dumbass golf course in Tarrytown, and probably in the rest of Tarrytown. And any city with the word &quot;lake&quot; in their name has to leave. I hear Oklahoma has some room.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus/rail/train system that connects Austin/Round Rock/Georgetown/Kyle/Bastrop</td>
<td>My preferred transportation would be a mix of walking and using public transportation. For that to be a daily occurrence, there should be more options for public transportation or closer distances between work and home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less congestion on major highways.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would like to use more public transportation, but there are no connections from where I live to get to a bus or train depot.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need to allow and encourage better use of our central city land here in Austin to allow more people to live, work and play in a compact and connected pattern. We must align our transportation and infrastructure investments with changes in land use policy to allow more people, jobs and businesses to be located on the same amount of roads and ROW, to better serve people quicker and faster where they have many options to get around and live a higher quality of life. We will need to give dedicated ROW to transit, to move more people more efficiently and incentivize transit, while also improving mobility for all. By getting people out of their cars and dedicated part of existing ROW to those people, you also lessen congestion for people who are in their cars. Additionally congestion/market pricing for driving vehicles (based on weight) will help improve mobility results for all. We also need to develop better pedestrian and bike infrastructure (separated bike lanes) and most importantly redesign all streets to accommodate slower traffic speeds (not just lower speed limits) to make our streets safer and more encouraging for all, walkers, bikers and those in vehicles.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More investment in sidewalks, pedestrian infrastructure, protected bike lanes, bike bridges, dedicated bus lanes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More public transportation options in Pflugerville</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More car lanes instead of taking them away for bicycles or rail or any other reason.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-ages all-abilities protected bike lane infrastructure on all roads.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing at this time. I am required to travel for my job and need a 1/2 ton pickup so I must have personal transportation. We do have vehicles which are less than 2 years old and more efficient than older vehicles.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More rail!! Let’s connect all of central Texas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get rid of cars. Ban jacked up pick up trucks and brush guards in city limits. Make hit and run punishable by death or life in prison.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would like 183 to be improved from 45 S to 71. This section of road will be the only section of 183 from Lockhart to Cedar Park without Major Improvements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>build good public transportation options/trains and good bike and foot paths</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More transit options</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better sidewalks, Protected bike lanes on all major streets, more trees and shade, separate transit lanes for BRT, built out rail network</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Ended Responses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding needs to be directed to the most efficient and sustainable modes (walking, biking, transit) instead of the least efficient and least sustainable mode (automobiles) so that it becomes easier and more convenient to choose not to drive. Transportation needs to be planned in far better connection with land use than it has to date. New mobility options and technology need to be considered and leveraged to maximize community benefit and the public good.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop densifying parts of austin that get in the way of my commute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I live in Austin and the transit in Austin would need to improve substantially. We would need transit in dedicated lanes so that it moves more quickly. We would need more frequent buses going to all parts of town. Transit throughout the region would need to improve, especially connecting urban areas. Because I will be elderly, there will need to be short walks to and from transit stops and maybe circulator buses that come to my door.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need more sidewalks around town.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A light rail system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More frequent transit routes that connect across the city with easy, brief transfers. Safer bike routes that make it easy and comfortable to get around the city. Bike lanes should be buffered or protected. Dense urban-scale development and built-out sidewalk networks that allow for safe and comfortable walking to close-by, convenient destinations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620 needs to be a high speed highway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The same should be an answer option in the previous question</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved connectivity of the street network. For example, I work on S. Lamar. Between Ben White and Barton Springs there is not substantive connecting street. As densities increase on this corridor there is no forgiveness in the street network to alleviate the congestion. This goes for all modes. Pedestrian infrastructure, bike lanes nor transit can solve this problem. Our transportation network has to have connections. In ATX it has very few meaningful ones. Why is traffic so much worse in ATX than in other much larger cities? They have a transportation and street network with connections. In ATX you generally have only one route to get anywhere given your location.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better bus lanes and access. Rail/BRT (true BRT) and commuter rail connections to South (San Marcos, San Antonio) and North (Round Rock, Georgetown)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invest in high-capacity transit in dedicated lanes, add managed lanes to highways to allow for better commuter bus service, invest in park &amp; rides, add more frequent transit routes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved rail &amp; bus access to more areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In 2045 I'll be 96 years old or dead. Why can't something be done now?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional options</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build more roads</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Public transportation daily between Buda/Kyle/San Marcos areas and Austin needs to be a reality to relieve IH-35. 6-10am incoming to Austin and 3-7 outgoing. I know train transport was shot down once before but if any of the train execs drive IH-35, they might be more open to allowing commuter trains happen. Buses like Williamson County has would be great!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need more bridges across the lakes. Marble Falls has to have a bypass. Traffic is congested and if we ever have a crisis or a mass evacuation it will be dangerous for the entire region.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would like to see some type of High Speed train San Antonio to Austin, Waco, and Dallas /Ft Worth, as well as Austin to Houston to Galveston.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Ended Responses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair sidewalks; fill in gaps in sidewalks; separated pedestrian/bike/scooter facilities widen sidewalk infrastructure to allow multi-mode</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More access. I use Metro Access because I’m 2 miles from the closest bus stop. The Austin region needs a complete rail transit system.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved traffic flow by way of synchronized lights, right hand turn lanes (not use shoulder), improvement in lane markings (avoiding sudden realization that lane you are traveling in requires you to turn left only), better merging lanes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As I age (74 at this time) I would like to have more available public transportation (busing most probably) available and more available Uber/Lift service. Unfortunately (or fortunately depending upon how a party views such) density of various business, hospitals/clinics, churches and other public facilities must occur.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 1.5 hours each way and be about .75 miles of walking. I’m fit, but it’s too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| More shared ride utilization, supply, safety (including more autonomous vehicle impact). Ability to easily access public transportation. However, if I am carrying several bags or items, I will use personal car as it is too hard to navigate public transportation with many packages. Faster public transportation – we need lightrail! More bike trails in Austin that inter-connect and lead to shopping districts Clearer and less expensive driving routes Better public transit More locations and more options for public transportation, especially downtown. Better schedules from Cap Metro to accommodate working hours during the week and weekends. Also, reduce the number of toll roads Better city planning (zoning) and expand roads faster and before it is too late I would really prefer strong public transportation including above ground trains and metro systems. I really want a subway but I know we don't have the underground structure for it so an above ground system to get both north and south, and east and west would be ideal. Better public transportation. More light rail and revolving bus service to pick up at termination spots. Dedicated pathways separated from regular traffic for transit sidewalks and bicycle lanes need to be added or maintained. expanded roadways and addition is flyovers over intersections PFlugerville and Austin bus systems need to work together Better roadways or a safe, efficient and effective mass transit option Transportation funding needs to be focused primarily on sustainable & congestion free modes, like public transit, biking and walking, instead of being devoted almost entirely to expanding roads that we know won’t relieve congestion, improve mobility, or lower travel times, since history shows that expanding roadways worsens congestion. Less assholes on the road Public transit needs to include rail (light rail, monorail, and/or subway) with extensive coverage and frequent trains. All other major cities in the world have this, even in developing countries. Might there be a way to at least start more development with a public/private partnership?
**Open-Ended Responses**

Mixed-use developments (commercial, office, residential) would help to encourage walking more often.

- faster and more dedicated bike lanes
- GOOD mass transit (why didn’t the toll road include passenger rail right of way???) And jobs moved from downtown to the surrounding areas.
- train!!!!!
- Infrastructure buildout
  1. More bike friendly streets.
  2. Train to Airport.
- Better highways
  **BUILD MORE ROADS. SYNCHRONIZE TRAFFIC SIGNALS. NO MORE TOLL ROADS. NO MORE AUSTIN RUNNING THINGS.**
  - Need more east-west expressways, ramp from 35 to 45 eastbound, ramp from 45 to 35 southbound, need more train stops and SIDEWALKS from train stop at howard lane to office park at 4616 howard lane, traffic light at 4616 howard lane entrance (several accidents here)
  - CAMPO and other entities shifting road investment to public transit investment
  - More connected and denser development patterns
  - Better bike infrastructure
  - Fewer drivers on the road. But I’ll be 95 in 2045 so who knows if I’ll still be driving or what new methods might be available. UBER and LYFT weren’t around 10 years ago. And autonomous cars might be the main transmission methods.
  - For the government to quit killing inventors of vehicles powered with alternative fuels
  - We need access to public transportation from Lakeway/Bee Cave area into Austin and then functional public transportation within town.
  - More sidewalk and bike lanes SEPARATED from the road since drivers don’t look at the road (they look at their phone instead). As of today, it’s to dangerous to bike or walk. I would already be running/walking to and from work if it wasn’t so dangerous on 620.
  - Roadways must be expanded and new highways constructed, so that persons living in affordable housing in suburbs can travel to isolated destinations within congested city core.
  - I have a highly variable work in Austin, around Texas, and up to Chicago. I wont change, kind of a false outcome assumption here.
  - Remove the bike lanes.
  - Better highways, smarter traffic control devices, more available and safe downtown parking, better interactive communication between vehicles
  - Do NOT remove existing car lanes. Build all the bike infrastructure you want by widening sidewalks and painting a stripe that separates pedestrians from cyclists.
  - Additional rail frequency and capacity
  - Better planned highways, taking into account future growth (which Austin has never done). Make 360 a true highway with no traffic lights. Also, get rid of 90% of the bicycle lanes. They are not used, useless, and cause more car congestion. (Have you ever really seen more than 1 bike a week using the bike lanes on Burnet??)
  - survive to retire and ability to afford to still live here...
- Better roads.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open-Ended Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We Need Road Construction &amp; Maintenance!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop pretending everyone can bicycle around everywhere. This is Texas, not Amsterdam. Our average temperature in July is NOT 70 degrees. Our city was not designed during the Roman Empire when people walked everywhere. The direction this mobility plan is going is discriminatory to families and single mothers!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nothing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The city needs to add more road capacity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge over lake travis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More highways or public transportation needs to be on-demand throughout the city. The main reason that people do NOT want to use public transportation is that it is NOT available on-demand when needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trains, sidewalks, safe bike lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Give us our parking back.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better highways, better center turn lanes on city corridors. Fast rail between Tx major cities. Don’t over build xwide bike lanes instead of cars. Don’t try to FORCE US TO RIDE A BUS. WE WILL NOT RIDE A BUS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More mass transit (light rail and regional/commuter rail) and land development prioritizing density!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More frequent transit (not waiting an hour or two to travel), longer hours (so I can get home from Cedar Park if I go to visit a friend at night), etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An L train needs to be built.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A coordinated regional strategy. A CAMPO commitment to climate change mitigation including no new personal car lanes and all new funds poured into transit and active transportation, as well as acquiring and using easements to connect suburban neighborhoods to these investments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) TxDOT (CAMPO) needs to adequately model future traffic demand. Their modeling has overestimated traffic demand in major areas of the region by 40 to 90 percent since 1988. 2) What needs to happen after future projections are realistically projected is that bottlenecks need to be addressed with 21st century solutions – protected lefts, triple lefts, !!!increased number of lanes at intersections!!!, free rights, diamonds, !!!multiple lane continuous flow lefts!!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large investment in rail transport. Stop throwing money at reconstruction and tolling of existing corridors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>take away lanes in heavy interior corridors and replace with rail / dedicated bikeways. But if I cant shower @ work, i wont be able to capitalize on that oppy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continued technology improvement in cars and home internet capability. Growth in services that reduce individual driving.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More roads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See answer to Q4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build more roads. Non-leftist city council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>higher capacity roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widen major lanes on our highway. Mass transit needs to become a reality sooner vs later if it’s going to work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>investment in transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love the bike lanes, want to see more going East and West in South Austin, under/across I-35, even out to the Airport. Utilize advances in pavement science to increase longevity of urban roads, prioritizing the matrix that lasts the longest. DO NOT STOP TRYING TO GET US LIGHT RAIL, PLEASE!!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Ended Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More highways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People need to become less dependent on personal transport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>better options to stay out of traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>park and ride options near Steiner Ranch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My husband and I commute together most days -- a HOV lane would be transformative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183 needs to be wider or double decked. 290 toll needs to be built</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transportation has to be on offer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased shade, increased separation of all modes from single occupancy cars.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional roads in western Travis county including a bridge over lake Travis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make a huge investment in a train system and in multiple additional crossings of the Colorado River from west of Austin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wider streets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>more investment in bike infrastructure and bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated bus lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased investment in Public Transit, increase incentives for alternative transport methods including ride sharing and public transit options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility to public transportation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM 969 desperately needs additional lanes to let the traffic flow between Hornsby Bend and Austin city limits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See response above; stop funneling funds to new roads and instead prioritize maintenance, public transit, and active transit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More public transportation options that actually work well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A lot. A complete societal turnaround of fat Americans thinking differently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If I had access to a bus route that wasn't a 2-mile walk from my house or have to drive to Southpark Meadows to park my vehicle, I would take public transportation. It would also be helpful if public transportation didn't take MORE time to arrive at destination than creeping along the interstate in my car.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More focus on southwest Travis County.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better public transit, better zoning/land use policies in the urban core.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subway, tram.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding lanes to most of our streets and highway. We really need some more roads to get around the main roads and highway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convenient bus and train service to central Austin and the domain area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better planning, better roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend CAMPO bus service to Bee Caves Rd/Loop 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park &amp; Rides connecting to express bus and transit options in the central city; rural transit enhancements offering service to general population and not just aged and disabled residents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better and sufficient freeways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better handling of the traffic congestion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Ended Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend Manor Toll Road to Elgin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don't understand what this question is asking or how it is supposed to be different from Q4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More emphasis on mode options especially public transportation and our arterial network. Continued shift away from auto dependency. Same response as Q4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We have a glut of car-priority space and most of it is terribly designed. We should focus most of our regional efforts on retrofitting, fixing, and bringing up to modern multimodal safe design standards, upgrading right of way to better throughput uses, such as transit priority lanes, and ending all car subsidies, such as the preference for &quot;free&quot; lanes, required parking, and gross negligence in allocating most of our public subsidy to encouraging car use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public officials to plan transportation according to what the PUBLIC CHOOSES! The vast majority of people choose personal vehicles. You already know this and don't need a survey to see it! Shorter Travel Time, Weather Considerations, Route Flexibility, and Convenience are what people want. Personal Vehicles win on all counts! Stop overspending and thereby wasting money on little used modes! Spend the money on what the PUBLIC has CHOSEN! People in public service should plan according to what the Public wants, not what you want the public to do! Thank you for listening.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Open-Ended Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Victim of traffic congestion as private vehicles. Dedicated ROW for mass transit is the only solution for our projected future growth. Only if we demolish all homes and businesses in favor of endless road construction will we be able to drive our way into the future.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Having a rapid transit system available would be my mode of travel in and around Austin if it were available. I wouldn’t need my car for much at all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More sidewalks and bike roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poner mas transportacion de capitol metro para otras cuidades como georgetown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More options and times of transport from manor to downtown austin area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better traffic management (rush hour)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Que todos las personas usan el cambio encuanto al transito si compartieran vehiculo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would like to use public transport but I cant because some buses don’t come near my area. disminuir el trafico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Would like to see more busses in different areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I enjoy everything already</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacar la licencia y practicar mas mi manejo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aprender a manejar y conocer las rutas del bus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First of all the roads are not ready for public transportation. One and two laen roads make it difficult to have bus stops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less traffic People driving more careful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More destination points and timing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Que las personas tienen mas precaucion a la hora de conducir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Que las rutas sean mas transitados. dias donde los automobiles puedan viajar en bus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mas seguridad pacios seguros</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less accidents so traffic can move faster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>less traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dejar de usar el vehiculo personal menos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus from South Austin to North Austin. William Cannon/Westgate, 183/Mopac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tener un carro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Que hay transito mas rapido y mas cortas de tiempo por el trafico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expansion further south of busses, getting buses on brodie. Later running busses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More east to west highways. More connections. One more lane on I35. No tolls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para usar el transporte publico necesito haya mas recorridos a diversos lugares vecinos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Un poco mas de transporte publico. Hay poco y no hay tantas rutas alternativas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Que mis hijos crescan mas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mas senalamientos y banquetas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More roads, subways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lo verdad nada me siento comoda y bien viajando comolo hago a diario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De trabajo y hacer mas ejercicio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More public transportation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Bus stops and lines around Goldenwood to Dripping Springs/Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Ended Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Loop created ASAP - reduced congestion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- More options to carpool for work - too much time to get to and from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Parking Garages Downtown -&gt; Need more - Long walk to get to transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Y&quot; @ Oak Hill N - S capacity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Improve the safety of the major highways in the region
2. Improve the capacity and throughout of US 296 West
3. Improve the capacity and throughout of MoPac especially the express lane

A good light rail system, which also connects the suburbs and should be affordable.

A more direct/affordable public transportation option Example Rail system Also more Freeways w/out traffic issues caused by lights (Example oak hill area w/ William cannon)

Austin Friend takes you to Doctor's appointment Daughter & son take to every store & church CARTS to Austin could be possibility in future.

Better bike and transit infrastructure. Support increasing density and not incentivizing urban sprawl. The more dense the city is, the more it can support public transit.

- Better options available for individual vehicles - Rideshare, van pool, etc.
- Better planned dedicated transit routes & pedestrian connectivity
- Better roads and more convenient roads. Make roads more assessable (sp) for all-
- Better traffic control
- Better walking/biking paths in Dripping Springs - that pass through neighborhood, instead of just alongside major roads (noisy/fumes/too far to walk).

- Bike lanes, bus service and bus stops.
- Bike path added

Build subway, elevated trains Carbon tax Electronic Road Pricing (congestion charge) Buy electric bike

BUS LANES ON RIVERSIDE OR BUS LANES ON GUADALUPE MAKE 350 EVERY 15 MIN RR
SCOPE 17 SERVRER TO MONTOPOLIS TWO-WAY CYCLRWAY ON MONTOPOLIS DR EXTEND
MAKE OTHER TRAIL TO FM 973 REPLACEMENT “CONTRS CCO CRBRM BEFORE RESORE SUDAL” CREEK TRAIL MAKE WALLER CREEK TRAIL 100% "BFRADLE” AND AOA-COMPLIANT SLOW THE CARS CITY WIDE

Bus service to Austin and San Marcos. Include night service to Austin for concerts, etc. Circulator bus in Lockhart.

commuter rail Elgin/Austin
easier access

- Electric vehicle - Adding safer bike lanes on streets like Hamilton Pool Rd, Fitzhugh, McCullough - Adding capacity along MoPac from N. Austin to S. Austin -improving flow at Oak Hill Y -trans getting to/from RR & Georgetown and South to Buda, Kyle, San Marcus -Considering express lanes like MoPac -Modeling service roads like Houston -Managing access from neighborhoods

Faster traffic lanes, no charges, like 20-40 mph faster than everyone else

First Baptist Church > miss stopping Church Van > “first” van no to nighttime church activities call son in Pflugerville/Wells Branch for longer trips. Wish I could “owne” again! need more nighttime transportation options. People don’t see well. CARTS would use nighttime sence. Govt and accountability long “term” to ATX
## Open-Ended Responses

Flying cars. In which case I would still want my personal vehicle. I would enjoy the ability to teleport. Possibly off planet.

- gotta go long distances – if convenient he would be interested in other modes
- Have lane increase

Hwy 95 between Bastrop and Elgin needs to be 4 lanes. Improve traffic flow from Hwy 290 in Elgin to Austin and focus on issues in Manor. Improve the county roads lacking funding $200,000 yr. budgets not enough. More bridges over the Colorado river. Train from Bastrop, Elgin, McDade, Elgin, Manor to Austin, and back to allow commuting and trips to the city and back. Hwy 2336 from Hwy 95 to McDade needs illumination and shoulders wider deadly road.

I admire your initiative. Public Transportation will need to be convenient, which presenteth a unique set of challenges, particularly in rural areas.

I am 64 years old. At this point my vision permits me to drive, but I am already avoiding driving at night because of changes in my depth perception. What will I do for groceries without the ability to drive? A Lyft adds a prohibitive increase in costs for groceries — especially on my fixed income, and I can’t possibly walk the 8 miles roundtrip. Even now, I would happily take public trans. to Austin and to DS downtown. I’d like to see bus service on 290.

I believe as ride sharing + Uber/Lyft options evolve I should be able to travel around town.

I have two children, so between school trips and extracurricular activities, I use my own vehicle.

I live outside Cap Metro service area and must travel 15 mins. to closest park and ride; additional transit service in Pflugerville connecting to Tech Ridge.

I work out of town and need the flexibility to come back to Lockhart for kids needs, etc. so I do not see public transportation as a daily option. I would use public transportation more locally if available.

I would prefer to use public transit, however it’s unreliability makes the process difficult and building my schedule around it not feasible. My personal safety has been at risk multiple times while riding the bus — this does not deter me now but if I were not a young fit male, this would be a bigger issue too.

Increased train coverage (multi city + Intra-Austin)

Just being able to stick to transit schedule that is already established. I get a more dependable vehicle to not have to entirely depend on public transportation so much.

Keep working to make sure I make money to maintain my transportation or keep upgrading it.

Keep working, get another raise and be on my way. Hopefully with a Lincoln Navigator.

lack of connectivity in area

Less traffic

less urban sprawl, more walkable communities

lighter traffic

making rail or bus systems more frequent.

Mass transit (bus) is today my preferred choice of movement in Central Austin. I would like to have regular bus service options between Austin and San Marcos. Occasional activities in San marcos and the University, Texas State are difficult to attend by automobile — I-35 and parking problems in San Marcos.

- More and more accessible transit — wider range of types of better access to those — More and better protected bike lanes I see S. Congress/Oltorf

more availability of rideshares would use Lyft or Uber or rely on rides from her children
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open-Ended Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>more bike lanes, protected bike lanes, shared use paths. More sidewalks on all streets. High capacity mass transit on major corridor – good but network feeding into high capacity network. Traffic calming – slower vehicle speeds  Safety initiatives - Vision Zero</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Bike Lanes. More easy public transportation into Austin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| more development into a railsystem linking rural areas to urban city centers with a properly functioning public rail network. trains the way of the future :-|)
| more efficient public transportation, more connected. more options for biking to transit – multimodel options. Biking to work is too hilly & too far, but biking to a bus stop is not safe – no protected bike lane at Lakeshore & Pleasant Valley. From DC – buses, metro run w/ more frequency. It was easier. would sacrifice sometime to use more eco-friendly options but right now it would be a 1.5hr commute vs. 20 minutes. |
| More frequent and reliable service on the SH 71 corridor |
| More lines to dripping springs & Austin connections  Commuter line preferably |
| more options - train, subway ideal |
| more options between Austin and Hays.  Roads – looking at Western Travis County |
| MORE public transit development that places housing near amenities, shopping, medical, etc.  local bicycling |
| more public transit options |
| MORE Public transportation  traffic |
| more Rail & Transit  good effort now but too late  belt way that goes around Austin  360 should be like MoPac |
| more rail lines, need to increase sevice |
| more rail transit, more shade at stations, platforms and bus stops |
| more roads/Hways |
| More transit options |
| Move closer to city |
| Moving to safer areas  –Less exposed and vulnerable, safety wise  –safer, protected bike lanes  Public on-demand transit, integrated w/ tech app |
| New routes; public transit w/ access to food, theaters, entertainment  – Route out to COTA Underground Subway |
| No more Toll Road fees, more lanes on highways |
| None |
| Not driving @ nighttime  Horshoe Bay  son drives me  HEB Marble Falls  Granite Shoals Marble Falls  CARTS - only they unavailable  Doctors Appointment LLano |
| Nothing. I use my car daily |
| -People changing mindset  –Transit system like Chicago, NYC, etc. |
| Public transit, although I will use taxi, UBER, etc. more often |
| Public transportation availability out to Dripping & along RR12 |
### Open-Ended Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Transportation in rural areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular Service to A stop in Dripping Springs to Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ride share to various employees around Austin. Bike pads for locations more than 1/4 mile between stops. More extensive Rail options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe bike routes lower speed limits protected bikeways connect ivfts more bus lanes better buses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety on roads &amp; public transportation. More public transportation during daylight hours.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuttle Services for Elderly widen roads for safety shoulders car pool ln continues wider roads for safety shoulders Enforce speeding violations offer tax Breaks for car pooling. Economical cars strick rules enforced for School Buses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The frequency of the rides right now I stay in an area where the bus run every 15min but it doesn't connect well after I leave the area That would help a lot try it out. safety for my kids. seatbelts. on schoolbuses as well. used to use them in high school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whatever changes are made need to be supported by adequate infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When light rail or other options become available, I would use them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wider roads, lots of traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tener mas opciones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>que aumente mi sucido</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vivir mas cerca -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>one alguien me de un ride. menos costo para el</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>demasiado traffico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necesito dejar de usar mi vehiculo personal con mas frecuencia para poder lograrlo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>que estobieran mas sercas los autobuses da mi casa asi Y de los lugares que Frecuento para que Fuera mas Fácil porque estan muy retirados.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower the cost of gasoline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need to live closer to my place of employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have railroad transit, to have less traffic and control smog.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is no public transit near my home. Having a train system or a rapid transit that is more accessible would be nice. Also having carpool lanes on the highway instead of toll lanes would encourage less personal vehicle use. And more bike friendly major roads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muchas personas no puedan pagar un vehiculo personal, seria muy con veniente contar con un transporte publico.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuevos caminos de comunicacion y tal vez calidad en la construccion de puentes. Mejores opciones y mas variedad de transporte publico.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promocionar y ofertar el uso de bicicleta obvio con opciones en la creacion de nuevas vias/caminos en los suburbios.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Que las carreteras tengan espacio suficiente para peatones, o bicicletas, asi poda hacerlo mas seguro en esta momento muchas carreteras en la sona postal 78717 estan siendo construidas con pobra calidad y sin mucho espacio pasa caminar o andar en bicicleta ojala y pudiesen tomar en consideracion las sonas seguras para caminar no solo ayudaria el mejorar el trafico si no tambien la salud de la comunidad.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Where in central Texas do you currently see the most transportation needs? How can that need be addressed in the next 25 years? (450 responses)

Locations and Areas Mentioned in Open-Ended Responses

- Austin Area (General): 109
- Surrounding Counties: 70
- Connections to Major Cities: 50
- Specific Roads*: 48
- I-35: 45
- Central/Downtown Austin: 39
- North Austin: 26
- West Austin: 25
- South Austin: 18
- Mopac: 18
- East Austin: 16
- Airport to Downtown: 8

*Specific roads mentioned include Hwy 183, US 290, RR 620, FM 969

Major Themes of Open-Ended Responses

- Transit Options: 157
- Improve Current Roads: 67
- Build More Roads: 38
- Reduce Traffic: 38
- Bike Lanes/Shared Use: 36
- Other: 35
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Open-Ended Responses</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>We have transit to neighborhoods outside Austin but not enough connections within Austin - to west Austin, south Austin, east Austin.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>definitely from the suburbs into downtown. All the jobs are downtown but it’s too expensive to live there.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecting suburban neighborhoods that have been built to prevent access via green methods to transit and trail/bike networks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major metro area bottlenecks. We have enough lanes to adequately carry demand on almost all roads, it’s the bottlenecks that matter to congestion mostly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usable trails that go places.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proper striping and logical intersections on all roads for self-driving cars.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local and regional rail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local and regional rail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local and regional rail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dire need for high capacity public transit between the Domain area and downtown with regular service to bring in commuters from outlying communities. The current commuter rail is too low capacity, slow, and disconnected to be of real use. It must be upgraded and connected to an overall network. We can’t physically build the roadway capacity required to serve downtown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North to South Austin. build a more channels for high-speed traffic (another toll in the west?) + a bypass for through-traffic like a beltloop or two.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It’s difficult to envision 25 years from now. We need to invent and facilitate self driving cars which will greatly improve traffic efficiency. We need to enable and encourage more people will work from home and not need to drive daily. We can encourage more shopping and errands to be done by services that will not need everyone to drive. We can manage working/living/entertainment areas to local areas so there is no need for people to drive downtown and back.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays, Bastrop &amp; Williamson counties will need more roads built.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamson County &amp; Hays County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More roads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arteries around metro areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It has to be IH–35. That by far is the worst road in our area. More improvements need to be made to 360 and south Mopac. We need a true loop around the city.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Austin has the highest need that can be addressed through rail and rapid bus with dedicated lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better access to west Austin. more highways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional lanes going North/South in South Austin, Additional non-tollway lanes going all directions on Hwys I–35, 290, and 71.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin, the city grows fast and the infrastructure couldn’t follow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Austin to downtown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin suburbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obviously the Austin metro area. Add more lanes to the highways and make one of them a HOV lane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Ended Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driving to work is stopped traffic in manor on 290 in the morning, again on the way home. 71 is also heavy. People are moving east and the roads need to reflect that. Also 183 is jam packed in the morning and evening. Making commute impossible. I have a sick child who attends dr appointments in Austin and goes to School in Taylor. Doing both is difficult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The most populated cities. The hill country and rural areas are lovely as they are. Please do not expand those roadways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional roads in western Travis County including a bridge over Lake Travis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main highways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting into various sections of town (Austin) from suburbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buda &amp; Kyle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting from the suburbs of Austin to the downtown core, and vice versa. Getting from downtown to the airport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-35 and mopac corridors. both need to prioritize bus transit. widening I-35 will do nothing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranch to market and Farm to market roads that wasn’t designed to carry the amount of traffic they are currently carrying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased public transit = decreased congestion. Provide more frequent buses, add bus routes to further reaching suburbs, add bus routes connecting to dense office developments (for example City of West Lake Hills/ southwest Austin has many dense office complexes where the only transportation option is personal vehicles, causing continuously increased congestion along Loop 360 and MoPAC.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counties other than Travis County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My road, FM 969, is currently not navigable during peak use hours. I live less than 10 miles from the Austin city limits but it takes me one hour to reach the city limits from my home near Webberville during the morning and evening traffic peaks. Subdivisions and apartment complexes near Hornsby Bend have added so much congestion to FM 969 that traffic stalls there for 30 minutes or more. I have stopped going to Austin to shop and have limited the social outings I make there.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop building new roads inside cities as well as in between then and dedicate all available funds to maintenance of existing roads, public transit, and active transit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I35. Efficient, affordable, accessible public transportation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everywhere. All over. Ever been to Europe? Why don’t we have fast trains? Why don’t we have bus stops out of the way of traffic? Why won’t our community take a bus or train? Probably because it doesn’t exist near them. We are a laughingstock.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North/South travel is HORRIBLE. I have proposed HOV lanes for buses and double-occupancy cars on major north–south routes as an alternative since the buses can have light-changing capabilities. It doesn’t make sense to put these high-end buses with these capabilities when they are behind 10 cars at a light!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrate on improving automobile traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Braunfels to Georgetown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Ended Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Austin (the largest city in the region). Austin has major transportation issues due to its history of poor land use policies and a mediocre public transportation system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel that Hwy 290 is our main issue here in Elgin. We would like to have an overpass similar to Bastrop’s. Our county line road is quite narrow also. We would like a 5 lane road with the turning lane included. We would also like to have a 5 lane road for Ave C (also known as Fm 1100).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North south travel between Georgetown, Round Rock and central Austin. Mass transit please!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin city roads not keeping up...build more roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended public transportation (bus) to Bee Caves Rd up to Loop 360.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower income eastern and southern suburban commuters into central Austin; commuters on I-35. Improved transit options along the I-35 corridor; anti-sprawl land use policies; more roadway expansion funds for eastern Travis, Bastrop, and Caldwell Counties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapidly-growing satellite cities of Austin and San Antonio (e.g., San Marcos) are particularly vulnerable to unchecked development and urban sprawl. Housing and transportation are intertwined. Cities need to encourage more mixed-use zoning to ensure that new development area become self-sufficient, thereby decreasing dependence on the city core and lessening the burden of car traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin &amp; the surrounding counties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East Travis County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend Manor Toll Road to Elgin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The suburbs just outside the Austin city limit have zero access to public transportation, no sidewalks, and no bike lanes. The farm-to-market and ranch-to-market roads that were adequate connectors passing through sparsely populated areas are increasingly dangerous with high density suburbs now congesting the narrow high speed roads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More public transportation options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit options, preferably rail from Austin to San Antonio. Rail connecting all major cities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 corridor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The areas west and east of IH-35. From San Marcos to Georgetown. It is essential to move towards improving the arterial network and public transportation options. With the amount of growth that has been seen in the region since 1997 and that is forecasted for the future a true shift in transportation options towards public transportation and others needs to be a focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Austin and surrounding areas where the population is increasing the most. Can be addressed by heavy implementation of mass transit/ bike/ pedestrian system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More east/west transit routes are needed. More transit for those living in southern Travis County and Hays County are needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to Downtown from West Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Ended Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am not sure. I would probably travel to nearby places like San Marcos, San Antonio, Dallas, Houston, if there were better options. Probably a beltway would help around Austin. In Austin, it's really hard to go certain directions. Going for SE to E or NE austin takes forever but the distance is pretty small. I think if there were major train lines with parking lots into the city, that would greatly enhance the region over a 50 year period. High speed commutes from Hutto, Georgetown, West Lake Hills, etc into Austin would be excellent and would make certain areas more accessible to living for those who work in the city.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin needs inter and out loops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The highways in big cities and widening some of the country roads between Austin and Dallas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern part to Southern part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The edges of town have lower density populations, and thus less transit service. These areas need more housing and other development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wherever there are people, jobs, or schools. Thus the largest needs are in the most dense places. Generally our system has historically focused unfortunately on places and those places have tended to be lower density car dependent places. Instead, we should focus on providing as many people as possible with safe, multimodal options, as well as facilitating a transportation and urban planning system that allows people to travel less, encouraging and allowing short trips, closer proximity between people, schools, and jobs, and location efficiency for all the human infrastructure for all the people of the Austin region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From my point of view, it’s West Austin: RM 620 from Bee Cave to 183. Also, I-35 continues to be problematic. Please help.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing alternatives to single occupancy vehicle travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need I35 to double number of lanes through downtown austin. Also, add underground bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our highways should be improved to meet current needs, as our infrastructure is decades out of date. Innovative solutions like express and HOV lanes will alleviate some of the congestion, but long-term we need to be thinking about mass transit and pedestrian accommodations. Train lines in high-use areas can accommodate many times the number of people as an additional car lane, and we obviously aren’t going to double our highway sizes as the population continues to double every 20 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin for sure, and then the cities that surround Austin – Round Rock, Cedar Park, Buda, Kyle, Lockhart, Bastrop...a rapid transit system connecting Austin to these points would be wonderful...and costly I know. We need to get started though. It’s awful driving on Mopac, and especially I-35...and it’s getting worse with all the people moving into Austin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel from the suburbs into Austin. More public transportation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Around Central Texas. but with toll roads our Fucktarded GOP Texas leadership is literally going to imposed worsening traffic conditions by continuing to toll roadways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2222/620, as well as all along 360. Major choke points. Removing lights on 360, and only having turn around lanes would improve things tremendously. Traffic could then constantly flow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Austin and US 183. No toll roads add more lanes and favor personal vehicles over mass transit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highways going north/south. Build a loop around the city like other major cities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Ended Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| I 35. There is so much growth along I 35 from San Marcos to George Town. I think commuter rail lines is the best solution to easing congestion. Adding lanes will only work for so long. Look at Houston for proof that increasing lanes doesn’t work. It just encourages urban sprawl. Traffic flowing from North 183 to Mopac to downtown as well as the opposite direction. Central Austin. Austin, Dallas, Houston and San Antonio. I-35 corridor IH 35 all of it Loop 360 all of it FM 620 all of it U.S 183 all of it U.S. 71 all of it No toll roads No HOV or bus lanes I might not be alive by 2045 but what Austin needs is better and more frequent public transit on dedicated right of way, not busses that sit in traffic. More rail! Elgin I only know about Austin so I can’t answer regarding all of central Texas. We need more efficient public transport; trains, subways, buses with better routes, and a reminder of basic driver’s ed to all drivers. The urban core, needs high-capacity transit capital spending. We need to expand public transportation outside of Austin proper so that commuter cars can be replaced with rail lines or buses, which will also decrease traffic across Austin and its suburbs. I see a great need for more options in transit in outlying areas such as Elgin. Traffic is always backed up in Manor going to and from work. At times it can take 25 minutes to go 2.3 miles. There is only one bus route with limited times. This is not convenient for rider participation. One idea which I like is a rail like Leander/Cedar Park area has. Along 290 from Manor EAST. Traffic is a serious congestion nightmare through Austin. Make thru trucks take the bypass routes during rush hours and discontinue fee collection for them during that time. Highways and major corridors during wide rush "hour(s)" are the worst. Congestion pricing and location efficient mortgages might help. Insurance that charges by the mile could help too. Expansion / improvement of the 290 corridor East of Austin. The toll road, for whatever reason, inexplicably stops before Manor and traffic has become horrendous. There is already too much traffic for the current transportation system to handle and with expected growth it will only get much, much worse. metrorail access from north austin through san marcos to san antonio (idealy connected through all university campuses or near them) Austin metro area. I-35. I mentioned how in the last survey question. Outer edges of town on the East/West side of Austin need more public transportation options. CapMetro has multiple routes going between North & South Austin but hardly any accessible options between far East to West. Everyone that’s in the 78724 zip code is mostly forced to take a car into downtown or other areas of the city. Roads like Parmer Ln, MLK and some neighborhood roads have basically become mini highways where you hear multiple stores of pedestrian collisions, family pets being run over, etc.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open-Ended Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urban. We need light rail!</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The interface between where the majority of people are living (suburbs) and where they work (downtown, the Domain, etc.). Too many people that are dreaming of an east or west coast metropolis are making decisions where funding is applied. I fear that funding going to nice transit options for a few urbanites will only exacerbate the gap of desperately needed funding for highways and arterials connecting our Central Texas communities. Build big roads to connect communities and get people where they need to go efficiently first, and then if funding is available, build out other transit options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Those that live in rural towns that lie on the outskirts of larger ones don’t all have accessible ways of getting into the larger cities where many of them work, attend events, and see their doctors. Because of this, they take their car which worsens traffic. If those towns had a bus stop in them with access to stops with buses on various routes around the big city people would not feel required to take their own vehicle.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All modes other than personal automobile have been neglected for decades, and the focus of transportation improvements needs to be on modes that provide alternatives to personal vehicles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>290 corridor.</strong> Stoplights along it result it major delays twice a day. Need true highway with ramps on and off.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North austin.</strong> Lower or eliminate toll access fees. Implement more public transportation options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Good Public Transportation.</strong> There is nothing in the Four Points area. We don’t have a subway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Austin region to the East of I-35 will likely see the most growth because the land there is cheaper and flatter. Already, the current pace of growth in places like Manor, Elgin, Pflugerville, and Hutto is making the need for solutions increasingly critical now, not in 25 years. Moreover, talking and planning about providing new public transportation options along 290 need to move to actual concrete implementation. For instance, we’ve been hearing about a possible commuter train from downtown Elgin to Austin for some time now, but nothing seems to be getting done. Moreover, when implementing solutions, it is critical that surveys be made of the impacted populations so that planners know where most commuters who live in farther out cities like Elgin work in the Austin region. For me, I’m pretty sure that the planned train will do nothing to get me to my North Austin employer. Also, if the solution to our transportation problems is only more toll roads on wide, elevated highways that bisect cities (cutting Manor and Elgin in half, for instance), then planners are not thinking creatively enough about the future.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All major arteries in Austin. I35 seems to get all the funding, but 360, Mopac, 183, 2222, 620 are all frequent parking lots during high traffic volume. I don't understand why TX doesn’t implement more measures that other states have - limit trucks on I35 from 6-9 AM and 3-6 PM. Incentives that change behavior to encourage carpooling. Work with businesses more.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mokan corridor needs to be turned into bike path. Could also have light commuter rail.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Austin.</strong> More public transit within the city and to the suburbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Through downtown Austin.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit, both local and regional, need to receive heavy investments. It is clear that highway spending is getting less productive, with rising construction and maintenance costs. CAMPO should do more to tie into efforts to connect to the Capital Metro network and to extend CapMetro’s capabilities in outlying areas via transit priority treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>US 290 in Elgin and Manor</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Open-Ended Responses

I like that there is a light rail station near me at Lakeline Plaza, but I feel bad for the people down south. You need an option for the folks south of the river to get to downtown. And for everyone to get to the airport.

**Center city Austin.** Put the money into high-speed rail on the major corridors instead of building climate-killing roads in suburban and incorporated areas.

**Austin to San Antonio, Austin to Manor/Elgin.**

To and from and around within the communities surrounding Austin — Bee Cave, Lakeway, Dripping Springs, Buda/Kyle, Del Valle, Manor, Pflugerville.

Highway 290 from 130 Toll Road to Houston. Build a huge overpass over Manor or a bypass around it. Increase the number of lanes from 130 Toll Road to Houston. We need this done way before 2045 because they are building over 4000 houses around Elgin, Texas and most people still work in Austin, Texas. There is so much traffic from East of Elgin and from Elgin and the surrounding communities going in both directions that it's horrible. We need relief.

Widen 290 through Manor and maybe Elgin. Do something with the traffic on 973, maybe lights? 973 needs at least a few turning lanes and a way for the neighborhoods to safely turn onto the street.

**Every metro area needs massively increased public transit service of all kinds.**

more public transport - preferably more metro rail south

Frankly we need more public transportation options in Austin, and dedicated rail lines would be the most efficient to avoid adding more congestion to our roads. I would love to see high speed rail, and more light rail options in and around Austin. If I could hop on a train to get from West Austin to Downtown or the Domain I would do that in a heartbeat. I’m not a fan of public buses, so would not intent to use those more currently or in the future and I do not think that mode of transportation speaks to the majority of citizens in Austin. For anyone living 10+ miles from downtown Austin, biking is not a safe or timely option either. Our resources for transportation in the suburbs of Austin only gives us one option - personal vehicle. There is nothing else to rely on and our roads will continue to become more congested as time goes on. There’s only so many lanes we can keep adding and so many highways we can keep building. I view Austin as a progressive city, but our transportation options are archaic and don’t fit the general mantra of our city.

around Georgetown - public transit from Georgetown to Austin - more times/night/weekend trains from North Austin into downtown Austin and popular destinations in downtown Austin so those not comfortable driving into Austin (senior citizens) could attend more events

**Austin needs much better public transportation, both in city and regionally**

**TRANSIT**

**Greater Austin**

The traffic through Manor and Elgin is at a standstill at various time between 3 and 6 PM and also early in the mornings between 6 -9 AM

Where: Austin core
What: massive deployment of rail system
eastern crescent
Feeding in and out of downtown Austin.

Increase density throughout Austin to improve transportation efficiency for all networks.
## Open-Ended Responses

Regular Texans can't afford to live in Austin anymore, but we still work there, go to events there, etc. We need to solve the traffic issue. Studies show that adding more lanes (even managed ones) leads to more congestion. Build transit! Pay UPRR to use their lines, if necessary. Use street ROW. Establish last mile streetcars... or, flip the commute like in NorCal by incentivizing employers to move to suburbs... also, bottlenecks in Wilco will cause trouble by 2045.

**Manor, TX.**

I can really only speak to Austin and the suburbs surrounding it but our land use patterns and transportation need to catch up to each other and we CANNOT continue to sprawl out.

290 corridor

Within cities: Transportation corridors with more options for mass transit - rail or express bus - would help. Between cities: Rail between cities would be a significant help, but if the larger cities do not have the infrastructure to support people coming in my rail without access to personal vehicles, then the intercity rails will not be used.

Suburb areas... rail lines

Central Austin and the surrounding core, primarily between 290/71, 290/183, and Mopac and I-35. Prioritize sustainable transportation within this loop.

Neighborhoods all over Austin and don’t forget NE Austin.

I-35 has to have alternatives for north/south traffic going through central Texas, without building in environmentally sensitive areas like Barton Creek, Canyonlands, Edwards Aquifer. People are going to move here from Hays to Williamson countsis. We have to have regional alternatives as well as those in Central Austin. If Dallas can do mass transit, Austin certainly can.

trains and/or lightrail

Central Austin

Austin and all suburbs need public transportation to where they need to go not just where it’s cheap to build. Less dependency on cars and also more roads for cars.

Better routes into and out of Austin from the east and west. There are really only 4 options from Manor to get into Austin -- Parmer, 290, 30-969, or 130-71. If there were a major evacuation necessary, 290 cannot absorb all of that traffic AT Manor. It barely handles daily commuters + traffic.

Austin. CAMPO needs to fund projects for rail. That means not wasting money on freeway and highway projects.

From Austin to the east needs more roads. That is the direction growth is heading at it would be smart to not get as behind in that direction as has occurred in every other direction.

All communities must invest in active and public transportation along with proximate jobs / housing to prevent worsening car dependency that brings us closer to gridlock and delves us further into a climate crisis. Partnerships with San Antonio and all communities on the I-35 corridor must be prioritized to advocate for regional rail at both the state and local level to connect the area sustainably while also improving quality of life for all Central Texans.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open-Ended Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southern and western Travis County. Need more dedicated lanes with transit and tolling to provide greater reliability. Need to expand roadways in Central Austin, especially east to west and provide more dedicated lanes and pullouts for transit. Need a regional public transit authority. State needs to fund public transit. Need to continue expanding and extending roadways and use tolling more effectively as a traffic management tool.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The I-35 corridor. Add public transit options. Expanding road capacity (anywhere) will only have a limited and temporary effect. We must build infrastructure that makes transit and biking/walking convenient enough to draw people out of their single-occupancy vehicles. If our driving/commuting habits are the same in 25 years as they are today, congestion will rise to intolerable levels no matter how many roads are built. Start planning for and building commuter rail, from San Antonio to Georgetown, now.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Austin area north of 620 with the development of the ranch which now has Apple as an anchor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand the lands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throughout the city of Austin and the surrounding area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakeway/Bee Cave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown and close in surrounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In and out of Austin. As long as the cities in the region compete for tax base Austin will capture most of the employment and therefore choke routes in and out. The region needs to adopt a tax base sharing arrangement for the purpose of planning a decentralized growth model. That's when transit really starts to make sense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-35 corridor. We desperately need a rail network stopping at major cities along the corridor, and connecting to the airports. We also need better cycling/walking infrastructure so that people can complete their &quot;last mile&quot; using low-carbon transit. We need to significantly expand the development of multi-use pathways, which will make biking and walking safe for all ages and abilities, and cost MUCH LESS than expanding roadways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All of our major metropolitan areas. Build densely and thoughtfully. Ensure developers pay for the impact they have on users of ALL modes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAN MARCOS- we are not like Austin and San Antonio. We are small, diverse, and the city cares for its environment and people. Plan for the people, not for the cars.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter traffic from outside Austin area to Austin as there isn’t enough affordable housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need more bicycle lanes, safer bicycle lanes. Automobiles being forced to pay attention to bicyclist. Safer routes for pedestrians, motorcycles and cyclist. We need more than buses, we need sky trains and similar going to and from the largely populated areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin’s roads can’t keep up with traffic, so I would love to see more buses and trains within Austin and to surrounding suburbs/cities to alleviate congestion on highways. Perhaps even a raised railway downtown, like they have in some other larger cities?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would also love to see companies and agencies in Austin encourage or incentivise teleworking, which may help cut down on traffic as well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-35 has the most transportation needs currently. Those needs can best be addressed by the development of a train system that serves the entire I-35 corridor.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Open-Ended Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>We need a lightrail from North Austin to South Austin to get people off of I-35, and we need to couple that with separated bike/ped lanes, so people feel safe when they pursue those options.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **AUSTIN**  
Make I-35 one way. Mopac one way the other way from Georgetown to Kyle. Close all of the exits downtown. Make downtown traffic take 183.  |
| East–west transit in Austin. It is easy to get north–south but going east–west takes much longer due to transfers.  
Also: plugging light rail / elevated rail along existing corridors in Austin :) |
| The need of public transportation is in all the surrounding areas of Austin  
The needs should be address a lot sooner than 25 years from now.  
Rates should come down,  
accessibility should increase to where there are more bus stops in each surrounding area  
and buses should run at least every 15 minutes and not 6 times a day, or every hour.  
Buses should allow to carry plenty of bikes and lots of bike lanes should be built in the Austin and surrounding areas. |
| We will be greatly densifying our city. We need to focus on infrastructure within Austin that supports transit and active transportation, not more freeways and sprawl infrastructure. |
| Downtown Austin is the main job cluster with the Domain pulling a strong second. We need to get more Single Occupancy Vehicles off the road.  
We need to get more Austinites out of SOVs for non–commuting travel by increased transit throughout the City.  
We also need collaboration with locales outside of Austin City Limits to bring commuters into Austin.  
The City of Austin alone cannot build and maintain enough roads for the non–Austinites who use our roads. CAMPO needs to help us. |
| We need urban infill to create the density for mass transit. Invest in public transit and land development throughout the urban core (78704, 03, 02, 51, 57, 23)  
Along major corridors in the central city, and with vehicles such as trains to bring people downtown from outlying suburbs.  
Everywhere. It can be addressed with a significant investment in public transportation all over our region.  
Faster long distance transit. More frequent bus service. More bus lines on streets like tillery.  
If it’s not comprehensive, it will not replace cars. It must cover the whole area for people to depend on it.  
Make public transit the primary means of transport in the downtown corridor.  
Concerns about growing traffic and congestion along all major corridors, downtown commuters |
| Austin, of course, being the location of the majority of people. We should concentrate on making the city proper a place where the fastest, easiest, and most reliable means of getting around is not the automobile. We must deprioritize car traffic at every turn so that people feel comfortable making the mode shift to alternative transportation. Otherwise, our future is grim. |
Open-Ended Responses

Central Austin traffic congestion is among the worst in the state. Infrastructure investment in mass transit could move more people safely.

Train transportation between all of the suburbs and major cities, with connector routes at those areas.

North Austin to downtown

The population triangle: Houston, SA, Dallas, Austin

Roadway infrastructure that provides alternative routes and coordinated ITS systems. The needs can be addressed by funding the needed larger projects that needed to be built 20 years ago, tying the existing system together properly, addressing signal timing mismanagement by the City of Austin and other cities, ITS, and addressing affordability, one of the most important underlying causes of transportation issues.

Downtown and central Austin.

Stop subsidizing the burbs. Stop subsidizing automobile use, toll every highway.

Suburban public transit

In Central and near Central Austin we have under invested in pedestrian and cuddling infrastructure, so we need to increase investments there. We’re have too much high speed auto centric infrastructure that reduces the freedom of mobility for residents. We need to reduce highway infrastructure in Central Austin and attempt to restore our transportation gross.

Low density suburbs where the poor and elderly will live. They should be upzoned to increase density and reduce car-reliance for those who cannot afford or safely operate them.

east of IH35

Public transportation is not a reliable option to get around for the vast majority of central Texans. When the population doubles again by 2045, we can’t all drive a car into the city. We have to aggressively get people onto trains, buses, bikes, and on foot.

Campos must reevaluate its appropriations if we have any chance of fixing traffic and saving the planet.

I guess it depends on both where you mean by “where” - a specific city? neighborhood? - but on whole, the Austin area is all but certain to continuing growing at a strong rate, and in order to successfully absorb all of its newcomers, it needs to have full-spectrum, multimodal transit, and broadly encourage transport via means other than single-occupant vehicles. Considering the extent of Austin’s current sprawl, it’ll need some type of rapid transit (which could be anything from BRT to express rail) to ferry people from suburbs into the urban core. At the same time, there’s not much getting around the fact that I-35 will likely remain a core trucking artery running almost the entire length between Mexico and Canada. To mitigate its broader impact on Austin proper, some bold thinking will likely be required, along with the willingness to spend likely many billions of dollars enacting it. Solutions for it could include everything from a Big Dig-style burying of the freeway below grade and/or underground throughout Austin’s core, or potentially establishing SH 130 as a full-fledged - and *free* - bypass for trucks, even if it requires getting the road incorporated into the interstate highway system.

In the center of our cities and towns. Create a limit of development around each town to protect the countryside, zone and plan each city to be dense and walkable, connect major cities with trains, reroute through truck traffic and freight trains away from city centers.

Austin needs light rail and there needs to be high speed rail between the 4 major cities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open-Ended Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the cities where the people live. Easy, TxDOT should fund transit and stop adding freeway lanes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin/Round Rock/Georgetown/Kyle/Bastrop and build the infrastructure to handle these public transportation option.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The most transportation needs would be in Austin and surrounding areas. Public transportation into the city would be beneficial in keeping traffic within the city down and open up more opportunities to those living outside of it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements to major highways, especially connections from suburbs to central Austin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need to focus on better using the central core of Austin to give more people a chance to live, work and play in the same area so they can walk, bike and ride transit to get there and lessen vehicle miles traveled. By tying transportation and land use planning together is the only way we can support our region’s fiscal health and improve the quality of life, and create wealth for all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the suburbs. So many folks do not like driving into downtown Austin. Parking space is at a minimum, and parking prices are outrageous. So unless we use Uber or Lyft, we have no other way now or in the near future to get downtown as there are no means of public transportation in my area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need more public transportation. Rail between major cities would be awesome. Dedicated bike highways connecting the region would be very helpful.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburbs outside of Austin like Pflugerville. Can be addressed by an effort to link to existing nearby capmetro options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quit taking away our car lanes for bicycles and anything else. Quit adding more dwellings without allowing for parking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin metro area. More/wider roads has NEVER been the solution to traffic congestion in any city--it only encourages sprawl and more driving. All-ages all-abilities protected bike lanes and public transportation with dedicated right of way will move more people in the same road area in a more environmentally sustainable way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-35 of course. Public transportation that extends into suburbs. Fast rail between major cities/areas with several stops thru large metro-plex areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic flows in my neighborhood are becoming more crowded and lights aren’t synced. The major problem is business access congestion the normal flow. I drive from a Lockhart to San Antonio to San Marcos to Dallas a lot. I need more options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All counties outside of Travis County. North Austin – more non-toll highways!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop subsidizing cars. Stop building single family suburban houses. We need infill and we need to take car lanes away to make room for light rail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South East Austin Rural Areas, Bastrop, Lockhart etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin and the surrounding area trains/foot and bike paths roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit – focus on big solutions, not small band aids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need expanded expressways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need rail, BRT and safe bike lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open-Ended Responses</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The main need is to reduce dependency on the auto because it's the least environmentally friendly, most dangerous, least equitable, least efficient in terms of making use of available road space and most costly transportation option, yet today the vast majority of the funding goes to support it. A paradigm shift needs to occur to refocus investments on non-auto modes and to reconfigure the roads and rights of way to support and enhance other ways of getting around.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffics trying to get to job centers in Round Rock,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The most need is accessing urban centers, especially in Austin. That need would best be addressed with improved transit within and between cities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need a high speed rail corridor from Austin to Dallas to Houston and back.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correcting a huge deficit in public transportation options. Aggressively build a rail system. If it's oriented in a north-south direction, it can help the region’s residents access employment centers in the core without the expense of a personal car.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Texas MUST focus on diversifying transportation. Cars are inefficient and unsustainable. Priority in spending and efforts must be on effective, convenient public transportation, active mobility solutions, and urban development that supports these goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 35 and Mopac in Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin traffic stinks. They need to stop trying to force people out of their cars by jacking up costs to be in Austin – parking, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All along 360 and at 4 Points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Austin area to include as far north as Georgetown and elsewhere. Expansion of available highways and roadways is a critical element. Better engineered roadways (turn lanes, access/egress etc.) is also vital. Mass transit is good but only if it is used, which should be accurately measured before expansion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter Rail from San Antonio all the way to Georgetown!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On the surface streets connecting to the major arterials. Subdivisions that pour out onto arterials with connection on the minor roads create a massive choke point. We need to connect the road and street networks to take some of the burden off of the arterials, highways and interstates. Not to mention the emergency response implications of how we’ve allowed this city to develop. Just ask the Steiner Ranch residents from 2011 that almost died in the fires. Only to entrances/exits onto 620 from that subdivision even though it is adjacent to Riverplace subdivision that only has one access point to 2222. This is insane and dangerous. Both massive subdivisions, one with only one egress point onto 2222 and one with two egress points on 620. People will die because of this abhorrent transportation and land use planning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All areas of the Austin MSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With most of the employment centers in Austin, I think we should be focusing on commuter transit service to/from Austin from suburbs, and making non-driving modes in Austin more appealing so those people free up space on highways for people who have to drive into Austin because they don’t have other viable options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin and west of Austin, the Hwy 620 corridor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin south corridor needs more and wider roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Ended Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Also DelValle and Bastrop to Austin on 71 needs mass transit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin I-35 and MoPac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 281 widen sidewalk infrastructure to allow space for pedestrians and bike/scooter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I35 corridor, mass transport. Retraction of current car system. This is imperative.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Points area, airport, 1-35, oakhill area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Um, all areas of central Texas need better transportation options. Certainly the Travis County and those counties bordering Travis are in need of better transportation options. Especially, the outlying areas of all the counties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightrail going north and south from 71 to 183, either Congress or Lamar. We NEED more East-West corridors in Central Austin so you aren’t forced to go out of your way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the outlying areas of Austin, especially on the West side. There will be more way to navigate across the lakes and safety improvements will be needed on the current roadways. The truck traffic as well as the vehicle has increased and the roads cannot support the use.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In largest population concentrations; with highways that are the only current area transportation option (and have significant volume).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All the major thorough fares</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPAC corridor; also HWY 620 from 71 (Bee Cave) to 183N. Develop overpasses at Four Points (RR620 and RR2222). Widen RR2222 between 360 and 620, and widen RR2244 between 360 and 71. Add new buses and bike routes on 620 that can get people closer to downtown. Have you ever considered smaller vans (like Sprinter vans) to carry smaller groups of people when a large bus is not substantiated?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfortunately everywhere in and surrounding Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin- georgetown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown and especially I-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Austin city limits. Also, in western Travis County.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin and the surrounding area. Only really two ways to Williamson county by car and there needs to be other driving options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded roads to keep up with growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think there should be more focus on addressing transportation needs in the exurban and suburban areas west of Austin. Everyone knows that Travis, Williamson, and Hays counties are a transportation mess, but the areas just west of the I-35 corridor are experiencing major traffic changes without any relief. 130 went east of Austin to provide an alternative route there, but there hasn’t been a new project for the western and southwestern areas in a long time—and this is where the quality of life is arguably the best in the region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Texas. We need traffic alleviation such a public transportation so people don’t have to rely on driving themselves. I would use public transportation if a station was convenient and the train was reliable enough so that I will be on time to work. This would go a long way of getting more people out of their cars and it’ll help people who have long commutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major highways- Mopac, I35, 71,290,45th Street Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Ended Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East/west routes other than 2244 and 2222. Those roads are too congested and developed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Whole region needs alternatives to cars.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between GEORGETOWN and nearby cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>While there will be an increase in travel to and from Austin, I think a focus on travel within the surrounding cities would increase business and safety.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>big expressways to get across the city quickly. ways to more quickly traverse around the lakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need train system to move large group of people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North austin needs more public transport. And something on the lines of metro/ subway, and not just buses on the road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuting in and out of downtown Austin and the immediate surrounding areas. If not improved, congestion will increase and downtown visitors will decrease dramatically. Both roadways for personal and shared vehicles (Uber/Lyft, etc.) and new mass transit (not busing) need to be addressed, as you cannot will people to not use their personal vehicles, especially as autonomous driving evolves... personal vehicle use will increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternatives to driving for those commuting into Austin, and for those traveling around the city and metropolitan area. There is currently no choice for most people but to sit in traffic, and the lack of options forces other people who would otherwise make a different choice to sit in traffic too, making congestion worse for everyone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focusing on rail, I STRONGLY encourage a rail line from Austin/Bergstrom airport to the downtown core. I suspect this will pay for itself most quickly, both directly and indirectly (by making Austin more attractive to conventions). Then I would construct rail lines that would most efficiently/effectively compete with and remove cars from freeways. Finally, I would place rail lines that are underserved by highways. I suggest developing both light rail lines (to serve the population within Austin) and commuter rail (to serve the population coming in from outside Austin, with limited stops within the city).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>more electric mini vehicles allowed to share bike lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good, inexpensive mass transit. Will take an effort at the state level as the solution must cross multiple counties.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Open-Ended Responses**

Austin, unlike other cities, has almost no lower-income areas close to the urban core. How will low-wage service workers, students, etc. expect to be able to participate in the urban core if there is no efficient public transportation for them? There eventually will be a point where it’s not worth the time or money for them.

A collaborative approach with the necessary planning offices to increase urban density should not be ruled out - transportation issues are not just solved through transportation actions - but we must think not 25 years in the future, but 100.. 200.. 300. Yes, adding in mass public transit is expensive, laborious, and tough, but adding more roads and widening existing roads can only go so far and the best time to make the drastic actions necessary to put in such mass transit is always behind us so the best time to start is now. Austin can simply not be a global city without effective mass transit. Existing attempts are to be commended but the light rail has too few stops, doesn’t go far enough into downtown, hasn’t been developed in tandem with real estate partners to spur symbiotic development, etc. Buses are a part of the solution but any system that relies solely on buses and roads is not a full system. Have the courage to make long-term, drastic actions even if it means painful consequences in the near to medium term.

More public transportation, such as commuter trains. More highways is not the answer, they just clog up and create air pollution. Building dangerous toll/managed lane infrastructure such has been done on Mopac is worse than worthless. In addition to not solving the problem, this infrastructure is going to cause fatalities.

Major arteries running East and West, as well as improving North/South

I use the Airport a lot... I think getting people back and forth from Downtown and the Airport should be a priority. I would like to see a train that would link up to the current Capitol Metro line.


Austin is going on 1/2 a century of failed leadership and no traffic solutions. Take over and run it.

More commuter rail between suburbs and other high density areas

Stop building new roads and focus road investment on maintenance
Shift investment to inter- and intra-city public transit
A corridor commuter rail line is desperately needed
Further highlight and integrate the connection between land use and transportation and work with municipalities and counties to development in more dense, compact formats

Greater Austin area

RR620 and IH35

Austin, BUILD THE GOD DAMN LOOP AND QUIT BULFING TOLL ROADS ... nobody will use toll roads

Western Travis County.
620/71/290
We need an east-west connection and public transportation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open-Ended Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>620/2222:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More public transportation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shuttle bus to Vandegrift (instead of useless school buses) with the possibility for the shuttles to ride on the shoulders to pass the traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park and ride parking relay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike lanes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highways. Intercity rail systems. Private solutions for travel have made buses and city transportation obsolete and efficient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need more effective roads, less bike lanes, perhaps utilize roundabouts instead of so many lights. Also, in your planning, maybe think about NOT having a highway where four-five lanes come down in to two lanes. You've effectively BUILT bottlenecks in to the system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redesign I35; create an East-West highway on 290/2222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make I35 and Mopac capable of handling the volume of traffic that use them; build out functional East-west freeways from Houston through Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Austin needs major transit investments. The suburbs need commuter rail into Austin. It’s time for us to be a real city and not attempt a Houston-style nasty highways system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work with Tesla to have self driving cars and vans everywhere. Widen the bridges over lady bird lake. Make commuter rail to the suburbs so people in round rock and pflugerville can park and ride to downtown. Lease time slots from the existing railway that is on mopac and purchased used amtrak double decker cars to run service once during morning rush and once during evening rush.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The i35 corridor and the 183 corridor. I think additional light rail options would be a huge boost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop adding toll lanes and just expand the current highways. Every other city in Texas does it, so there’s no reason Austin cannot - unless you aren’t advocating for it with TxDot. Expand the highways, add more highways, turn some roads into highways. Don’t rely on public transportation. Few people take it. Few people like it. Forcing people to use it will only make them leave. People don’t work and live in the same place. People go out to eat all over town. People take their kids to private schools. People go out shopping. People go on trips and vacations. PUBLIC TRANSIT WILL NEVER WORK IN AUSTIN. Provide it as something visitors can use, and maybe some college kids or for special events. Focus on highways like Houston or Dallas-and not tolled roads. Austin must do this. It should have been done 25 years ago.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 35 through downtown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North, NW, West and SW Austin – need to do something with 620 (extend 45 to the lake at least) and finish the 360 plan with updated intersections at Mopac &amp; 183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin roads are ridiculously behind the population boom. We do NOT want to all climb into your cattle car dream. We Want Roads!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The greatest need is in Austin. It can be addressed by adding more road capacity and by removing bike lanes that are not being utilized (or are underutilized) and return them to automobile lanes. Traffic congestion has been made much worse by taking away lanes and converting them to bike ways. In many parts of town this &quot;solution&quot; has not worked and my observation is that most of those lanes are not being used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban to city and suburban to airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open-Ended Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More people are coming from Cedar Park, Leander, Pflugerville, and Kyle/Buda to downtown. You need more buses, and you need to give the people that ride these buses, on-demand service, when some emergency comes up and then need to get back to their home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In Austin for intracity transportations and regional rail between Austin and surrounding cities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown and major routes. Add lanes. Flex scheduling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>improve highways; lights off of 360; fast speed rail between Dallas, Houston, Austin, San Antonio; speed constructions (like on 183) to get highways improved quicker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin and surrounding suburbs. Add more rail lines like DART in Dallas, Washington DC Metro, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 al east necesitamos mas transporte publico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin Downtown Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>En el centro porque hay demasiado trafico y accidentes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usando el transporte publico o compartiendo vehiculo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgetown. Wells Branch Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yo pienso que en todo tejas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See more in Round rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So Austin, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>en el condado de Travis en Austin, TX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>un parque para salir a caminar y juegos para ninos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The small communities around Austin have no means of transportation other than personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the smaller towns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outskirts like Round Rock and Leander</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>En los nuevos area como Bastrop o Lockhart. No llegan buses no hay transporte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all in Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>las horas de tomar transporte es muy largo algunas veces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>en todos los cuidades porque hay muchas personas que necesitan el transporte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin Traffic Control. More light rail East to West. More stops, more connections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bueno creo que delos pueblos cercanos al centro. Traer mas transporte publico rapido y directo sin tanto parados</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More buses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I35N/Braker ln to Airport Blvd. More lanes, easier access to exits and entrances. The exits from I35 to 183 - make it easier to stay on highways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no se porque por ahora no viajo en transporte comunitario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>En las calles principales, se necesita mas acceso para poder transitar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>En el centro de Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I35 backlog better syncing of lights in Pville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional transit service in suburban areas. Use of manage lanes Implement project connect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin --&gt; San Marcos Train 15min for 2 mile commute --&gt; frustrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I35 Bridge, ring road</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Open-Ended Responses

Travis County! Biggest county in Campo should get bulk of transportation projects. Stop building sprawl"-hdrcih" projects in rural areas. Invert in transit and bike/ped options in Travis County and parts of Hays & Williamson. Rural areas should get safety improvements but focus on additional freeways and highways is wrong - cost a lot of money, unsafe, contribute to global warming, encourages suburban sprawl. Projects that reduce VMT should be funded. No additional roads or bridges across the lake - do not ruin pretty and environmentally sensitive areas.

more coming from Round Rock, Georgetown, Pflugerville - which is creating traffic. Public transportation to Domain area to tech jobs such as IBM.

I travel all over the region and beyond. Biggest needs are in rural areas. High speed rail with good frequent service to San Antonio, Houston, and Dallas. We need a lot more electric charging stations for electric cars. more rail service.

Commuter rail between Georgetown and San Antonio including Austin, Round Rock, San Marcos, and maybe New Braunfels. Regular bus service between UT and Texas State with stops in between we need sidewalks shaded bus stops safer crosswalks no front on RBO less speeding "punise mft and runs"

Central Austin traffic is very bad after school no difference after construction

Lockhart, TX

Lockhart- where I live with my son.

transportation from Lulling to Seguin on I-10 I see people walking so perhaps a few stops between would benefit

Austin. I avoid it b/c of the traffic mostly

CENTRAL AUSTIN INSIDE 183/290/360 SLOW THE CARS BUS LANES EVERYWHERE SAFER "CLCFMA' MERG NEBOORHOOD SCHOOLS TAX COMMUTERS "AGOCISE SINGCE FAMFCO" ZONING UPZONE EVERYTHING NO NEW FREE ROADS TOLL ALL FREEWAYS 1-35 OUT OF DOWNTOWN ATX

outskirts of Austin where people have been displaced due to affordability. ~ Marin works for Austin Public Health - They have a CHA -CHIP - Comm'y Health Assessment & comm'y Health Improvement Plan - that includes comm'y feedback on mobility and access to healthy living & specific strategies

transit between Travis and Hays - esp. between the two universities Wants to go to San Marcos frequently but doesn't want to park There. If this was a bus I'd take it. Would like info on TIP to emergency services

Better public transportation, options to accommodate the needs of people outside of the center of the city.

Downtown , rural

rural areas need more access

Austin area -feeder roads -more freeways -drainage for highways -have public on board for any public transit options so that they will be used

Train Coverage

Definitely public transit options expanding mainly in the Austin - Dallas - San Antonio - Houston corridors. EV stations in rural counties. Addressed w/ finding & shifting incentives in policy.

IH35 corridor need more lane expansion for that corridor limited access freeways -

Current roadways obsolete for amount of traffic Alternatives to single passenger vechials
### Open-Ended Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic in Austin, and toll road</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor populations need Public transit, along with the elderly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290 ----&gt; Dripping Springs to Austin ----&gt; to Lakeway via RR12 ----&gt; to Wimberly via RR12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see front, rather speaking, better passenger train system. Possible with nuclear energy backing it up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest area into town a train would be amazing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The I-35 corridor DAL -&gt; AUS -&gt; SA is begging for a rail system. North south rush hour travel within Austin will literally become much worse before a rail system is implemented. The BART system comes to mind with commuters driving (or hopefully biking) to remote suburban stations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better infrastructural development more restriction single rider vehicles (ie more “carpooling”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.O.V. N - S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think the greatest need is in the urban areas. Those are the areas growing most rapidly. Much of the growth is in the suburban portions and that is where the congestion is growing fastest. I think there is a need for investment in highways and transit also with attention to active transportation modes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Austin – 620, 360, MoPac Y at Oak Hill, Funding needs across all projects Dedicated lane N to South Improved roadway conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall connectivity, transport for elderly (more options outside of CARTS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are no options for travel to Austin, San Marcos, San Antonio, etc except for personal vehicle. Also, our area has NO options for bicycling locally. It is dangerous and that should change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin and surrounding areas – people getting informed, looking at what others have done and not being resistant. GET TO IT!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Austin on Mopac, I-35, 360, downtown -Better public transit options -trains, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill country Suburb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South going northbound Super highway like autobahn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin greater area. Central Texas transport is well behind other major cities. The highways are always under construction or otherwise congested. As before mentioned flying cars or teleportation would be grand solutions. We live in the future after all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion is biggest problem Loop will be helpful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No connections out in Hays</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austin out &amp; in to surrounding suburban &amp; towns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-I-35 -Hwy 290 - EXPANSION - CONTROLLED ACCESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in Austin in cities around Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT 12 north and south of 290 Hamilton Pool Rd aT RT 12 Fithue Rd East - West R12 RT 150 East to the Manor/Elgin/Webberville corridor West to Dripping Springs - Lake Travis/Wimberley/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrate on increasing density and transit infrastructure in the urban cores.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Austin metro &amp; suburban areas -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% of people drive for their trips. Drivers list congestion as their #1 concern. Electronic Road Pricing (congestion fees) are the only known solution for congestion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same old story. New roads are built for current populations – never for the 20 – 50 years that live ahead.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Open-Ended Responses

The city is growing and the transport system/network is still standing. In the near future there had to be a big chance [sic]. In my opinion, the only solution must be a dependable transport system like a light rail system. It should be affordable, fast, safe, punctual, and very well connected. Texas needs, on the whole, more alternative public transport. There should be a faster line to Houston or Dallas, e.g., with the train.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Austin - due to rate of growth - Solved through public transportation and creating infrastructure that supports biking and walking - Reduce Suv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Austin - congestion Public transport would help, more convenient options and more frequent service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A not sure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With the new subdivision pop up there should be more park and ride stations more bike stations. Better rail systems also which I know cost more but some of the rail system needs to be extended also maybe take a look at the Atlantic rail system for comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Across to Austin’s surrounding areas going North as far as Georgetown/Kileen, and going South as far as Waco/San Antonio. Streamlined Routes are preferred to minimize travel there. I think a magna Rail system would be appropriate to accommodate faster travel times and utilize Bus and Rail to get people to this systems. Magna Rail could allow quick travel for North/South, East/West. Cooperation between other metro systems will be necessary for long term sustainability especially in our transient culture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More express lane toward San Antonio, and just before back ways to from Slaughter to East side town and Northern I-35 part of town, They need a lane so the metro Rapid buses can run faster and really be express buses,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available trans in rural/Suburban/outer lying cities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural areas. Increased safety. Less stigma regarding public transportation. Mentoring of seniors for use of more transport methods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldwell and surrounding counties. Need more money for transportation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavily populated areas, more Roads, Caldwell &amp; surrounding Counties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surrounding areas - making it easy to get into Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The entire region needs dedicated lanes on all commuter corridors so that transit is a real alternative to SUV travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamson County, Eastern Travis County -commuter rail -park &amp; ride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hwy 95 Bastrop to Elgin a deadly road. Hwy 95-Elgin-Temple I-35 as usual needs work! Hwy 290 Elgin - Manor - Austin Hwy 71 Bastrop-Cedar Creek- Del Valley-Austin County Road Needs improvements more budget with population 1 lane toll roads make no sense! Make more lanes. More traffic enforcement on roadways people are crazy, passing on inside lanes, passing 5 cars/trucks in no passing lane areas. Trains to get people off the roads and offer other options to get around.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rural-easier connections in Austin area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The highway system, or a rail system to Bastrop County. I’m not sure how that would best be addressed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building more localized residential/commercial communities for better walking access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Open-Ended Responses

I can see the need in the rural areas. I feel there are not enough transportation needs in the cities around Austin.

There is a lot of need in the bigger cities to help with traffic, like carpooling to work. But in smaller towns and the increase in retired or elderly people who can’t driving, having a way to get them to and from the doc office or hospital would be widely used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>commuting between suburbs and employment centers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>maar gabe que aqui en Austin hay clusia. trafico y de verdad es necesario hacer algo que solucione el problema. Alo major algo como el metro subterráneo algo asi tal vez.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mas transporte a hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>en las escuelas y los baoírios de casas mas alas orillas del Sentro mucho se necesita.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Austin

Highway 35, Mopac, 183, Town Central, 620, Burnet, Slaughter, and all major streets

Having not spent much time anywhere but Austin, I can only speak to that. But there is so much congestion in Austin - the city keeps growing, but there is very little incentive for mid to upper class people to not use their personal vehicles. Adding carpool lanes, more public transit that goes from outside of the city (commuter transit), and just more public transit (train, bus, bike lane) would really help. A subway/train line that is more accessible would be amazing.

Central Texas hay la mayor necesidad para transporte, especialmente Lockhart porque no contamos con transporte publico.

Especialmente en las grandes vías (interestatales) y un mejor diseño de caminos que facilite el flujo de tráfico entre el Sur-Norte Este-Oeste en específico en la cuidad de Austin. Mas opciones de transporte publico disperso en los pueblos pequeños cercanos a loa metacha urbana con más tiempo de movilidad.

Creo que la ciudad y suburbios están creciendo de forma muy rápido y a ala hora de pensar en transporte no esta siendo tomado en consideración la necesidad del transporte público. El cual es necesario nada muy en la ciudad o centro – ya que existe la necesidad de las zonas urbanas de viajar a las tiendas y mas aun a las clinicas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHAT ZIP CODE DO YOU LIVE IN?</th>
<th>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</th>
<th>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</th>
<th>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</th>
<th>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</th>
<th>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 78723 Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Biking, Walking, Public transit is my primary way</td>
<td>Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More frequent transit (not waiting an hour or two to travel), longer hours (so I can get home from Cedar Park if I go to visit a friend at night), etc.</td>
<td>Faster transportation time on public transit. More safe ways to walk to work. More frequent transit (not waiting an hour or two to travel), longer hours (so I can get home from Cedar Park if I go to visit a friend at night), etc.</td>
<td>invest in transit</td>
<td>eastern crescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 78757 Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Carpooling, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Walk more often , Use public transit more often</td>
<td>A coordinated regional strategy. A CAMPO commitment to climate change mitigation including no new personal car lanes and all new funds poured into transit and active transportation, as well as acquiring and using easements to connect suburban neighborhoods to these investments.</td>
<td>Faster transportation time on public transit. More safe ways to walk to work. More frequent transit (not waiting an hour or two to travel), longer hours (so I can get home from Cedar Park if I go to visit a friend at night), etc.</td>
<td>We have transit to neighborhoods outside Austin but not enough connections within Austin - to west Austin, south Austin, east Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 78757 Personal vehicle, Biking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>An L train needs to be built.</td>
<td>Start replacing car lanes with dedicated bus lanes and more bike lanes. Prefer green modes of transit and stop building roads for personal cars. Add more transit routes, especially regional bus and rail routes. A coordinated regional strategy. A CAMPO commitment to climate change mitigation including no new personal car lanes and all new funds poured into transit and active transportation, as well as acquiring and using easements to connect suburban neighborhoods to these investments.</td>
<td>a more reliable public transportation system like an L train.</td>
<td>We have transit to neighborhoods outside Austin but not enough connections within Austin - to west Austin, south Austin, east Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 78741 Personal vehicle, Biking, Public transit</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>A coordinated regional strategy. A CAMPO commitment to climate change mitigation including no new personal car lanes and all new funds poured into transit and active transportation, as well as acquiring and using easements to connect suburban neighborhoods to these investments.</td>
<td>A coordinated regional strategy. A CAMPO commitment to climate change mitigation including no new personal car lanes and all new funds poured into transit and active transportation, as well as acquiring and using easements to connect suburban neighborhoods to these investments.</td>
<td>Connecting suburban neighborhoods that have been built to prevent access via green methods to transit and trail/bike networks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 78739 Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Real public transportation (not buses sharing lanes with traffic). Walkable path that actually go places.</td>
<td>Real public transportation (not buses sharing lanes with traffic). Walkable path that actually go places.</td>
<td>Usable trails that go places. Proper striping and logical intersections on all roads for self-driving cars. Local and regional rail. Local and regional rail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 78736 Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Continued technology improvement in cars and home internet capability. Growth in services that reduce individual driving.</td>
<td>Continued technology improvement in cars and home internet capability. Growth in services that reduce individual driving.</td>
<td>Major metro area bottlenecks. We have enough lanes to adequately carry demand on almost all roads, it's the bottlenecks that matter to congestion mostly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 78748 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Take away lanes in heavy interior corridors and replace with rail / dedicated bikeways. But if I cant shower @ work, i wont be able to capitalize on that oppy.</td>
<td>Take away lanes in heavy interior corridors and replace with rail / dedicated bikeways. But if I cant shower @ work, i wont be able to capitalize on that oppy.</td>
<td>It's difficult to envision 25 years from now. We need to invent and facilitate self driving cars which will greatly improve traffic efficiency. We need to enable and encourage more people will work from home and not need to drive daily. We can encourage more shopping and errands to be done by services that will not need everyone to drive. We can manage working/living/entertainment areas to local areas so there is no need for people to drive downtown and back.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 76574 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Need realistic transit solutions. Current bus options would take 1+ hour to get to and from work. A new HEB coming to my neighborhood is fantastic (less than 3 miles), but I fear that the only way I will visit is to drive my car there on my way home from work. How can we continue to prioritize public transit options so that its both cost effective and time-effective for more constituents…take away lanes in heavy interior corridors and replace with rail / dedicated bikeways. But if I cant shower @ work, i wont be able to capitalize on that oppy.</td>
<td>Need realistic transit solutions. Current bus options would take 1+ hour to get to and from work. A new HEB coming to my neighborhood is fantastic (less than 3 miles), but I fear that the only way I will visit is to drive my car there on my way home from work. How can we continue to prioritize public transit options so that its both cost effective and time-effective for more constituents…take away lanes in heavy interior corridors and replace with rail / dedicated bikeways. But if I cant shower @ work, i wont be able to capitalize on that oppy.</td>
<td>North to South Austin. build a more channels for high-speed traf ic (another toll in the west?) + a bypass for through-traffic like a beltloop or two.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP Code</td>
<td>Mode of Transportation</td>
<td>Travel Needs</td>
<td>Transportation Needs</td>
<td>Method of Improvement</td>
<td>Where do you see the most transportation needs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78745</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Bike more often</td>
<td>Love the bike lanes, want to see more going East and West in South Austin, under/ across I-35, even out to the Airport. Utilize advances in pavement science to increase longevity of urban roads, prioritizing the matrix that lasts the longest. DO NOT STOP TRYING TO GET US LIGHT RAIL, PLEASE!!</td>
<td>Additional lane(s) on South First Street, Congress, Manchaca, and Lamar. To keep people from cutting through the neighborhoods and endangering our children, pets, bicyclists, and pedestrians. It is crazy dangerous during the school season. Love the bike lanes, want to see more going East and West in South Austin, under/ across I-35, even out to the Airport. Utilize advances in pavement science to increase longevity of urban roads, prioritizing the matrix that lasts the longest. DO NOT STOP TRYING TO GET US LIGHT RAIL, PLEASE!!</td>
<td>Additional lanes going North/South in South Austin. Additional non-tollway lanes going all directions on Hwys I-35, 290, and 71.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78757</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>More roads. More roads. More roads. Hays, Bastrop &amp; Williamson counties will need more roads built.</td>
<td>Better access to west Austin. More highways.</td>
<td>Central Austin has the highest need that can be addressed through rail and rapid bus with dedicated lanes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78704</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Widen major lanes on our highway. Mass transit needs to become a reality sooner vs later if it's going to work.</td>
<td>Better land use regulation and more investment in transit investment in transit</td>
<td>It has to be I-35. That by far is the worst road in our area. More improvements need to be made to 360 and south Mopac. We need a true loop around the city.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78733</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Widen major lanes on our highway. Mass transit needs to become a reality sooner vs later if it's going to work.</td>
<td>On a Realtor. My car is my business but, on my off hours I use Uber. Widen major lanes on our highway. Mass transit needs to become a reality sooner vs later if it's going to work.</td>
<td>Obviously the Austin metro area. Add more lanes to the highways and make one of them a HOV lane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78723-5465</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike less often, Walk less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Increased amount of and quality of public transportation - maybe something like gondolas. Autonomous vehicles available to all. More and better sidewalks and bike lanes/cycle tracks.</td>
<td>Drive to work is stopped traffic in manor on 290 in the morning, again on the way home. It is also heavy. People are moving east and the roads need to reflect that. Also 183 is jam packed in the morning and evening. Making commute imposible. I have a sick child who attends dr appointments in Austin and goes to School in Taylor. Doing both is difficult.</td>
<td>FM 969 is our main issue here in Elgin. We would like to have an overpass similar to Bastrop's. Our county line road is quite narrow also. We would like a 1 lane road with the turning lane included. We would also like to have a 1 lane road for Ave C (also known as FM 1000).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78621</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Adding lanes to most of our streets and highway. Widening of highway 290. Adding lanes to most of our streets and highway. We really need some more roads to get around the main roads and highway.</td>
<td>We need a real public transit system in Austin that provides real connectivity. FM 969 desperately needs additional lanes to let the traffic flow between Hornsby Bend and Austin city limits.</td>
<td>My road, FM 969, is currently not navigable during peak use hours. I live less than 10 miles from the Austin city limits but it takes me one hour to reach the city limits from my home near Wimberley during the morning and evening traffic peaks. Subdivisions and apartment complexes near Hornsby Bend have added so much congestion to FM 969 that traffic stalls there for 30 minutes or more. I have stopped going to Austin to shop and have limited the social outings I make there.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78748</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Carpooling, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>If I had access to a bus route that wasn’t a 2-mile walk from my house or have to drive to Southpark Meadows to park my vehicle, I would take public transportation. It would also be helpful if public transportation didn’t take MORE time to arrive at destination than creeping along the interstate in my car. Safer bicycle lanes if I had access to a bus route that wasn’t a 2-mile walk from my house or have to drive to Southpark Meadows to park my vehicle, I would take public transportation. It would also be helpful if public transportation didn’t take MORE time to arrive at destination than creeping along the interstate in my car.</td>
<td>Safer bicycle lanes if I had access to a bus route that wasn’t a 2-mile walk from my house or have to drive to Southpark Meadows to park my vehicle, I would take public transportation. Safer bicycle lanes if I had access to a bus route that wasn’t a 2-mile walk from my house or have to drive to Southpark Meadows to park my vehicle, I would take public transportation. It would also be helpful if public transportation didn’t take MORE time to arrive at destination than creeping along the interstate in my car.</td>
<td>North/South travel is HORRIBLE. I have proposed HOV lanes for buses and double-occupancy cars on major north-south routes as an alternative since the buses can have light-changing capabilities. It doesn’t make sense to put these high-end buses with these capabilities when they are behind 10 cars at a light!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP CODE</td>
<td>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY)</td>
<td>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY)</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</td>
<td>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Park &amp; Rides connecting to express bus and transit options in the central city; rural transit enhancements offering service to general population and not just aged and disabled residents</td>
<td>Better rural/suburban transit or on-demand transportation Park &amp; Rides connecting to express bus and transit options in the central city; rural transit enhancements offering service to general population and not just aged and disabled residents</td>
<td>Lower income eastern and southern suburban commuters into central Austin; commuters on I-35. Improved transit options along the I-35 corridor, anti-sprawl land use policies; more roadway expansion funds for eastern Travis, Bastrop, and Caldwell Counties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Better handling of the traffic congestion</td>
<td>Traffic planning &amp; better roads to handle the huge increase in traffic Better handling of the traffic congestion</td>
<td>Austin &amp; the surrounding counties.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>A cohesive regional plan for public transportation</td>
<td>A cohesive regional plan for public transportation</td>
<td>More public transportation options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Rail or transit options need to be provided</td>
<td>We need more transit options. I'd love to see a rail corridor from Marble Falls to Austin along Hwy 71. Rail or transit options need to be provided</td>
<td>Transit options, preferably rail from Austin to San Antonio. Rail connecting all major cities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>78732</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>620 needs to be a high speed highway</td>
<td>620 needs to be a high speed highway</td>
<td>620 needs to be a high speed highway.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Easier access to Downtown from West Austin</td>
<td>Discounts for public transportation Easier access to Downtown from West Austin</td>
<td>Access to Downtown from West Austin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Better road to move traffic in all directions</td>
<td>Loops around city so traffic can flow. An inter and outer loop are needed. Traffic can now only flow north and south very poor flow east and west downtown or even 5- 10 miles north and south of downtown. Better road to move traffic in all directions</td>
<td>Austin needs inter and out loops.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often, Walk more often</td>
<td>Less toll roads Better highways</td>
<td>The highways in big cities and widening some of the country roads between Austin and Dallas</td>
<td>The highways in big cities and widening some of the country roads between Austin and Dallas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often</td>
<td>Better highways</td>
<td>More distant locations available by transit If Austin and surrounding towns grow more compactly and with good connectivity, then mass transit will be more successful</td>
<td>The edges of town have lower density populations, and thus less transit service. These areas need more housing and other development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking, Public transit is my primary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Uber/lyft type services in the area</td>
<td>Uber/lyft type services in the area</td>
<td>The highways in big cities and widening some of the country roads between Austin and Dallas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>More public transportation.</td>
<td>Live closer to where I work. More public transportation.</td>
<td>Travel from the suburbs into Austin. More public transportation. 78669 Personal vehicle , Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc. , Walking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>No toll roads. Free up inner city roadways</td>
<td>78732 Personal vehicle , Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>78634 Personal vehicle Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Better low of traffic in and around Austin</td>
<td>78641 Personal vehicle , Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>78641 Personal vehicle , Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>We need more rail lines. It’s really the most effective way to move around a crowded urban space. The proof can be found in London, Hong Kong, Tokyo, New York... etc.</td>
<td>78660 Personal vehicle , Use public transit more often</td>
<td>78660 Personal vehicle , Use public transit more often</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>A strategic public transportation infrastructure</td>
<td>A strategic public transportation infrastructure</td>
<td>A strategic public transportation infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Carpooling, Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>It would help me to have reformation that makes it evening to estimate trip combinations such as bike plus bus. Or a scooter plus bus. What even a Lyft plus bus (rail). If you could figure out how to get to the rapid bus or rail line that goes to the bulk of the distance with the least amount of traffic that could make the options more attractive. Also I think carpool options should be much more utilized. Having more protected safe bike lanes will help as well.</td>
<td>Better low of traffic East/West of the Austin area towards Manor and Elgin.</td>
<td>Expansion/Improvement of the SH 130 corridor East of Austin. The toll road, for whatever reason, inexplicably stops before Manor and traffic has become horrendous. There is already too much traffic for the current transportation system to handle and with expected growth it will only get much, much worse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Better low of traffic East/West of the Austin area towards Manor and Elgin.</td>
<td>78752 Personal vehicle , Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Expansion/Improvement of the SH 130 corridor East of Austin. The toll road, for whatever reason, inexplicably stops before Manor and traffic has become horrendous. There is already too much traffic for the current transportation system to handle and with expected growth it will only get much, much worse.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td>Mode(s) Primarily Used</td>
<td>Current Transportation Needs</td>
<td>Preferred Methods</td>
<td>Reason(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78701</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>More protected bike lanes. Denser areas.</td>
<td>Program that promotes carpooling.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78615</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Some public transportation options.</td>
<td>Improve public transit options.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78621</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Better roads. No stoplights on highway. Tollway extended. Public transportation would need to be available more often and going more places.</td>
<td>Add more public transportation options.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78730</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Far less congestion in the 620/2222 vicinity. There is no public transportation in this area, so that needs to be introduced too.</td>
<td>Improve public transportation options.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78557</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Protected bike lanes and improved bicycle and pedestrian safety at crossings/intersections with major roads. Transit priority lanes on highways and roads.</td>
<td>Improve public transportation options.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78621</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More east to west travel options. Widen 290 through Manor and maybe Elgin. Do something with the traffic on US 290 in Elgin and Manor.</td>
<td>Improve east to west travel options.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78621</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More east to west travel options.</td>
<td>Improve public transit options.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78750</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Better light rail options.</td>
<td>I know a lot of it has to do with bonds though. Pretty upset the 2016 bond didn't pass to allow the train to go out to the airport. My family and I would also take it at a lot more if it went downtown on weekends during the day. Only going after 4PM on Saturdays and not at all on Sundays is ridiculous.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78550</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Better light rail options.</td>
<td>I know a lot of it has to do with bonds though. Pretty upset the 2016 bond didn't pass to allow the train to go out to the airport. My family and I would also take it at a lot more if it went downtown on weekends during the day. Only going after 4PM on Saturdays and not at all on Sundays is ridiculous.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78621</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More east to west travel options.</td>
<td>Improve public transit options.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78621</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More east to west travel options.</td>
<td>Improve public transit options.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78734</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>My preferred method of transport does not exist in Austin, except with extremely limited service through Leander and downtown. High speed trains, trains, and light rail options are my preferred method. With already congested roads, separate rail lines would not be hindered by traffic accidents, sheer volume, or road issues in general. They would be more efficient, scheduled and timely than car/bus transportation that rely on those same roads. This would probably be a massive undertaking since we don't have the infrastructure or network in place. I am sure there would be right-of-way issues, environmental blockers and conservative public opponents. I'm not involved in our government, but I would be willing to bet there are gas/oil/car manufacturer organizations with deep pockets whom also oppose public train systems.</td>
<td>Frankly we need more public transportation options in Austin, and dedicated rail lines would be the most efficient to add more congestion to our roads. I would love to see high speed rail, and more light rail options in and around Austin. If I could hop on a train to get from West Austin to Downtown or the Domain I would do that in a heartbeat. I'm not a fan of public buses, so would not intent to use those more currently or in the future and I do not think that mode of transportation speaks to the majority of citizens in Austin. For anyone living over 10+ miles from downtown Austin, biking is not a safe or timely option either. Our resources for transportation in the suburbs of Austin only go as far as one option - personal vehicle. There is nothing else to rely on and our roads will continue to become more congested as time goes on. There's only so many lanes we can keep adding and so many highways we can keep building. I view Austin as a progressive city, but our transportation options are archaic and don't fit the general mantra of our city.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP CODE</td>
<td>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</td>
<td>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</td>
<td>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78748</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>We need a public transportation system that makes it easy and convenient for everyone to get to their location. Waiting for more than 20 minutes is not convenient. Walking more than a few blocks to access public transportation is also not convenient. Until it's convenient, people won't use it. If we really want to get people out of their cars, we have to invest in making that happen.</td>
<td></td>
<td>where in central texas do you currently see the most transportation needs? how can that need be addressed in the next 25 years?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78703</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Biking, Walking, Other</td>
<td>Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Proteced bike lanes! And dedicated bus lanes so that travelling by bus is quicker than traveling by car.</td>
<td></td>
<td>where in central texas do you currently see the most transportation needs? how can that need be addressed in the next 25 years?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78701</td>
<td>Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More frequent transit that goes to more places. SAFER places to bike that is an actual network, not disparate parts. Sidewalks, everywhere, that create a network, are pleasant, and encourage walking. Prioritizing moving PEOPLE and not VEHICLES.</td>
<td></td>
<td>where in central texas do you currently see the most transportation needs? how can that need be addressed in the next 25 years?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78723</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Protected bike lanes! And dedicated bus lanes so that travelling by bus is quicker than traveling by car.</td>
<td></td>
<td>where in central texas do you currently see the most transportation needs? how can that need be addressed in the next 25 years?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78754</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More frequent transit that goes to more places. SAFER places to bike that is an actual network, not disparate parts. Sidewalks, everywhere, that create a network, are pleasant, and encourage walking. Prioritizing moving PEOPLE and not VEHICLES.</td>
<td></td>
<td>where in central texas do you currently see the most transportation needs? how can that need be addressed in the next 25 years?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78701</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Fix the roads... more public transport - preferably more metro rail south</td>
<td></td>
<td>where in central texas do you currently see the most transportation needs? how can that need be addressed in the next 25 years?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78621</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Fix the roads... more public transport - preferably more metro rail south</td>
<td></td>
<td>where in central texas do you currently see the most transportation needs? how can that need be addressed in the next 25 years?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78777</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>More access to public transport - Parmer and Neeannah Ave.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>where in central texas do you currently see the most transportation needs? how can that need be addressed in the next 25 years?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78653</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>where in central texas do you currently see the most transportation needs? how can that need be addressed in the next 25 years?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHAT ZIP CODE DO YOU LIVE IN?</td>
<td>WHAT MODE DO YOU PROMINENTLY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY)</td>
<td>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY)</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BEABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</td>
<td>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78666</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Carpooling, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Safe routes for biking through town. I see that San Marcos has designed large roads for big cars and we can use that space to convert one lane at a time for bikes, skateboards, motorized wheelchairs, etc.</td>
<td>SAN MARCOS- we are not like Austin and San Antonio. We are small, diverse, and the city cares for its environment and people. Plan for the people, not for the cars.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78745</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>I need more public transit options in my city. There are virtually no buses or trains commute between Pflugerville and surrounding cities, especially Austin.</td>
<td>Austin’s roads can’t keep up with traffic, so I would love to see more buses and trains within Austin and to surrounding suburbs/cities to alleviate congestion on highways. Perhaps even a raised railway downtown, like they have in some other larger cities? I would also love to see companies and agencies in Austin encourage or incentivize teleworking, which may help cut down on traffic as well.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78666</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Stop building freeways! Spend planning money on transit! Densify the central city!</td>
<td>We will be greatly densifying our city. We need to focus on infrastructure within Austin that supports transit and active transportation, not more freeways and sprawl infrastructure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78738</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>(skip)</td>
<td>Make I-35 one way, Mopac one way the other way from Georgetown to Kyle. Close all of the exits downtown. Make downtown traffic take I-35.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78752</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Carpooling, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More transit in District B. There are 2 Commuter Express routes to get downtown. There is no transit to Bowie HS in DB and no high frequency travel from our location to the grocery.</td>
<td>The City of Austin alone cannot build and maintain enough roads for the non-Austinites who use our roads. CAMPO needs to help us.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78749</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Walking, Public transit is my primary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More public transit on dedicated lines (train) more infill to make walking possible, more bike lanes</td>
<td>Downtown Austin is the main job cluster with the Domain pulling a strong second. We need to get more Single Occupancy Vehicles off the road. We need to get more Austinites out of SOVs for non-commuting travel by increased transit throughout the City. We also need collaboration with locales outside of Austin City Limits to bring commuters into Austin.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78702</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More convenient to take transit. More frequent service and easier transfers with less waiting</td>
<td>The City of Austin alone cannot build and maintain enough roads for the non-Austinites who use our roads. CAMPO needs to help us.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78757</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way, Other</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Increase residential density near major transit lines (like the 803) through zoning changes, which will increase usage of public transit, making it cost effective to have more frequent and faster service.</td>
<td>Along major corridors in the central city, and with vehicles such as trains to bring people downtown from outlying suburbs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78702</td>
<td>Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Public transit is my primary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Walk less often, Use public transit less often</td>
<td>(skip)</td>
<td>Faster long distance transit. More frequent bus service. More bus lines on streets like fillery.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78661</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Better Bus Rapid Transit coverage</td>
<td>North Austin to downtown.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78702</td>
<td>Biking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Better infrastructure and denser development</td>
<td>Light rail on guadalupe the population triangle: Houston, SA, Dallas, Austin.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78751</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>(skip)</td>
<td>(skip)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **What Zip Code Do You Live In?**
- **What Mode Do You Primarily Use for Your Regular Travel? (Select All That Apply)**
- **What Will Change in Your Daily Travel by the Year 2045? (Select All That Apply)**
- **What Needs to Change for You to Be Able to Travel This Way in the Future?**
- **What Needs to Change to Make It Possible to Use Your Preferred Transportation Method?**
- **Where in Central Texas Do You Currently See the Most Transportation Needs? How Can That Need Be Addressed in the Next 25 Years?**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHAT ZIP CODE DO YOU LIVE IN?</th>
<th>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</th>
<th>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</th>
<th>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</th>
<th>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</th>
<th>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78 78723</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More compact and connected land development. A more suitable distribution of road space to support all individuals who need to get around</td>
<td>Lower car speed limits, dedicated transit lanes, connected and protected bikeways, complete sidewalk network</td>
<td>In Central and near Central Austin we have under invested in pedestrian and cycling infrastructure, so we need to increase investments there. We’ve got too much high speed auto-centric infrastructure that reduces the freedom of mobility for residents. We need to reduce highway infrastructure in Central Austin and attempt to restore our transportation grid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79 78758</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>A significantly more robust public transit network, ideally something akin to Seattle’s Sound Transit 3 bond passed a few years ago (which will combine multiple forms of rail - light, heavy, commuter / regional &amp; tram – with BRT &amp; much-improved availability throughout the metro area)</td>
<td>Improvements to major highways, especially connections from suburbs to central Austin.</td>
<td>I guess it depends on both where you mean by &quot;where&quot; - a specific city? neighborhood? - but on whole, the Austin area is all but certain to continue growing at a strong rate, and in order to successfully absorb all of its newcomers, it needs to have full-spectrum, multimodal transit, and broadly encourage transport via means other than single-occupant vehicles. Considering the extent of Austin’s current sprawl, it’ll need some type of rapid transit (which could be anything from BRT to express rail) to ferry people from suburbs into the urban core. At the same time, there’s not much getting around the fact that I-35 will likely remain a core trucking artery running almost the entire length between Mexico and Canada. To mitigate its broader impact on Austin proper, some bold thinking will likely be required, along with the willingness to spend likely many billions of dollars enacting it. Solutions for it could include everything from a Big Dig-style burrying of the freeway below grade and/or underground throughout Austin’s core, or potentially establishing SH 130 as a full-flanged – and &quot;free&quot; - bypass for trucks, even if it requires getting the road incorporated into the interstate highway system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 78704</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>We need to allow and encourage better use of our central city land here in Austin to allow more people to live, work and play in a compact and connected pattern. We must align our transportation and infrastructure investments with changes in land use policy to allow more people, jobs and businesses to be located on the same amount of roads and ROW, to better serve people quicker and faster where they have many options to get around and live a higher quality of life.</td>
<td>Less congestion on major highways</td>
<td>Improvements to major highways, especially connections from suburbs to central Austin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81 78723</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Less congestion on major highways</td>
<td>Improvements to major highways, especially connections from suburbs to central Austin.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82 78723</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Carpooling, Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>We also need to develop better pedestrian and bike infrastructure (separated bike lanes) and most importantly redesign all streets to accommodate slower traffic speeds (not just lower speed limits) to make our streets safer and more encouraging for all, walkers, bikers and those in vehicles</td>
<td>We need to focus on better using the central core of Austin to give more people a chance to live, work and play in the same area so they can walk, bike and ride transit to get there and lesser vehicle miles traveled. By tying transportation and land use planning together is the only way we can support our region’s fiscal health and improve the quality of life, and create wealth for all.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP Code</td>
<td>What Mode Do You Primarily Use for Your Regular Travel? (Select All That Apply)</td>
<td>What Will Change in Your Daily Travel by the Year 2045? (Select All That Apply)</td>
<td>What Needs to Change for You to Be Able to Travel This Way in the Future?</td>
<td>What Needs to Change to Make It Possible to Use Your Preferred Transportation Method?</td>
<td>Where in Central Texas Do You Currently See the Most Transportation Needs? How Can That Need Be Addressed in the Next 25 Years?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Biking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More investment in sidewalks, pedestrian infrastructure, protected bike lanes, bike bridges, dedicated bus lanes</td>
<td>We need more public transportation. Rail between major cities would be awesome. Dedicated bike highways connecting the region would be very helpful.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Bike more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>All-ages all-abilities protected bike lane infrastructure on all roads</td>
<td>Austin metro area: More/wider roads has NEVER been the solution to traffic congestion in any city—only encourages sprawl and more driving. All-ages all-abilities protected bike lanes and public transportation with dedicated right of way will move more people in the same road area in a more environmentally sustainable way.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>North Austin - more non-toll highways!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>I would like 183 to be improved from 45 S to 71. This section of road will be the only section of 183 from Lockhart to Cedar Park without Major Improvements</td>
<td>South East Austin Rural Areas, Bastrop, Lockhart etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking</td>
<td>Walk more often</td>
<td>Need more sidewalks around town.</td>
<td>Need a high speed rail corridor from Austin to Dallas to Houston and back.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often</td>
<td>Better bus lanes and access. Rail/BRT (true BRT) and commuter rail connections to South (San Marcos, San Antonio) and North (Round Rock, Georgetown)</td>
<td>Greater Austin area to include as far north as Georgetown and elsewhere. Expansion of available highways and roadways is a critical element. Better engineered roadways (turn lanes, access/egress etc.) is also vital. Mass transit is good but only if it is used, which should be accurately measured before expansion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.</td>
<td>Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Improved connectivity of the street network. For example, I work on S. Lamar. Between Ben White and Barton Springs there is not substantive connecting street. As densities increase on this corridor there is no forgiveness in the street network to alleviate the congestion. This goes for all modes. Pedestrian infrastructure, bike lanes nor transit can solve this problem. Our transportation network has to have connections. In ATX it has very few meaningful ones. Why is traffic so much worse in ATX than in other much larger cities? They have a transportation and street network with connections. In ATX you generally have only one route to get anywhere given your location.</td>
<td>On the surface streets connecting to the major arterials. Subdivisions that pour out onto arterials with connection on the minor roads create a massive choke point. We need to connect the road and street networks to take some of the burden off of the arterials, highways and interstate. Not to mention the emergency response implications of how we’ve allowed this city to develop. Just ask the Steiner Ranch residents from 2011 that almost died in the fires. Only to enterances/exit onto 620 from that subdivision even though it is adjacent to Riverplace subdivision that only has one access point to 2222. This is insane and dangerous. Both massive subdivisions, one with only one egress point onto 2222 and one with two egress points on 620. People will die because of this abhorrent transportation and land use planning.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Improved rail &amp; bus access to more areas.</td>
<td>All areas of the Austin MSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Improved rail &amp; bus access to more areas.</td>
<td>All areas of the Austin MSA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Build more roads</td>
<td>Austin south corridor needs more and wider roads.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Additional options.</td>
<td>Suburban areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>We need more bridges across the lakes. Marble Falls has to have a bypass. Traffic is congested and if we ever have a crisis or a mass evacuation it will be dangerous for the entire region.</td>
<td>Highway 281</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Would love to see some type of High Speed from San Antonio to Austin, Waco, and Dallas / Ft Worth, as well as Austin to Houston to Galveston.</td>
<td>Austin I-35 and MoPac</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>More access. Use Metro Access because I’m 2 miles from the closest bus stop.</td>
<td>East/west routes other than 2244 and 2222. Those roads are too congested and developed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHAT ZIP CODE DO YOU LIVE IN?</td>
<td>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</td>
<td>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</td>
<td>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 78732</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Improved traffic flow by way of synchronized lights, right hand turn lanes (not use shoulder), improvement in lane markings (avoiding sudden realization that lane you are traveling in requires you to turn left only), better merging lanes.</td>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 15 hours each way and be about 75 miles of walking. I'm fit, but it's too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td>Four Points area, airport, I-35, oakhill area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 78704</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 15 hours each way and be about 75 miles of walking. I'm fit, but it's too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td>Four Points area, airport, I-35, oakhill area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102 78736</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often</td>
<td>Improved traffic flow by way of synchronized lights, right hand turn lanes (not use shoulder), improvement in lane markings (avoiding sudden realization that lane you are traveling in requires you to turn left only), better merging lanes.</td>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 15 hours each way and be about 75 miles of walking. I'm fit, but it's too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td>Light rail north and south from 71 to 183, either Congress or Lamar. We NEED more East-West corridors in Central Austin so you aren't forced to go out of your way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103 78732</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Improved traffic flow by way of synchronized lights, right hand turn lanes (not use shoulder), improvement in lane markings (avoiding sudden realization that lane you are traveling in requires you to turn left only), better merging lanes.</td>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 15 hours each way and be about 75 miles of walking. I'm fit, but it's too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td>Light rail north and south from 71 to 183, either Congress or Lamar. We NEED more East-West corridors in Central Austin so you aren't forced to go out of your way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104 78664</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Improved traffic flow by way of synchronized lights, right hand turn lanes (not use shoulder), improvement in lane markings (avoiding sudden realization that lane you are traveling in requires you to turn left only), better merging lanes.</td>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 15 hours each way and be about 75 miles of walking. I'm fit, but it's too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td>Light rail north and south from 71 to 183, either Congress or Lamar. We NEED more East-West corridors in Central Austin so you aren't forced to go out of your way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105 78654</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Improved traffic flow by way of synchronized lights, right hand turn lanes (not use shoulder), improvement in lane markings (avoiding sudden realization that lane you are traveling in requires you to turn left only), better merging lanes.</td>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 15 hours each way and be about 75 miles of walking. I'm fit, but it's too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td>Light rail north and south from 71 to 183, either Congress or Lamar. We NEED more East-West corridors in Central Austin so you aren't forced to go out of your way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106 78731</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Improved traffic flow by way of synchronized lights, right hand turn lanes (not use shoulder), improvement in lane markings (avoiding sudden realization that lane you are traveling in requires you to turn left only), better merging lanes.</td>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 15 hours each way and be about 75 miles of walking. I'm fit, but it's too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td>Light rail north and south from 71 to 183, either Congress or Lamar. We NEED more East-West corridors in Central Austin so you aren't forced to go out of your way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107 78660</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Improved traffic flow by way of synchronized lights, right hand turn lanes (not use shoulder), improvement in lane markings (avoiding sudden realization that lane you are traveling in requires you to turn left only), better merging lanes.</td>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 15 hours each way and be about 75 miles of walking. I'm fit, but it's too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td>Light rail north and south from 71 to 183, either Congress or Lamar. We NEED more East-West corridors in Central Austin so you aren't forced to go out of your way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108 78757</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Improved traffic flow by way of synchronized lights, right hand turn lanes (not use shoulder), improvement in lane markings (avoiding sudden realization that lane you are traveling in requires you to turn left only), better merging lanes.</td>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 15 hours each way and be about 75 miles of walking. I'm fit, but it's too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td>Light rail north and south from 71 to 183, either Congress or Lamar. We NEED more East-West corridors in Central Austin so you aren't forced to go out of your way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109 78732</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk less often</td>
<td>Improved traffic flow by way of synchronized lights, right hand turn lanes (not use shoulder), improvement in lane markings (avoiding sudden realization that lane you are traveling in requires you to turn left only), better merging lanes.</td>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 15 hours each way and be about 75 miles of walking. I'm fit, but it's too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td>Light rail north and south from 71 to 183, either Congress or Lamar. We NEED more East-West corridors in Central Austin so you aren't forced to go out of your way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110 78734</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Improved traffic flow by way of synchronized lights, right hand turn lanes (not use shoulder), improvement in lane markings (avoiding sudden realization that lane you are traveling in requires you to turn left only), better merging lanes.</td>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 15 hours each way and be about 75 miles of walking. I'm fit, but it's too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td>Light rail north and south from 71 to 183, either Congress or Lamar. We NEED more East-West corridors in Central Austin so you aren't forced to go out of your way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111 78726</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk less often</td>
<td>Improved traffic flow by way of synchronized lights, right hand turn lanes (not use shoulder), improvement in lane markings (avoiding sudden realization that lane you are traveling in requires you to turn left only), better merging lanes.</td>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 15 hours each way and be about 75 miles of walking. I'm fit, but it's too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td>Light rail north and south from 71 to 183, either Congress or Lamar. We NEED more East-West corridors in Central Austin so you aren't forced to go out of your way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112 78704</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk less often</td>
<td>Improved traffic flow by way of synchronized lights, right hand turn lanes (not use shoulder), improvement in lane markings (avoiding sudden realization that lane you are traveling in requires you to turn left only), better merging lanes.</td>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 15 hours each way and be about 75 miles of walking. I'm fit, but it's too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td>Light rail north and south from 71 to 183, either Congress or Lamar. We NEED more East-West corridors in Central Austin so you aren't forced to go out of your way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113 78641</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk less often</td>
<td>Improved traffic flow by way of synchronized lights, right hand turn lanes (not use shoulder), improvement in lane markings (avoiding sudden realization that lane you are traveling in requires you to turn left only), better merging lanes.</td>
<td>Public transportation needs to come closer to my house and take me in a more direct route to work. Right now the only public transportation option would take 15 hours each way and be about 75 miles of walking. I'm fit, but it's too hot and humid for walking that far in business clothing during most of the year.</td>
<td>Light rail north and south from 71 to 183, either Congress or Lamar. We NEED more East-West corridors in Central Austin so you aren't forced to go out of your way.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHAT ZIP CODE DO YOU LIVE IN?</td>
<td>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</td>
<td>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</td>
<td>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114 78744</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>nothing</td>
<td>More commuter rail between suburbs and other high density areas.</td>
<td><strong>Central city Austin.</strong> Put the money into high-speed rail on the major corridors instead of building climate-killing roads in suburban and incorporated areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115 78745</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>More highways or public transportation needs to be on-demand throughout the city. The main reason that people do not want to use public transportation is that it is not available on-demand when needed.</td>
<td>More people are coming from Cedar Park, Leander, Pflugerville and Kyle/Buda to downtown. You need more buses, and you need to give the people that ride these buses, on-demand service, when some emergency comes up and then need to get back to their home.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116 78756</td>
<td>Biking, Walking, Public transit is my primary way</td>
<td>Bike more often</td>
<td>Safer motorist behavior, better crossings of major streets. For example, the Shoal Creek route awkwardly terminates with a low-water crossing at 38th St. It should continue for all abilities and weather to 34th Street.</td>
<td>Center city Austin. Put the money into high-speed rail on the major corridors instead of building climate-killing roads in suburban and incorporated areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117 78660</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Need more east-west expressways, ramp from 35 to 45 eastbound, ramp from 45 to 35 southbound, need more train stops and SIDEWALKS from train stop at howard lane to office park at 4616 howard lane, traffic light at 4616 howard lane entrance (several accidents here).</td>
<td>More commuter rail between suburbs and other high density areas.</td>
<td><strong>Southern and western Travis County.</strong> More commuter rail between suburbs and other high density areas. More people are coming from Cedar Park, Leander, Pflugerville and Kyle/Buda to downtown. You need more buses, and you need to give the people that ride these buses, on-demand service, when some emergency comes up and then need to get back to their home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118 78704</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>There needs to be a public transit options between San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, and Austin. As the region grows, everyone driving on I-35 will no longer be a feasible option. There should be a commuter rail running alongside I-35, with park and rides and connecting transit routes.</td>
<td>More people are coming from Cedar Park, Leander, Pflugerville and Kyle/Buda to downtown. You need more buses, and you need to give the people that ride these buses, on-demand service, when some emergency comes up and then need to get back to their home.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119 78751</td>
<td>Biking, Walking, Public transit is my primary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Trains, sidewalks, safe bike lanes.</td>
<td>Center city Austin. Put the money into high-speed rail on the major corridors instead of building climate-killing roads in suburban and incorporated areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120 78702</td>
<td>Biking</td>
<td>Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Increased shade, increased separation of all modes from single occupancy cars.</td>
<td>In Austin for intracity transportations and regional rail between Austin and surrounding cities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121 78703</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Increase in dedicated pathways for public transportation, rail, rail, and protected bike lanes</td>
<td>More commuter rail between suburbs and other high density areas. More people are coming from Cedar Park, Leander, Pflugerville and Kyle/Buda to downtown. You need more buses, and you need to give the people that ride these buses, on-demand service, when some emergency comes up and then need to get back to their home.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122 78735</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Carbon tax for vehicles</td>
<td>In Austin for intracity transportations and regional rail between Austin and surrounding cities. More commuter rail between suburbs and other high density areas. More people are coming from Cedar Park, Leander, Pflugerville and Kyle/Buda to downtown. You need more buses, and you need to give the people that ride these buses, on-demand service, when some emergency comes up and then need to get back to their home.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123 78705</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Busses need to have timed lights, elevated platforms, and bus-only lanes. That way, they are equally/more efficient than car traffic and I’m not motivated to just drive somewhere. Also more expansive bike infrastructure and more densified development.</td>
<td>More commuter rail between suburbs and other high density areas. More people are coming from Cedar Park, Leander, Pflugerville and Kyle/Buda to downtown. You need more buses, and you need to give the people that ride these buses, on-demand service, when some emergency comes up and then need to get back to their home.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124 78732</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More sidewalk and bike lanes SEPARATED from the road since drivers don’t look at the road (they look at their phone instead). As of today, it’s too dangerous to bike or walk. I would already be running/walking to and from work if it wasn’t so dangerous on 620.</td>
<td>More commuter rail between suburbs and other high density areas. More people are coming from Cedar Park, Leander, Pflugerville and Kyle/Buda to downtown. You need more buses, and you need to give the people that ride these buses, on-demand service, when some emergency comes up and then need to get back to their home.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125 78738</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Focus on expanded roadway capacity in western Travis County. Provide transit services on a regional basis, especially in western Travis County.</td>
<td>More commuter rail between suburbs and other high density areas. More people are coming from Cedar Park, Leander, Pflugerville and Kyle/Buda to downtown. You need more buses, and you need to give the people that ride these buses, on-demand service, when some emergency comes up and then need to get back to their home.</td>
<td>More commuter rail between suburbs and other high density areas. More people are coming from Cedar Park, Leander, Pflugerville and Kyle/Buda to downtown. You need more buses, and you need to give the people that ride these buses, on-demand service, when some emergency comes up and then need to get back to their home.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP Code</td>
<td>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</td>
<td>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</td>
<td>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78759</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often, Walk more often</td>
<td>My preferred transportation would be a mix of walking and using public transportation. For that to be a daily occurrence, there should be more options for public transportation or closer distances between work and home.</td>
<td>The most transportation needs would be in Austin and surrounding areas. Public transportation into the city would be beneficial in keeping traffic within the city down and open up more opportunities to those living outside of it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78711</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Walk more often</td>
<td>More transit options</td>
<td>Transit - focus on big solutions, not small band aids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78701</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Needs to be quicker and easier than my current mode</td>
<td>Austin and surrounding suburbs. Add more rail lines like DART in Dallas, Washington DC Metro, etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78755</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More mass transit (light rail and regional/commuter rail) and land development prioritizing density!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78711</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often, Walk more often</td>
<td>More available routes from Austin to Elgin</td>
<td>The traffic through Manor and Elgin is at a standstill at various times between 3 and 6 PM and also early in the mornings between 6 - 9 AM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78737</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Give us our parking back</td>
<td>Downtown and major routes. Add lanes. Flex scheduling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78733</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>More car lanes instead of taking them away for bicycles or rail or any other reason.</td>
<td>Quit taking away our car lanes for bicycles and anything else. Quit adding more dwellings without allowing for parking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78703</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Train!!!!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78702</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Walk more often</td>
<td>I would really prefer strong public transportation including above ground trains and metro systems. I really want a subway but I know we don’t have the underground structure for it so an above ground system to get both north and south, and east and west would be ideal.</td>
<td>Austin Texas. We need traffic alleviation such a public transportation so people don’t have to rely on driving themselves. I would use public transportation if a station was convenient and the train was reliable enough so that I will be on time to work. This would go a long way of getting more people out of their cars and it’ll help people who have long commutes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78665</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Use personal vehicle more often, Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often, Walk more often. 
2. Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Walk more often. 
3. Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often, Walk more often. 
4. Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Walk more often. 
5. Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often. 
6. Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often, Walk more often. 
7. Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Walk more often. 
8. Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often, Walk more often. 
9. Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Walk more often. 
10. Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Walk more often.
WHAT ZIP CODE DO YOU LIVE IN?
WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY)
WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY)
WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?
WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?
WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?

137 78759
Personal vehicle
Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk more often
Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk more often
Long term planning and infrastructure investment. Honestly, the arrival of self-driving cars and ultra-cheap vehicles is so close that this will drastically change the short-haul (last mile) commute.
I would rather not drive as much, but the alternatives are not well supported in central texas. Bus lines are good but also limited. I think that I would use alternatives to driving if getting from point A to B was cheaper or faster. The speed is the main issue, since public transport can be significantly longer trip. Long term planning and infrastructure investment. Honestly, the arrival of self-driving cars and ultra-cheap vehicles is so close that this will drastically change the short-haul (last mile) commute.

138 78737
Personal vehicle
Use personal vehicle more often
More smartly connected roads with more lanes.
The interface between where the majority of people are living (suburbs) and where they work (downtown, the Domain, etc.). The same should be an answer option in the previous question.
Better planned highways, taking into account future growth (which Austin has never done). Make 360 a true highway with no traffic lights. Also, get rid of 90% of the bicycle lanes. They are not used, useless, and cause more car congestion. (Have you ever really seen more than 1 bike a week using the bike lanes on Burnet?). Stop adding toll lanes and just expand the current highways. Every other city in Texas does it, so there’s no reason Austin cannot – unless you aren’t advocating for it with TxDot. Expand the highways, add more highways, turn some roads into highways. Don’t rely on public transportation. Few people take it. Few people like it. Forcing people to use it will only make them leave. People don’t work and live in the same place. People go out to eat all over town. People take their kids to private schools. People go out shopping. People go on trips and vacations. PUBLIC TRANSIT WILL NEVER WORK IN AUSTIN. Provide it as something visitors can use, and maybe some college kids or for special events. Focus on highways like Houston or Dallas-and not tolled roads. Austin must do this. It should have been done 25 years ago.

139 78757
Personal vehicle
Use personal vehicle more often
More roads from North to South, transit options
Better planned highways, taking into account future growth (which Austin has never done). Make 360 a true highway with no traffic lights. Also, get rid of 90% of the bicycle lanes. They are not used, useless, and cause more car congestion. (Have you ever really seen more than 1 bike a week using the bike lanes on Burnet?). Stop adding toll lanes and just expand the current highways. Every other city in Texas does it, so there’s no reason Austin cannot – unless you aren’t advocating for it with TxDot. Expand the highways, add more highways, turn some roads into highways. Don’t rely on public transportation. Few people take it. Few people like it. Forcing people to use it will only make them leave. People don’t work and live in the same place. People go out to eat all over town. People take their kids to private schools. People go out shopping. People go on trips and vacations. PUBLIC TRANSIT WILL NEVER WORK IN AUSTIN. Provide it as something visitors can use, and maybe some college kids or for special events. Focus on highways like Houston or Dallas-and not tolled roads. Austin must do this. It should have been done 25 years ago.

140 78732
Personal vehicle
Use personal vehicle more often
Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk more often
Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often
Long term planning and infrastructure investment. Honestly, the arrival of self-driving cars and ultra-cheap vehicles is so close that this will drastically change the short-haul (last mile) commute.
I would rather not drive as much, but the alternatives are not well supported in central texas. Bus lines are good but also limited. I think that I would use alternatives to driving if getting from point A to B was cheaper or faster. The speed is the main issue, since public transport can be significantly longer trip. Long term planning and infrastructure investment. Honestly, the arrival of self-driving cars and ultra-cheap vehicles is so close that this will drastically change the short-haul (last mile) commute.

141 78660
Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.
Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk more often
Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk more often
More roads from North to South, transit options
Northern part to Southern part

142 78660
Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Biking, Walking
Use personal vehicle more often
Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often
Less lanes on the road

143 78640
Personal vehicle
Use personal vehicle more often
Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often
The same should be an answer option in the previous question

144 78723
Personal vehicle, Carpooling, Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way
Use personal vehicle more often
Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bikie more often
Use public transit more often
More emphasis on mode options especially public transportation and our arterial network

145 78628
Personal vehicle
Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often
More of an emphasis needs to be put on public transportation and parallel routes to IH-35 and other major roadways in the CAMPO Area. Nothing can be done to improve IH-35 and money needs to be spent else where to improve overall circulation through and around the CAMPO study area. More emphasis on mode options especially public transportation and our arterial network.
The areas west and east of IH-35. From San Marcos to Georgetown. It is essential to move towards improving the arterial network and public transportation options. With the amount of growth that has been seen in the region since 1997 and that is forecasted for the future a true shift in transportation options towards public transportation and others needs to be a focus.

146 78747
Personal vehicle
Use personal vehicle more often
Use personal vehicle more often
Better roads.
35 through downtown.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHAT ZIP CODE DO YOU LIVE IN?</th>
<th>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</th>
<th>WHAT WILL-change IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</th>
<th>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</th>
<th>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</th>
<th>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78622 Personal vehicle, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More investment in bike infrastructure and bus routes</td>
<td>Funding needs to be directed to the most efficient and sustainable modes (walking, biking, transit) instead of the least efficient and least sustainable mode (automobiles) so that it becomes easier and more convenient to choose not to drive. Transportation needs to be planned in far better connection with land use than it has to date. New mobility options and technology need to be considered and leveraged to maximize community benefit and the public good</td>
<td>Stop subsidizing cars. Stop building single family suburban houses. We need infill and we need to take car lanes away to make room for light rail.</td>
<td>The main need is to reduce dependency on the auto because it’s the least environmentally friendly, most dangerous, least equitable, least efficient in terms of making use of available road space and most costly transportation option, yet today the vast majority of the funding goes to support it. A paradigm shift needs to occur to refocus investments on non-auto modes and to recon-figure the roads and rights of way to support and enhance other ways of getting around.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78660 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (Taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78660 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78402 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78727 Biking</td>
<td>Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78730 Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way, Other</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78702 Personal vehicle, Biking</td>
<td>Bike more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78748 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78628 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78610 Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78641 Public transit is my primary way</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78733 Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78702 Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way, Other</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78628 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78663 Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78615 Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional Notes:**
- **78741:** The smaller, subdivision intersections should never have been given lights/the option. They should be right-turn only or made into circles. Stopping 4 lanes of traffic for ONE car to turn in or out of a neighborhood is ridiculous.
- **78730:** Regional routes (from Elgin or Manor) need to have a hub point at the east edge of Austin — 290/183 — and local lines the surrounding business parks would also be beneficial. Currently, if one tried to take the bus starting at "Elgin Park & Ride" to "Cross Park Dr and Forbes Dr" it would take at least 2.5 hrs. Even on a typical ‘bad day’, that drive is less than 45 minutes. Any thoughts of a ‘rail line’ that only went downtown would also do zero good.
- **78741:** Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Use public transit more often. US 290 thru Manor is a disaster. The toll needs to be extended to the east of 973. The smaller, subdivision intersections should never have been given lights/the option. They should be right-turn only with a turn-around up the road, or made into circles. Stopping 4 lanes of traffic for ONE car to turn in or out of a neighborhood is ridiculous.
- **78730:** Regional routes (from Elgin or Manor) need to have a hub point at the east edge of Austin — 290/183 — and local lines the surrounding business parks would also be beneficial. Currently, if one tried to take the bus starting at "Elgin Park & Ride" to "Cross Park Dr and Forbes Dr" it would take at least 2.5 hrs. Even on a typical ‘bad day’, that drive is less than 45 minutes. Any thoughts of a ‘rail line’ that only went downtown would also do zero good.
- **78741:** Better routes into and out of Austin from the east and west. There are really only 4 options from Manor to get into Austin -- Parmer, 290, 30-969, or 130-71. If there were a major evacuation necessary, 290 cannot absorb all of that traffic. At Manor. It barely handles daily commuters + traffic.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHAT ZIP CODE DO YOU LIVE IN?</th>
<th>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</th>
<th>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</th>
<th>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</th>
<th>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</th>
<th>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>78744</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often , Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often , Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
<td>This questions does not make sense. More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>78660</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
<td>This questions does not make sense. More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>78728</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
<td>This questions does not make sense. More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>78703</td>
<td>Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc , Carpooling, Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Bike more often , Walk more often , Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
<td>This questions does not make sense. More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>78613</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
<td>This questions does not make sense. More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>78702</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often , Bike more often , Walk more often , Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
<td>This questions does not make sense. More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>78704</td>
<td>Personal vehicle , Biking , Walking , Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often , Bike more often , Walk more often , Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
<td>This questions does not make sense. More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>78664</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
<td>This questions does not make sense. More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>78641</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
<td>This questions does not make sense. More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>78748</td>
<td>Personal vehicle , Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
<td>This questions does not make sense. More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>78732</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
<td>This questions does not make sense. More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>78749</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
<td>This questions does not make sense. More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>78702</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often , Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often , Bike more often , Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
<td>This questions does not make sense. More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>78745</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
<td>This questions does not make sense. More frequent bus routes. Bus routes that make sense and are distributed across the city. More available hours for bus routes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
East-west transit in Austin. It is easy to get north-south but going east-west takes much longer due to transfers. Also: plugging light rail / elevated rail along existing corridors in Austin: Austin, of course, being the location of the majority of people. We should concentrate on making the city proper a place ... turn so that people feel comfortable making the mode shift to alternative transportation. Otherwise, our future is grim.

I'd like to change my preferred method to public transit as opposed to auto travel. The nature of east-west service in Austin makes it difficult for me to do that right now.

Austin is going on 1/2 a century of failed leadership and no traffic solutions. Take over and run it.

Austin is going on 1/2 a century of failed leadership and no traffic solutions. Take over and run it.

Build more roads. Synchronize traffic signals. No more toll roads. No more Austin running things.

Stop subsidizing the burbs. Stop subsidizing automobile use, toll every highway.

Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often.

Investments in bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and public transit. People need to feel safe when they’re traveling without a body of armor around them.

We need a light rail from North Austin to South Austin to get people off of I-35, and we need to couple that with separated bike/ped lanes, so people feel safe when they pursue those options.

Downtown and central Austin.

Stop subsidizing the burbs. Stop subsidizing automobile use, toll every highway.

Investments in bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and public transit. People need to feel safe when they’re traveling without a body of armor around them.

We need a light rail from North Austin to South Austin to get people off of I-35, and we need to couple that with separated bike/ped lanes, so people feel safe when they pursue those options.

As I age (74 at this time) I would like to have more available public transportation (busing most probably) available and more available Uber/Lift service. Unfortunately (or fortunately depending upon how a party views such) density of various business, hospitals/clinics, churches and other public facilities must occur.

Austin, the city grows fast and the infrastructure couldn’t follow.

Austin, georgetown.

Um, all areas of central Texas need better transportation options. Certainly the Travis County and those counties bordering Travis are in need of better transportation options. Especially, the outlying areas of all the counties.

Make public transit the primary means of transport in the downtown corridor.

Better sidewalks, Protected bike lanes on all major streets; more trees and shade, separate transit lanes for BRT, built out rail network.

We need rail, BRT and safe bike lanes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHAT ZIP CODE DO YOU LIVE IN?</th>
<th>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</th>
<th>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</th>
<th>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</th>
<th>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</th>
<th>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>191 78704 Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often</td>
<td>A lot. A complete societal turnaround of fat Americans thinking differently. Those gondolas would be awesome. Or a subway just build a subway already. A lot. A complete societal turnaround of fat Americans thinking differently.</td>
<td>For the government to quit killing inventors of vehicles powered with alternative fuels.</td>
<td>Everywhere: All over. Ever been to Europe? Why don’t we have fast trains? Why don’t we have bus stops out of the way of traffic? Why won’t our community take a bus or train? Probably because it doesn’t exist near them. We are a laughingstock.</td>
<td>Austin, BUILD THE LOOPS LOOP AND QUIT BULLFING TOLL ROADS... nobody will use toll roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192 78616 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Suburban public transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193 78753 Personal vehicle , Taxi, Uber, Lyft etc., Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Roadway infrastructure that provides alternative routes and coordinated ITS systems. The needs can be addressed by funding the needed larger projects that needed to be built 20 years ago, tying the existing system together properly, addressing signal timing mismanagement by the City of Austin and other cities, ITS, and addressing affordability, one of the most important underlying causes of transportation issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194 78746 Personal vehicle, Biking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Bike more often</td>
<td>More efficient and coordinated signal timing. Increased travel time reliability.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Where: Austin core What: massive deployment of rail system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195 73301 Walking</td>
<td>Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196 78648 Personal vehicle</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subway, tram. Area transit facilities to and from nearby cities. Subway, tram</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Braunfels to Georgetown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197 78722 Biking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Where: Austin core What: massive deployment of rail system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198 78745 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Public officials to plan transportation according to what the PUBLIC CHOSES! The vast majority of people choose personal vehicles. You already know this and don’t need a survey to see it! Shorter Travel Time, Weather Considerations, Route Flexibility, and Convenience are what people want. Public Vehicles win on all counts! Stop overspending and thereby wasting money on little used modes! Spend the money on what the PUBLIC has CHOSEN! People in public service should plan according to what the Public wants, not what you want the public to do! Thank you for listening.</td>
<td>Redirect spending from much less used modes (public transit, walking, biking) to most everyone’s choice of personal vehicles i.e car! Public officials to plan transportation according to what the PUBLIC CHOSES! The vast majority of people choose personal vehicles. You already know this and don’t need a survey to see it! Shorter Travel Time, Weather Considerations, Route Flexibility, and Convenience are what people want. Public Vehicles win on all counts! Stop overspending and thereby wasting money on little used modes! Spend the money on what the PUBLIC has CHOSEN! People in public service should plan according to what the Public wants, not what you want the public to do! Thank you for listening.</td>
<td>(skip)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199 78704 Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>I am close enough to downtown that biking, walking are my options, but I would prefer we have other public transit options than just the bus to get to other areas of Austin. Austin need more rail lines.</td>
<td>Getting from the suburbs of Austin to the downtown core, and vice versa. Getting from downtown to the airport.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 78660 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>GOOD mass transit (why didn’t the toll road include passenger rail right of way???) And jobs moved from downtown to the surrounding areas.</td>
<td>Good, inexpensive mass transit. Will take an effort at the state level as the solution must cross multiple counties.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201 78757 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202 78722 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>More roads</td>
<td>Within cities: Transportation corridors with more options for mass transit - rail or express bus - would help. Between cities: Rail between cities would be a sign icant help, but if the larger cities do not have the infrastructure to support people coming in my rail without access to personal vehicles, then the intercity rails will not be used.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203 78745 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Better city planning (zoning) and expand roads faster and before it is too late Expanded roads to keep up with growth.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204 78685 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike less often, Walk less often, Use public transit less often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP CODE</td>
<td>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY)</td>
<td>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY)</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</td>
<td>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78728</td>
<td>Personal vehicle Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Having a rapid transit system available would be my mode of travel in and around Austin if it were available. I wouldn’t need my car for much at all.</td>
<td>Have a transit system similar to what Vancouver, Canada has - a rapid transit system. Rapid transit refers to the automated trains that run above and below ground.</td>
<td>Major arteries running East and West, as well as improving North/South (Elgin)</td>
<td>Austin for sure, and then the cities that surround Austin - Round Rock, Cedar Park, Buda, Kyle, Lockhart, Bastrop... a rapid transit system connecting Austin to these points would be wonderful, and costly I know. We need to get started though. It’s awful driving on Mopac, and especially I-35... and it’s getting worse with all the people moving into Austin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78660</td>
<td>Personal vehicle Walk more often</td>
<td>Infrastructure buildout</td>
<td>Having a rapid transit system available would be my mode of travel in and around Austin if it were available. I wouldn’t need my car for much at all.</td>
<td>Major arteries running East and West, as well as improving North/South (Elgin)</td>
<td>I'd love to not have to drive ever! However, public transportation here does not go where I need it to. If it does, it takes 6 times the amount of time compared to taking my car. Only know about Austin so I can’t answer regarding all of central Texas. We need more efficient public transport; trains, subways, buses with better routes, and a reminder of basic driver’s ed to all drivers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78745</td>
<td>Personal vehicle Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Infrastructure buildout</td>
<td>Having a rapid transit system available would be my mode of travel in and around Austin if it were available. I wouldn’t need my car for much at all.</td>
<td>Major arteries running East and West, as well as improving North/South (Elgin)</td>
<td>Down town bypass for cars traveling through Austin and not stopping. High speed train system between all the major cities in Texas and college towns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78681</td>
<td>Personal vehicle Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Multimodal transportation is crucial as the region develops. We seriously need to improve the efficiency and accessibility of public transportation. To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Rapidly-growing satellite cities of Austin and San Antonio (e.g., San Marcos) are particularly vulnerable to unchecked development and urban sprawl. Housing and transportation are intertwined. Cities need to encourage more mixed-use zoning to ensure that new development area become self-sufficient, thereby decreasing dependence on the city core and lessening the burden of car traffic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78703</td>
<td>Biking, Walking Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Dedicated pathways separated from regular traffic for transit.</td>
<td>CAMPO and other entities shifting road investment to public transit investment More connected and denser development patterns Better bike infrastructure</td>
<td>The Whole region needs alternatives to cars. Stop building new roads and focus road investment on maintenance Shift investment to inter- and intra-city public transit A corridor commuter rail line is desperately needed Further highlight and integrate the connection between land use and transportation with work with municipalities and counties to development in more dense, compact formats.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78660</td>
<td>Biking, Walking Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Multimodal transportation is crucial as the region develops. We seriously need to improve the efficiency and accessibility of public transportation. To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Rapidly-growing satellite cities of Austin and San Antonio (e.g., San Marcos) are particularly vulnerable to unchecked development and urban sprawl. Housing and transportation are intertwined. Cities need to encourage more mixed-use zoning to ensure that new development area become self-sufficient, thereby decreasing dependence on the city core and lessening the burden of car traffic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78678</td>
<td>Personal vehicle Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Multimodal transportation is crucial as the region develops. We seriously need to improve the efficiency and accessibility of public transportation. To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Rapidly-growing satellite cities of Austin and San Antonio (e.g., San Marcos) are particularly vulnerable to unchecked development and urban sprawl. Housing and transportation are intertwined. Cities need to encourage more mixed-use zoning to ensure that new development area become self-sufficient, thereby decreasing dependence on the city core and lessening the burden of car traffic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78703</td>
<td>Biking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Dedicated pathways separated from regular traffic for transit.</td>
<td>CAMPO and other entities shifting road investment to public transit investment More connected and denser development patterns Better bike infrastructure</td>
<td>The Whole region needs alternatives to cars. Stop building new roads and focus road investment on maintenance Shift investment to inter- and intra-city public transit A corridor commuter rail line is desperately needed Further highlight and integrate the connection between land use and transportation with work with municipalities and counties to development in more dense, compact formats.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78702</td>
<td>Personal vehicle Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Multimodal transportation is crucial as the region develops. We seriously need to improve the efficiency and accessibility of public transportation. To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Rapidly-growing satellite cities of Austin and San Antonio (e.g., San Marcos) are particularly vulnerable to unchecked development and urban sprawl. Housing and transportation are intertwined. Cities need to encourage more mixed-use zoning to ensure that new development area become self-sufficient, thereby decreasing dependence on the city core and lessening the burden of car traffic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78602</td>
<td>Carpooling Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Multimodal transportation is crucial as the region develops. We seriously need to improve the efficiency and accessibility of public transportation. To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Rapidly-growing satellite cities of Austin and San Antonio (e.g., San Marcos) are particularly vulnerable to unchecked development and urban sprawl. Housing and transportation are intertwined. Cities need to encourage more mixed-use zoning to ensure that new development area become self-sufficient, thereby decreasing dependence on the city core and lessening the burden of car traffic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78660</td>
<td>Personal vehicle Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Dedicated pathways separated from regular traffic for transit.</td>
<td>CAMPO and other entities shifting road investment to public transit investment More connected and denser development patterns Better bike infrastructure</td>
<td>The Whole region needs alternatives to cars. Stop building new roads and focus road investment on maintenance Shift investment to inter- and intra-city public transit A corridor commuter rail line is desperately needed Further highlight and integrate the connection between land use and transportation with work with municipalities and counties to development in more dense, compact formats.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78633</td>
<td>Personal vehicle Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Multimodal transportation is crucial as the region develops. We seriously need to improve the efficiency and accessibility of public transportation. To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Rapidly-growing satellite cities of Austin and San Antonio (e.g., San Marcos) are particularly vulnerable to unchecked development and urban sprawl. Housing and transportation are intertwined. Cities need to encourage more mixed-use zoning to ensure that new development area become self-sufficient, thereby decreasing dependence on the city core and lessening the burden of car traffic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78738</td>
<td>Personal vehicle Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Multimodal transportation is crucial as the region develops. We seriously need to improve the efficiency and accessibility of public transportation. To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Rapidly-growing satellite cities of Austin and San Antonio (e.g., San Marcos) are particularly vulnerable to unchecked development and urban sprawl. Housing and transportation are intertwined. Cities need to encourage more mixed-use zoning to ensure that new development area become self-sufficient, thereby decreasing dependence on the city core and lessening the burden of car traffic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78633</td>
<td>Personal vehicle Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Multimodal transportation is crucial as the region develops. We seriously need to improve the efficiency and accessibility of public transportation. To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Rapidly-growing satellite cities of Austin and San Antonio (e.g., San Marcos) are particularly vulnerable to unchecked development and urban sprawl. Housing and transportation are intertwined. Cities need to encourage more mixed-use zoning to ensure that new development area become self-sufficient, thereby decreasing dependence on the city core and lessening the burden of car traffic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78750</td>
<td>Personal vehicle Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Multimodal transportation is crucial as the region develops. We seriously need to improve the efficiency and accessibility of public transportation. To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Rapidly-growing satellite cities of Austin and San Antonio (e.g., San Marcos) are particularly vulnerable to unchecked development and urban sprawl. Housing and transportation are intertwined. Cities need to encourage more mixed-use zoning to ensure that new development area become self-sufficient, thereby decreasing dependence on the city core and lessening the burden of car traffic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78660</td>
<td>Personal vehicle Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Multimodal transportation is crucial as the region develops. We seriously need to improve the efficiency and accessibility of public transportation. To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Rapidly-growing satellite cities of Austin and San Antonio (e.g., San Marcos) are particularly vulnerable to unchecked development and urban sprawl. Housing and transportation are intertwined. Cities need to encourage more mixed-use zoning to ensure that new development area become self-sufficient, thereby decreasing dependence on the city core and lessening the burden of car traffic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78750</td>
<td>Personal vehicle Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Multimodal transportation is crucial as the region develops. We seriously need to improve the efficiency and accessibility of public transportation. To travel this way, we need more types of transportation infrastructure and mixed-use zoning.</td>
<td>Rapidly-growing satellite cities of Austin and San Antonio (e.g., San Marcos) are particularly vulnerable to unchecked development and urban sprawl. Housing and transportation are intertwined. Cities need to encourage more mixed-use zoning to ensure that new development area become self-sufficient, thereby decreasing dependence on the city core and lessening the burden of car traffic.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td>Current Transportation Method</td>
<td>Proposed Transportation Changes</td>
<td>Additional Notes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78621</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>I see a great need for more options in transit in outlying areas such as Elgin. Traffic is always backed up in Manor going to and from work. At times it can take 25 minutes to go 2.3 miles. There is only one bus route with limited times. This is not convenient for rider participation. One idea which I like is a rail like Leander/Cedar Park area has.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78734</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Public transportation options in Lakeway/Bee Cave area. Lakeway/Bee Cave Public transportation is not a reliable option to get around for the vast majority of central Texans. When the population doubles again by 2045, we can all drive a car into the city. We have to aggressively get people onto trains, buses, bikes, and on foot. Campo must reevaluate its appropriations if we have any chance of fixing traffic and saving the planet.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78705</td>
<td>Biking, Walking, Public transit is my primary way</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Go underground. To me, a subway is the only long term sustainable method to avoid the amount of car congestion the city of Austin already experiences. If a subway is not possible, then a LOT more bus routes need to be added with regular/consistent schedules and incentives given to those who take public transportation. More bike/walking lanes need to be added throughout the ENTIRE city, not just the near areas of the town. If I wanted to walk to HEB from where I live right now, I'm not able to as there are only a few sidewalks scattered throughout but I'm mostly forced to walk on the street or right on the edge of it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78668</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Need a bridge over lake Travis Additional roads in western Travis County including a bridge over lake Travis Additional roads in western Travis County including a bridge over Lake Travis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78724</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Go underground. To me, a subway is the only long term sustainable method to avoid the amount of car congestion the city of Austin already experiences. If a subway is not possible, then a LOT more bus routes need to be added with regular/consistent schedules and incentives given to those who take public transportation. More bike/walking lanes need to be added throughout the ENTIRE city, not just the near areas of the town. If I wanted to walk to HEB from where I live right now, I'm not able to as there are only a few sidewalks scattered throughout but I'm mostly forced to walk on the street or right on the edge of it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78704</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Go underground. To me, a subway is the only long term sustainable method to avoid the amount of car congestion the city of Austin already experiences. If a subway is not possible, then a LOT more bus routes need to be added with regular/consistent schedules and incentives given to those who take public transportation. More bike/walking lanes need to be added throughout the ENTIRE city, not just the near areas of the town. If I wanted to walk to HEB from where I live right now, I'm not able to as there are only a few sidewalks scattered throughout but I'm mostly forced to walk on the street or right on the edge of it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78752</td>
<td>Biking, Walking, Public transit is my primary way</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Go underground. To me, a subway is the only long term sustainable method to avoid the amount of car congestion the city of Austin already experiences. If a subway is not possible, then a LOT more bus routes need to be added with regular/consistent schedules and incentives given to those who take public transportation. More bike/walking lanes need to be added throughout the ENTIRE city, not just the near areas of the town. If I wanted to walk to HEB from where I live right now, I'm not able to as there are only a few sidewalks scattered throughout but I'm mostly forced to walk on the street or right on the edge of it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78705</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Go underground. To me, a subway is the only long term sustainable method to avoid the amount of car congestion the city of Austin already experiences. If a subway is not possible, then a LOT more bus routes need to be added with regular/consistent schedules and incentives given to those who take public transportation. More bike/walking lanes need to be added throughout the ENTIRE city, not just the near areas of the town. If I wanted to walk to HEB from where I live right now, I'm not able to as there are only a few sidewalks scattered throughout but I'm mostly forced to walk on the street or right on the edge of it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78726</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More frequent transit routes that connect across the city with easy, brief transfers. Safer bike routes that make it easy and comfortable to get around the city. Bike lanes should be buffered or protected. Dense urban-scale development and built-out sidewalk networks that allow for safe and comfortable walking to close-by, convenient destinations. Central Texas MUST focus on diversifying transportation. Cars are inefficient and unsustainable. Priority in spending and efforts must be on effective, convenient public transportation, active mobility solutions, and urban development that supports these goals.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78751</td>
<td>Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Go underground. To me, a subway is the only long term sustainable method to avoid the amount of car congestion the city of Austin already experiences. If a subway is not possible, then a LOT more bus routes need to be added with regular/consistent schedules and incentives given to those who take public transportation. More bike/walking lanes need to be added throughout the ENTIRE city, not just the near areas of the town. If I wanted to walk to HEB from where I live right now, I'm not able to as there are only a few sidewalks scattered throughout but I'm mostly forced to walk on the street or right on the edge of it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78621</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Go underground. To me, a subway is the only long term sustainable method to avoid the amount of car congestion the city of Austin already experiences. If a subway is not possible, then a LOT more bus routes need to be added with regular/consistent schedules and incentives given to those who take public transportation. More bike/walking lanes need to be added throughout the ENTIRE city, not just the near areas of the town. If I wanted to walk to HEB from where I live right now, I'm not able to as there are only a few sidewalks scattered throughout but I'm mostly forced to walk on the street or right on the edge of it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78705</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Go underground. To me, a subway is the only long term sustainable method to avoid the amount of car congestion the city of Austin already experiences. If a subway is not possible, then a LOT more bus routes need to be added with regular/consistent schedules and incentives given to those who take public transportation. More bike/walking lanes need to be added throughout the ENTIRE city, not just the near areas of the town. If I wanted to walk to HEB from where I live right now, I'm not able to as there are only a few sidewalks scattered throughout but I'm mostly forced to walk on the street or right on the edge of it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78668</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Go underground. To me, a subway is the only long term sustainable method to avoid the amount of car congestion the city of Austin already experiences. If a subway is not possible, then a LOT more bus routes need to be added with regular/consistent schedules and incentives given to those who take public transportation. More bike/walking lanes need to be added throughout the ENTIRE city, not just the near areas of the town. If I wanted to walk to HEB from where I live right now, I'm not able to as there are only a few sidewalks scattered throughout but I'm mostly forced to walk on the street or right on the edge of it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78724</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Go underground. To me, a subway is the only long term sustainable method to avoid the amount of car congestion the city of Austin already experiences. If a subway is not possible, then a LOT more bus routes need to be added with regular/consistent schedules and incentives given to those who take public transportation. More bike/walking lanes need to be added throughout the ENTIRE city, not just the near areas of the town. If I wanted to walk to HEB from where I live right now, I'm not able to as there are only a few sidewalks scattered throughout but I'm mostly forced to walk on the street or right on the edge of it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78704</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Go underground. To me, a subway is the only long term sustainable method to avoid the amount of car congestion the city of Austin already experiences. If a subway is not possible, then a LOT more bus routes need to be added with regular/consistent schedules and incentives given to those who take public transportation. More bike/walking lanes need to be added throughout the ENTIRE city, not just the near areas of the town. If I wanted to walk to HEB from where I live right now, I'm not able to as there are only a few sidewalks scattered throughout but I'm mostly forced to walk on the street or right on the edge of it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHAT ZIP CODE DO YOU LIVE IN?</td>
<td>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY)</td>
<td>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY)</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</td>
<td>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237 78732</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>I don’t understand what this question is asking or how it is supposed to be different from Q4.</td>
<td>More and larger park-and-ride stations further from Austin, e.g., Circle C, Belterra, Dripping Springs, Driftwood. I don’t understand what this question is asking or how it is supposed to be different from Q4.</td>
<td>The suburbs just outside the Austin city limit have zero access to public transportation, no sidewalks, and no bike lanes. The farm-to-market and ranch-to-market roads that were adequate connectors passing through sparsely populated areas are increasingly dangerous with high density suburbs now congesting the narrow high speed roads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238 78732</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Better and sufficient freeways.</td>
<td>Hwy 620 needs to be freeway all the way from 45 to 71. 2222 needs to be 3 to 4 lane each side between Hwy 620 and Hwy 360. Connections between 620 and 2222 need to be lyovers. Better and sufficient freeways.</td>
<td>Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239 78705</td>
<td>Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc. , Walking, Public transit is my primary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Better routes that carry you further</td>
<td></td>
<td>AUSTIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240 78621</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Bus service added and Park &amp; Ride locations</td>
<td></td>
<td>Along 290 from Manor EAST. Traffic is a serious congestion nightmare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241 78702</td>
<td>Carpooling, Biking , Walking, Public transit is my primary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Improved public transit service and connections to major employers in the Austin area. This includes UT-Austin to/from bus connections and improving the frequency of their shuttle buses for faculty and staff.</td>
<td>Better schedules from Cap Metro to accommodate working hours during the week and weekends. Also, reduce the number of toll roads.</td>
<td>The suburbs just outside the Austin city limit have zero access to public transportation, no sidewalks, and no bike lanes. The farm-to-market and ranch-to-market roads that were adequate connectors passing through sparsely populated areas are increasingly dangerous with high density suburbs now congesting the narrow high speed roads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242 78723</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Nothing at this time. I am required to travel for my job and need a 1/2 ton pickup so I must have personal transportation. We do have vehicles which are less than 2 years old and more efficient than older vehicles.</td>
<td>Faster roadways or a safe, efficient and effective mass transit option.</td>
<td>Increase density throughout Austin to improve transportation efficiency for all networks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243 78610</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Improved public transit service and connections to major employers in the Austin area. This includes UT-Austin to/from bus connections and improving the frequency of their shuttle buses for faculty and staff.</td>
<td>Faster public transportation - we need light rail!</td>
<td>Public transportation that extends into suburbs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244 78754</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often, Bike less often</td>
<td>Faster public transportation - we need light rail!</td>
<td>Faster public transportation - we need light rail!</td>
<td>Faster public transportation - we need light rail!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245 (skip)</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Faster public transportation - we need light rail!</td>
<td>Faster public transportation - we need light rail!</td>
<td>Faster public transportation - we need light rail!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246 78641</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Better schedules from Cap Metro to accommodate working hours during the week and weekends. Also, reduce the number of toll roads.</td>
<td>Better schedules from Cap Metro to accommodate working hours during the week and weekends. Also, reduce the number of toll roads.</td>
<td>Austin and the surrounding area. Only really two ways to Williamson county by car and there needs to be other driving options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>247 78704</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More high-speed bus or rail lines. More long-distance bikeways.</td>
<td>Better roads or a safe, efficient and effective mass transit option.</td>
<td>Commuting in and out of downtown Austin and the immediate surrounding areas. If not improved, congestion will increase and downtown visitors will decrease dramatically. Both roadways for personal and shared vehicles (Uber, Lyft, etc.) and new mass transit (not bus) need to be addressed, as you cannot will people to not use their personal vehicles, especially as autonomous driving evolves. personal vehicle use will increase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248 78754</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike less often, Walk more often, Use public transit less often</td>
<td>Better roads or a safe, efficient and effective mass transit option.</td>
<td>Better roads or a safe, efficient and effective mass transit option.</td>
<td>Commuting in and out of downtown Austin and the immediate surrounding areas. If not improved, congestion will increase and downtown visitors will decrease dramatically. Both roadways for personal and shared vehicles (Uber, Lyft, etc.) and new mass transit (not bus) need to be addressed, as you cannot will people to not use their personal vehicles, especially as autonomous driving evolves. personal vehicle use will increase.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td>Preferences</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78732</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78632</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Use public transit more often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78745</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78645</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78751</td>
<td>Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78650</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78660</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78621</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78665</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Use public transit more often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What Zip Code Do You Live In?**
- 78732
- 78632
- 78745
- 78645
- 78751
- 78650
- 78660
- 78621
- 78665

**What Mode Do You Primarily Use for Your Regular Travel?**
- Personal vehicle
- Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.
- Personal vehicle, Carpooling
- Personal vehicle, Use personal vehicle more often
- Personal vehicle, Use personal vehicle less often
- Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Carpooling, Biking, Walking
- Public transit is my secondary way
- Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often
- Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often
- Use personal vehicle less often
- Use personal vehicle more often

**What Will Change in Your Daily Travel by the Year 2045?**
- More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch
- Public transportation needs to be made available
- More sidewalks and density along main corridors
- More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch
- More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch
- More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch
- More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch
- More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch
- More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch

**What Needs to Change for You to Be Able to Travel This Way in the Future?**
- Please add roads to allow more entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch. PLEASE!
- Public transportation needs to be made available
- More public transit!!!!
- Safer, connected Bikeways (for those of all ages and abilities). More sidewalks and pedestrian crossings.
- Efficient public transportation
- Work needs to begin now, not in 25 years, to fix the increasing bottleneck in Manor during the morning and evening commutes. From the end of the tolled Manor Expressway portion of 290 all the way east to Old Kombro Road, traffic is getting worse during the rush hour, and there is no relief in sight. There are no alternate routes between Elgin and Austin that are direct or that do not meander through twist and turns of two-lane country roads beside 290. Moreover, the only public transportation option of which I know is the Cap Metro express bus from Elgin to UT, which does people like me who work in the Parmer Tech Ridge area of North Austin no good.
- Public transportation is preferred. The current CAP Metro bus system is not viable for my needs, or any of my peers. A real, working, public train system is necessary to serve downtown, central Austin, and the airport. Large investment in rail transport. Stop throwing money at reconstruction and tolling of existing corridors.
- Large investment in rail transport. Stop throwing money at reconstruction and tolling of existing corridors.
- Large investment in rail transport. Stop throwing money at reconstruction and tolling of existing corridors.
- Public transportation is preferred. The current CAP Metro bus system is not viable for my needs, or any of my peers. A real, working, public train system is necessary to serve downtown, central Austin, and the airport. Large investment in rail transport. Stop throwing money at reconstruction and tolling of existing corridors.

**What Needs to Change to Make It Possible to Use Your Preferred Transportation Method?**
- More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch. PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE ADD ROADS. Currently there are only 2 access points, both of which go onto the gridlock-plagued RM 620. Please add 2 roads deep in Steiner that go to 2322 and Lakeway/Bee Cave. It takes me about 10 MINUTES just to get out of Steiner Ranch from the Grove. This can include THREE school zones. In addition to the quality of life issue, there’s the obvious safety issue during times when all of Steiner needs to evacuate. Please add roads!!! Please add roads to allow more entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch. It’s a nightmare living here. Please!
- Public transportation needs to be made available
- More public transit!!!!
- Less car focused planning. Less prioritizing of parking
- Efficient public transportation
- Work needs to begin now, not in 25 years, to fix the increasing bottleneck in Manor during the morning and evening commutes. From the end of the tolled Manor Expressway portion of 290 all the way east to Old Kombro Road, traffic is getting worse during the rush hour, and there is no relief in sight. There are no alternate routes between Elgin and Austin that are direct or that do not meander through twist and turns of two-lane country roads beside 290. Moreover, the only public transportation option of which I know is the Cap Metro express bus from Elgin to UT, which does people like me who work in the Parmer Tech Ridge area of North Austin no good.
- Public transportation is preferred. The current CAP Metro bus system is not viable for my needs, or any of my peers. A real, working, public train system is necessary to serve downtown, central Austin, and the airport. Large investment in rail transport. Stop throwing money at reconstruction and tolling of existing corridors.

**Where in Central Texas Do You Currently See the Most Transportation Needs? How Can That Need Be Addressed in the Next 25 Years?**
- From my point of view, it’s West Austin: RM 620 from Bee Cave to 183. Also, I-35 continues to be problematic. Please help.
- Ranch to market and Farm to market roads that wasn’t designed to carry the amount of traf ic if they are currently carrying.
- More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch. PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE ADD ROADS. Currently there are only 2 access points, both of which go onto the gridlock-plagued RM 620. Please add 2 roads deep in Steiner that go to 2322 and Lakeway/Bee Cave. It takes me about 10 MINUTES just to get out of Steiner Ranch from the Grove. This can include THREE school zones. In addition to the quality of life issue, there’s the obvious safety issue during times when all of Steiner needs to evacuate. Please add roads!!! Please add roads to allow more entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch. It’s a nightmare living here. Please!
- More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch
- More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch
- More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch
- More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch
- More entrance/exit points in Steiner Ranch
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHAT ZIP CODE DO YOU LIVE IN?</th>
<th>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</th>
<th>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</th>
<th>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</th>
<th>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</th>
<th>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>258 78759</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Better highways, better center turn lanes on city corridors, fast rail between TX major cities, Don't ever block xwidi bike lanes instead of cars. Don't try to FORCE US TO RIDE A BUS. YOU WILL NOT RIDE A BUS</td>
<td>Build good public transportation options/trains and good bike and foot paths</td>
<td>Improve highways; lights off of 360; fast speed rail between Dallas, Houston, Austin, San Antonio; speed constructions (like on I-35) to get highways improved quicker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>259 78703</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Hard to say – I might be dead by then. If I’m alive, not sure I’ll be driving.</td>
<td>Improve highways; light rail between Austin and surrounding areas. Trans/foot and bike paths improve</td>
<td>Austin and the surrounding area; roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260 78723</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Biking, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Improve highways; light rail between Austin and surrounding areas. Trans/foot and bike paths improve</td>
<td>Austin and the surrounding area; roads</td>
<td>Austin and the surrounding area; roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>261 78633</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More public transportation options</td>
<td>Improve highways; light rail between Austin and surrounding areas. Trans/foot and bike paths improve</td>
<td>Austin and the surrounding area; roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>262 78745</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>1. We need dedicated bus lanes or light rail to extend throughout the community connecting suburbs to the central business centers of downtown and the domain. Light rail could be placed as an elevated rail over existing roads. Project Connect has great route maps to look at. 2. I-35 needs a complete redesign with express lanes that start prior to the metro area and end after it. Allows pass through traffic to not interfere with regular. Maybe in stead of tearing down the 2nd level, it could be extended the full length of the metro?</td>
<td>Improve highways; light rail between Austin and surrounding areas. Trans/foot and bike paths improve</td>
<td>Austin metro area; I-35. I mentioned how in the last survey question.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>263 78738</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Need high-capacity light rail with dense development around it.</td>
<td>Improve highways; light rail between Austin and surrounding areas. Trans/foot and bike paths improve</td>
<td>Austin metro area; I-35. I mentioned how in the last survey question.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>264 78722</td>
<td>Biking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>The Austin region needs a complete rail transit system.</td>
<td>Improve highways; light rail between Austin and surrounding areas. Trans/foot and bike paths improve</td>
<td>The urban core, needs high-capacity transit capital spending.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>265 78738</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Make a huge investment in a train system and in multiple additional crossings of the Colorado River from west of Austin.</td>
<td>Improve highways; light rail between Austin and surrounding areas. Trans/foot and bike paths improve</td>
<td>The Austin region needs a complete rail transit system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>266 78750</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Make a huge investment in a train system and in multiple additional crossings of the Colorado River from west of Austin.</td>
<td>Improve highways; light rail between Austin and surrounding areas. Trans/foot and bike paths improve</td>
<td>The Austin region needs a complete rail transit system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>267 78705</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Less congestion and road construction.</td>
<td>Improve highways; light rail between Austin and surrounding areas. Trans/foot and bike paths improve</td>
<td>Getting into various sections of town (Austin) from suburbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>268 78640</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Mass Public transportation daily between Buda/Kyle/San Marcos areas and Austin needs to be a reality to relieve I-35. 6-10pm incoming to Austin and 3-7 outgoing. I know train transport was shot down once before but if any of the train execs drive I-35, they might be more open to allowing commuter trains happen. Buses like Williamson County has would be great!</td>
<td>Improve highways; light rail between Austin and surrounding areas. Trans/foot and bike paths improve</td>
<td>Getting into various sections of town (Austin) from suburbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>269 78610</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Less congestion and road construction.</td>
<td>Improve highways; light rail between Austin and surrounding areas. Trans/foot and bike paths improve</td>
<td>Getting into various sections of town (Austin) from suburbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>270 78752</td>
<td>Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>More rail! Let’s connect all of central Texas.</td>
<td>More rail! Let’s connect all of central Texas.</td>
<td>More rail! Let’s connect all of central Texas.</td>
<td>All counties outside of Travis County.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>271 78727</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>35s and mopac in Austin.</td>
<td>More rail! Let’s connect all of central Texas.</td>
<td>All counties outside of Travis County.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>272 78748</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>35s and mopac in Austin.</td>
<td>More rail! Let’s connect all of central Texas.</td>
<td>All counties outside of Travis County.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>273 78660</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Same response as Q4.</td>
<td>Same response as Q4.</td>
<td>Same response as Q4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHAT ZIP CODE DO YOU LIVE IN?</td>
<td>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</td>
<td>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</td>
<td>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>274 78758 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike less often, Walk less often</td>
<td>Transportation funding needs to be focused primarily on sustainable &amp; congestion free modes, like public transit, biking and walking, instead of being devoted almost entirely to expanding roads that we know won’t relieve congestion, improve mobility, or lower travel times, since history shows that expanding roadways worsens congestion.</td>
<td>We Need Road Construction &amp; Maintenance! Stop pretending everyone can bicycle around everywhere. This is Texas, not Amsterdam. Our average temperature in July is NOT 70 degrees. Our city was not designed during the Roman Empire when people walked everywhere. The direction this mobility plan is going is discriminatory to families and single mothers!</td>
<td>Unfortunately everywhere in and surrounding Austin.</td>
<td>Austin roads are ridiculously behind the population boom. We do NOT want to all climb into your cattle car dream. We Want Roads!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275 78941 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Clearer and less expensive driving routes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>276 78660 Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Invest in high-capacity transit in dedicated lanes, add managed lanes to highways to allow for better commuter bus service, invest in park &amp; rides, add more frequent transit routes.</td>
<td>With most of the employment centers in Austin, I think we should be focusing on commuter transit service to/from Austin from suburbs, and making non-driving modes in Austin more appealing so those people free up space on highways for people who have to drive into Austin because they don’t have other viable options.</td>
<td>We are on track to look a lot like Los Angeles or Houston if we continue as is, a massive grid of freeways and tollroads in the suburbs with legendary traffic loads (in spite of a mass transit system). We can get ahead of that now by providing a majority of people along major corridors and other high-growth areas with convenient transit and reduce current and future car use. If I had convenient access to transit from my neighborhood to the city center, I would use it exclusively. But current options are located miles away in the form of Park and Rides, and express buses from my location are as much a victim of traf ic congestion as private vehicles. Dedicated ROW for mass transit is the only solution for our projected future growth. Only if we demolish all homes and businesses in favor of endless road construction will we be able to drive our way into the future.</td>
<td>North austin needs more public transport. And something on the lines of metro/subway, and not just buses on the road.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>277 78703 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More bike lanes/transit lanes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>278 78759 Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.</td>
<td>Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often</td>
<td>Better options to stay out of traffic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>279 78757 Personal vehicle, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way, Other</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Repar sidewalks, fill gaps in sidewalks, separated pedestrian/bike/scooter facilities widen sidewalk infrastructure to allow multi-mode</td>
<td>NW austin to downtown. We Need Roads!</td>
<td>Alternatives to driving for those commuting into Austin, and for those traveling around the city and metropolitan area. There is currently no choice for most people but to sit in traffic, and the lack of options forces other people who would otherwise make a different choice to sit in traffic too, making congestion worse for everyone.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280 78749 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit less often, Walk less often, Use public transit less often</td>
<td>More public transportation options. Extend CAMPO bus service to Bee Caves Rd/Loop 360.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>281 78746 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Extend CAMPO bus service to Bee Caves Rd/Loop 360.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>282 78660 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often</td>
<td>Extended public transportation (bus) to Bee Caves Rd up to Loop 360.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283 78660 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often</td>
<td>More public transportation options. Extend CAMPO bus service to Bee Caves Rd/Loop 360.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>284 78660 Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike less often</td>
<td>Higher capacity roads higher capacity roads arteries around metro areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285 78660 Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>286 78729 Personal vehicle, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>Change in Daily Travel</td>
<td>Change in Transportation</td>
<td>Need to Change Transportation Method</td>
<td>Central Texas Needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78757</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking, Walking, Public transit</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Convert Mopac’s Express Lane to a general lane to help alleviate traffic congestion for all, not only the rich. Reduce the number of entrance ramps on Mopac which are currently causing vehicles to yield to oncoming vehicles (instead of the oncoming vehicles yielding to flowing traffic)</td>
<td>Traffic flowing from North 183 to Mopac to downtown as well as the opposite direction.</td>
<td>Austin, More public transit within the city and to the suburbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78633</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic flowing from North 183 to Mopac to downtown as well as the opposite direction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78644</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Traffic flows in my neighborhood are becoming more crowded and lights aren’t synced. The major problem is business access congestion the normal flow. I drive from a Lockhart to San Antonio to San Marcos to Dallas a lot. I need more options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78757</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>It needs to be door to door. I’d gladly take public transit to the airport, the doctor, the grocery store if it were simpler. Hell, I’d even drive out of Westlake to 360, or Lake Austin Blvd if you’d put a park and ride down by Red Bud.</td>
<td>I-35 has to have alternatives for north/south traffic going through central Texas, without building in environmentally sensitive areas like Barton Creek, Canyons. People are going to move here from Hays to Williamson counts. We have to have regional alternatives as well as those in Central Austin. If Dallas can do mass transit, Austin certainly can.</td>
<td>Suburbs outside of Austin like Pflugerville. Can be addressed by an effort to link to existing nearby CapMetro options.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78746</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Better connectivity of public transit options and better biking infrastructure separate biking networks, not courtesy bike lanes slapped on the sides of arterial and local roads</td>
<td>353 has the most transportation needs current. Those needs can best be addressed by the development of a train system that serves the entire I-35 corridor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78660</td>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Ability to easily access public transportation. However, if I am carrying several bags or items, I will use personal car as it is too hard to navigate public transportation with many packages.</td>
<td>In the outlying areas of Austin, especially on the West side. There will be more way to navigate across the lakes and safety improvements will be needed on the current roadways. The truck traffic as well as the vehicle has increased and the roads cannot support the use.</td>
<td>More public transportation options in Pflugerville</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78704</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk less often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Stop densifying parts of Austin that get in the way of my commute</td>
<td>353 corridor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78666</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking , Walking</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Better highways</td>
<td>35 corridor</td>
<td>Loop 360, Mopac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78654</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Other</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>Ability to easily access public transportation. However, if I am carrying several bags or items, I will use personal car as it is too hard to navigate public transportation with many packages.</td>
<td>In the outlying areas of Austin, especially on the West side. There will be more way to navigate across the lakes and safety improvements will be needed on the current roadways. The truck traffic as well as the vehicle has increased and the roads cannot support the use.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78737</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Stop densifying parts of Austin that get in the way of my commute</td>
<td>353 corridor</td>
<td>Loop 360, Mopac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78746</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>Better highways</td>
<td>353 corridor</td>
<td>Loop 360, Mopac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78757</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk less often, Use public transit less often</td>
<td>Public transit needs to include rail (light rail, monorail, and/or subway) with extensive coverage and frequent trains. All other major cities in the world have this, even in developing countries. Might there be a way to at least start more development with a public/private partnership?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78758</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Walk less often</td>
<td>We must have streets and highways that are adequate for the number of vehicles on the road. Do not attempt to force people to use mass transit by refusing to provide adequate roads -- First you must find a way to entice people to use mass transit, and then you can provide it where it is utilized. Use bus routes that are easily modified and have reached capacity before building permanent infrastructure for mass transit.</td>
<td>Throughout the city of Austin and the surrounding area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78723</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc. , Biking , Walking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike less often, Walk less often, Use public transit less often</td>
<td>Better highways, smarter traffic control devices, more available and safe downtown parking, better interactive communication between vehicles</td>
<td>Make I-35 and Mopac capable of handling the volume of traffic that use them; build out functional East-west freeways from Houston through Austin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZIP CODE</td>
<td>WHAT MODE DO YOU PRIMARILY USE FOR YOUR REGULAR TRAVEL? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</td>
<td>WHAT WILL CHANGE IN YOUR DAILY TRAVEL BY THE YEAR 2045? (SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.)</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE FOR YOU TO BE ABLE TO TRAVEL THIS WAY IN THE FUTURE?</td>
<td>WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE TO USE YOUR PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION METHOD?</td>
<td>WHERE IN CENTRAL TEXAS DO YOU CURRENTLY SEE THE MOST TRANSPORTATION NEEDS? HOW CAN THAT NEED BE ADDRESSED IN THE NEXT 25 YEARS?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78735</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>faster and more dedicated bike lanes</td>
<td>more electric mini vehicles allowed to share bike lanes</td>
<td>All communities must invest in active and public transportation along with proximate jobs / housing to prevent worsening car dependency that brings us closer to gridlock and delves us further into a climate crisis. Partnerships with San Antonio and all communities on the I-35 corridor must be prioritized to advocate for regional rail at both the state and local level to connect the area sustainably while also improving quality of life for all Central Texans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78703</td>
<td>Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Carpooling, Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>CAMPO must stop funding roadway expansion and new roads and transition to invest in alternative transit and road maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td>The greatest need is in Austin. It can be addressed by adding more road capacity and by removing bike lanes that are not being utilized (or are underutilized) and return them to automobile lanes. Traffic congestion has been made much worse by taking away lanes and converting them to bike lanes. In many parts of town this &quot;solution&quot; has not worked and my observation is that most of those lanes are not being used.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78758</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc.</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often</td>
<td>The city needs to add more road capacity.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conscious about growing traffic congestion and along all major corridors, downtown communitirs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78703</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>A rail line in Austin is built, expanded busways, expanded bike lane networks are built</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78704</td>
<td>Biking</td>
<td>Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Continued shift away from auto dependency</td>
<td>All of our major metropolitan areas</td>
<td>Build densely and thoughtfully. Ensure developers pay for the impact they have on users of ALL modes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78744</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>We have to find and construct passenger rail in the cities and connecting the cities</td>
<td>Austin. CAMPO needs to fund projects for rail. That means not wasting money on freeways and highway projects.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78749</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td></td>
<td>Need expanded expressways</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78752</td>
<td>Biking, Walking, Public transit is my primary way</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Lack of safety is the main impediment to my free travel by walking, biking, and transit, as I want to do. The most Regionally significant transportation problem is safe street design, safe sidewalk access, and safe places to use vehicles - including cars, trucks, bikes, scooters, and future vehicles. We have a glut of car-priority space and most of it is terribly designed. We should focus most of our regional efforts on retrofitting, fixing, and bringing up to modern multimodal safety design standards, upgrading right of way to better throughput uses, such as transit priority lanes, and ending all car subsidies, such as the preference for &quot;free&quot; lanes, required parking, and gross negligence in allocating most of our public subsidy to encouraging car use.</td>
<td>Wherever there are people, jobs, or schools. Thus the largest needs are in the most dense places. Generally our system has historically focused unfortunately on places and those places have tended to be lower density car dependent places. Instead, we should focus on providing as many people as possible with safe, multimodal options, as well as facilitating a transportation and urban planning system that allows people to travel less, encouraging and allowing short trips, closer proximity between people, schools, and jobs, and location efficiency for all the human infrastructure for all the people of the Austin region.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78704</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Biking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often, Bike less often</td>
<td>Do NOT remove existing car lanes. Build all the bike infrastructure you want by widening sidewalks and painting a stripe that separates pedestrians from cyclists</td>
<td>Work with Tesla to have self driving cars and vans everywhere. Widen the bridges over lady bird lake. Make commuter rail to the suburbs so people in round rock and pfugverville can park and ride to downtown. Lease time slots from the existing railay that is on mopac and purchased used amtrak double decker cars to run service once during morning rush and once during evening rush.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78669</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More transit options - especially west of Austin to Bee Cave and Lakeway. And a transit option from Austin to San Antonio please.</td>
<td></td>
<td>In the suburbs. So many folks do not bike driving into downtown Austin. Parking spaces is at a minimum, and parking prices are outrageous. So unless we use Uber or Lyft, we have no other way now or in the near future to get downtown as there are no means of public transportation in my area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78738</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) more often, Walk more often</td>
<td>I would like to use more public transportation, but there are no connections from where I live to get to a bus or train depot.</td>
<td></td>
<td>North south travel between Georgetown, Round Rock and central Austin. Mass transit please!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78704</td>
<td>Biking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Bike more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Convenient bus and train service to central Austin and the domain area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78752</td>
<td>Biking</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Convenient bus and train service to central Austin and the domain area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78703</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>Better rail and bus connections between Round Rock to Bee Cave and north Austin. More bike trails.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip Code</td>
<td>Mode of Transportation</td>
<td>Change in Travel by 2045</td>
<td>Change for Future Travel</td>
<td>Need to Change for Future Travel</td>
<td>Change in Central Texas Needs to be Addressed in 25 Years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>314</td>
<td>Taxi, Uber, Lyft, etc., Biking, Walking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Use shared vehicle (taxi, Uber, Lyft) less often, Bike more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More protected bike lanes so I feel safer on longer bike trips. Faster public transportation so it does not double the length of my trip if I chose public transit vs car</td>
<td>In the center of our cities and towns. Create a limit of development around each town to protect the countryside; zone each city to be dense and walkable, connect major cities with trains, reroute through truck traffic and freight trains away from city centers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>315</td>
<td>Biking, Public transit is my secondary way</td>
<td>Bike more often, Walk more often, Use public transit more often</td>
<td>More protected bike lanes, a fully connected bike network separate from streets, a full mass transit system that connects walkable neighborhoods, shaded streets with local businesses and housing, density!</td>
<td>North East Travis County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>316</td>
<td>Personal vehicle, Walking</td>
<td>Extend Manor Toll Road to Elgin. Toll Upper lanes to bypass the city of Manor. This will greatly decrease the traffic back up on US 290 that currently exist.</td>
<td>More protected bike lanes, a fully connected bike network separate from streets, a full mass transit system that connects walkable neighborhoods, shaded streets with local businesses and housing, density!</td>
<td>More public transportation, such as commuter trains. More highways is not the answer, they just clog up and create air pollution. Building dangerous toll/managed lane infrastructure such has been done on Mopac is worse than worthless. In addition to not solving the problem, this infrastructure is going to cause fatalities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>317</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>People need to become less dependent on personal transport.</td>
<td>More public transportation, such as commuter trains. More highways is not the answer, they just clog up and create air pollution. Building dangerous toll/managed lane infrastructure such has been done on Mopac is worse than worthless. In addition to not solving the problem, this infrastructure is going to cause fatalities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>Personal vehicle</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle less often</td>
<td>People need to become less dependent on personal transport.</td>
<td>More protected bike lanes, a fully connected bike network separate from streets, a full mass transit system that connects walkable neighborhoods, shaded streets with local businesses and housing, density!</td>
<td>More public transportation, such as commuter trains. More highways is not the answer, they just clog up and create air pollution. Building dangerous toll/managed lane infrastructure such has been done on Mopac is worse than worthless. In addition to not solving the problem, this infrastructure is going to cause fatalities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319</td>
<td>Use personal vehicle more often</td>
<td>More focus on southwest Travis County.</td>
<td>More and better roads in Southwest Travis County.</td>
<td>More and better roads in Southwest Travis County.</td>
<td>Concentrate on improving automobile traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>Metrorail access from north Austin through San Marcos to San Antonio (ideally connected through all university campuses or near them)</td>
<td>The need of public transportation is in all the surrounding areas of Austin.</td>
<td>The need of public transportation is in all the surrounding areas of Austin.</td>
<td>The need of public transportation is in all the surrounding areas of Austin.</td>
<td>The need of public transportation is in all the surrounding areas of Austin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>321</td>
<td>Manor, TX.</td>
<td>Commuter traffic from outside Austin area to Austin as there isn't enough affordable housing.</td>
<td>Commuter traffic from outside Austin area to Austin as there isn't enough affordable housing.</td>
<td>Commuter traffic from outside Austin area to Austin as there isn't enough affordable housing.</td>
<td>Commuter traffic from outside Austin area to Austin as there isn't enough affordable housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>322</td>
<td>If it's not comprehensive, it will not replace cars. It must cover the whole area for people to depend on it.</td>
<td>In Austin city limits. Also, in western Travis County.</td>
<td>In Austin city limits. Also, in western Travis County.</td>
<td>In Austin city limits. Also, in western Travis County.</td>
<td>In Austin city limits. Also, in western Travis County.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>Redesign I35; create an East-West highway on 290/2222.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2045 Regional Transportation Plan
Survey Summary and Responses
Round Two

Spring 2020
English Responses
What We Heard – 2045 Survey Round 2

Surveys were available to be completed online or on paper. 610 total survey responses were received, with 608 English responses and 2 Spanish responses.

Number of surveys received each week (610 responses)

- 2/23 - 2/29: 87
- 3/1 - 3/7: 61
- 3/8 - 3/14: 31
- 3/15 - 3/21: 3
- 3/22 - 3/28: 5
- 3/29 - 4/4: 5
- 4/5 - 4/11: 20
- 4/12 - 4/18: 101
- 4/19 - 4/25: 198
- 4/26 - 4/27: 99
## In what zip code do you live? (590 responses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zip Code</th>
<th>City</th>
<th># of Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>78732</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78738</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78734</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78660</td>
<td>Pflugerville</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78669</td>
<td>Spicewood</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78666</td>
<td>San Marcos</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78730</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78704</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78757</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78726</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78750</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78602</td>
<td>Bastrop</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78759</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78613</td>
<td>Cedar Park</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78641</td>
<td>Leander</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78702</td>
<td>Austin</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78620, 78723, 78705</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78756, 78758, 78703, 78676</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78751, 78957, 78748</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78628, 78640, 78722, 78744, 78610, 78727</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78736, 78752, 78737, 78645, 78746, 78749, 78735, 78721</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78654, 78754, 78747, 78619, 78733, 78741, 78753, 79669, 78717, 78731, 78724</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78612, 76574, 78657, 78209, 78642, 78739, 78621, 78701, 78108, 78652, 78728, 78653, 78655, 78681, 78725</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

(2510 responses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects</th>
<th># of responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
<td>748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH 21 (Bastrop County)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 12 (Hays County)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road</td>
<td>Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH 130</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 281</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 183A</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH 29 (Williamson County)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 1431 (Whitestone Boulevard/Chandler Road)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM 2304 (Manchaca Road)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH 21 (Hays County)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

(304 responses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open-Ended Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) Constructing the 620 overpass at Anderson Mill Road and (ii) extending 45 to connect with the overpass to eliminate some of the traffic lights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Eastbound Pflugerville Parkway at FM 685 - need right turn lane to reduce backup. 2. Northbound Desau/FM 685 at Pecan - need bridge widened with right turn lane to reduce back up. 3. Southbound Desau/FM 685 at Wells Branch - need right turn lane to reduce back up. 4. Northbound Desau/FM 685 at Wells Branch - need right turn lane to reduce back up. 5. Northbound AW Grimes at SH 45 - need to add an additional left turn lane to line up with the west-most left turn lane that begins under the overpass. Bad back up here. 6. Southbound IH 35 Access road at Howard - need a right turn lane to reduce back up. 6. Need a transit link between Bergstrom Airport and city center that allows movement of people and baggage and links to a circulator that serves most major downtown venues. The concept is to improve speed and accessibility between airport and business and entertainment concentrations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Extend Mopac south to I-35/SR130. 2. Bridges from south ends of River Place and Steiner Ranch to reach RM2244.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130 Frontage Road/FM 685, Colorado Sand Drive, Melber Ln, Rowe Ln, Cele Rd, propose train along SH 130 to connect communities and communities to airport, similar to Denver.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1431 from Cedar Park to Lago Vista is the worst road in Central Texas. Extremely dangerous and should take priority over every other road. It needs to be divided with a median.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183A frontage roads please!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2222 and 2244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2222 need improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2222 to 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2222/620 and improved access to Vandegrift HS - At some point, continuing 45 from 183/Lakeline all the way to 71.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2243 widening and safety improvements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290 Dripping Springs to MoPac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290 Expressway - Toll road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360 most important!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 points area is disaster, specifically 2222 and 620 intersection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620 and 2222 intersection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620 tied into 45 around to kyle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620 to 2222 bypass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620/2222 area must be a priority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620/2222 at four points.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620/2222 corridor!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620/2222 in Four Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620/2222 is still an hour for the kids and bus to get to high school at Vandegrift. The bypass and other projects still are not enough. It is a safety concern. 17,000 people live in Steiner Ranch with one exit. It took 10 hours to get home when there was an accident on 620 with the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In 2011, it took 4 hours to evacuate the neighborhood during a fire, and that was with half the houses built. Please prioritize 620/2222 for safety reasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>620/2222 widening/overpass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A rail line on the abandoned MoKan right-of-way from SH 45, through Pflugerville, down to Capital Metro’s Green/East Line. The rail line should also extend down to Austin Bergstrom International Airport, then down to the Bergstrom Spur and connect with Union Pacific. This will add great commuter rail alignments and inter-connectivity with intercity/Amtrak service with a new Amtrak station near Capital Metro's South Congress Transit Center.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A train from downtown station to san marcos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Add much more bike/ped separated connectivity! We need to address climate change and get cars off the road</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adequate, affordable parking in downtown Austin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Airport terminal expansion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All of 183 (Williamson, Travis, Caldwell Counties and 183A)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All, transportation in Austin sucks.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allocation for STIP and TIP projects which are lower on the list, but may get a greater “bang for your buck” in the long run. Rowe Lane, SH 45 Frontage Roads, Decker Ln along major highways like 71, 183, &amp; 290 put in overpasses to avoid traffic signals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>American Legion Drive/Arena Drive between SH 21 and SH 71 - Bastrop. It’s a heavily used connection between these two transporation arteries and is both poorly designed and even more poorly maintained.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>An elevated rail system should be an option. Studies have show that 1) They can be installed with minimal impact on existing roadways, environment and traffic 2) They can be readily scaled to demand 3) They produce less pollution 4) When offered as an alternative to high congestion automobile routes, an elevate rail system can more than pay for itself</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>An express line between the Domain, UT Austin, Downtown, and South Congress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anderson mill 620 overpass Widen 620 in lake way 360 Cap St Highway at the North 360 Cap St Highway overpassed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anderson Mill/620 intersection improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Any projects that impact the Barton Springs watershed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anything along 620 and 2222. It is dangerous and gridlocked. In addition it has all of our high school students on that road for hours coming and going to school.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arterial A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Assuming this project will connect to the current bypass from 620 to 2222, CAMPO should prioritize: City of Austin Roadway RM 2222 to Four Points Drive ConnectorConstruct a new 4-lanedivided withpedestrian/bicycle andtransit improvements. Otherwise, the bypass currently being built will not be as successful at lowering the number of drivers on 2222, since drivers trying to get to Vandergrift H.S. will still have to enter 2222 to then turn left onto McNeil. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balancing regional growth with small town charm - encouraging high density growth of roadways around I-35 corridor without spreading out into rural areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Better connectivity for transit and bike/ped</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Better metro services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Better public access to the airport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bike and Pedestrian Connectivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike lanes and/or shoulders on small country lanes. Can be seriously</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scary when cars zip along small two lane rural roads and bikes are</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>there, and biking in the Hill Country is only getting more popular.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike lanes, commuter rail from airport to downtown and Domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge from Quinlan Park Rd to Bee Cave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brodie Lane expansion South of Slaughter Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnet Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus and rail interconnectivity between metro areas. Vision Zero,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specifically as it relates to bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buses from 620 and 2222 to downtown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buses on 620.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central red light management with active traffic management strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lets make our surface streets optimized to get people into and out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of the city at the right times.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City wide trains. It is time to stop all the one person to a car</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>travel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COA22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter rail between DFW and San Antonio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter rail connecting Austin to San Marcos to San Antonio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter rail connecting Austin to San Marcos to San Antonio.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This should be your number 1 priority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter rail to San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commuter rail. rail transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuter transit between Austin and San Antonio on I-35. commuter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>buses and more park and rides to start, with buses in both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>directions between the two cities. Rail long-term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete 45 loop ring road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion at Four Points (620/2222) &amp; additional exit out of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandegrift High School.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecting Steiner Ranch to River Place. Additional bridge crossing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over Lake Austin. Have one intersection for Steiner Ranch Blvd and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comanche. Have overpass at 620/Quinlan. Have additional route to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandegrift High School (i.e. entrance connected to 4 Points Drive).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continue making 290 controlled access east of Austin.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crosswalk educational campaign &amp; enforcement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cut and Cap IH 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dessau Road it horribly backed up and has alot of accidents. If</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anything could be done to help folks going north that don't take</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IH35 or 130 toll please help. Or maybe adjusting all the lights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>along the way in peak times?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do as much as possible to attempt to catch up. we need all modes of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>transportation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not expand IH-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not make 71 or 620 divided roadways.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t alter 150 please. Focus on improving 290 traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dripping Springs bypass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elder Hill Road is used by many in Hays County to go through</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driftwood and connect to 1862 and on to Mopac. Would be great if</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elder Hill Road could be straightened and made flood-free</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>everything on the list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand Metro Rail hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Express rail service to Dallas, San Antonio, Houston. Additional Com­muter light-rail service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeder road in Bastrop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FID 134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finish widening Anderson Mill from 620 to 183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finishing all of the overpasses on HWY 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fix 620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fix our road capacities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fix timing on many traffic signals. Correctly apply right turn lane signals when no opposing traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM 620 and RR2222 intersection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM 620 from Anderson mill to RR2222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM620/2222 intersection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on 620 &amp; 2222 intersection and surrounding areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For the US 183 expansion between Loop 360 and Tollway 45/620: Has an alternative analysis been completed? Has a DEIS been completed? Has a cost/benefit analysis been completed? Has a Net Present Value analysis been completed? What are the results of those studies?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four Points (620 &amp; 2222)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four points (RM 620 and 2222) is a mess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General N/S alternatives to IH 35 or a true loop around Austin. Better E/W connectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having some kind of public transportation option from Lakeway to Austin would be wonderful.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hey 71W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Capacity Transit needs to be the priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Speed Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High speed rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High speed rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Speed rail between Austin Airport and City of Bastrop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High speed rail network throughout the central Texas region between Austin and San Antonio specifically</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Speed Rail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 71 from Lakeway to Marble Falls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hwv 360 Mopac to 183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWY 45 between I35 and west 290.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hwy 71 connection to I35 south. Disaster zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I bet 620/2222 intersection (when school is in session) is the #1 bottleneck in all of Austin. I would rather drive on I-35 than have to deal with this intersection. It cannot lose its funding to do all of the improvements on 620 and 2222.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I commute to downtown from Cedar Park. The worst part of my commute by far is getting through 620 and Anderson Mill, then 620 and 2222. Those 2 intersections can take up to 40 minutes in the morning, then traffic is moving all the rest of the way to downtown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I didn’t see Burnet Road on the list but it’s an important corridor just like Lamar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not support I-35 as having the highest priority. The highest priority should be regional rail - something that will actually lessen traffic and not coerce more cars into the toad. This survey is flawed if it is telling participants what already has been given highest priority.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I have a disabled son who lives in Bee Caves/Lakeway. He lives in a regular apartment complex with other disabled adults who do not drive. I would like to see more access to Cap Metro rides.

I like it the way it is, with exception for tollways. Why should we pay to drive on the roads we as taxpayers already funded.

I support center turn lane and hike and bike off road shoulder for FM 3238 from H71 to RR12.

I want to stress the necessity the park n' ride train options going from bee cave to downtown.

I would like to see more commuter rail. What I DO NOT want to see is more dangerous barrier-less toll or managed lanes such as are on Mopac at present. People are going to die due to these (it has already happened in other states) and Campo knows it. It is pure hypocrisy to claim that you care about safety, but use these dangerous lanes. If you are going to add toll/managed lanes, follow what I have seen in Fort Worth—use barriers.

I-35

I-35

I-35 expansion

I-35 as the spine of the metro area. That is important along with Project Connect.

Important to be sure the regional transportation needs of Bastrop, Caldwell and Burnet are addressed. They are feeling increased growth pressures as people move farther out from Austin.

Improved light and regular rail transit

Improved public transit from west to and from airport and downtown

Improved regional mobility, while certainly desirable, has the potential to induce even more urban sprawl. CAMPO should take measures to ensure our region doesn't continue to be paved into a 6-county series of suburbs and expressways.

Interregional Transit

Intersection 290 and 71

Intersection of 620 & Anderson Mill specifically

Intersection of 620 and 2222. It's so bad we can barely leave the house. Something has to be done about 620 especially with the extensive future growth. We already can't move in the mornings and it takes forever to get anywhere.

Intersection of RR620 and 71

I've lived in the Four Points area for 22 years and am simply amazed at the lack of investment in roads. We are choking to death on traffic out here and our major arteries—like 620 and 2222 and 360—are our ONLY choices for mobility. There is literally no other way for us to get from point A to point B—not just for commuting but for school, doctors appointments, grocery shopping, just basic living. You must fix west Austin.

Joining northeast-bound 620 to southeast-bound 183 and northwest-bound 183 to southwest-bound 620 (so that Anderson Mill Road is not used as their interchanges)

Just fix what's needed, no more new toll roads

Keep Jollyville Road as it is. No room in the neighborhood for a divided highway, access to businesses and neighborhoods.

Keep Jollyville Road the same.

Kelly Lane in Pflugerville

Lakeway traffic on 620 needs help please.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lamar and 5th/6th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less highway focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light rail between Austin and San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light rail between downtown/UT campus and airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light rail between San Antonio and Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light rail especially to connect Austin to San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light rail from the domain and downtown to the airport is critical. Light rail to the soccer stadium and its campus is important too</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Rail to the airport.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light rail. Period. Not on the roads, but either elevated or underground. Stations where people live, work, and play.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lights on 620 to 2222 intersection and from 2222 to 360. All lights need to be adjusted during peak traffic times. Including limiting left turns at various locations to help with traffic flow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lite rail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop around the city. Public transportation from four points to downtown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop or freeway through manor and elgin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low impact design projects, connected system of parks and boulevards that create wildlife corridors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAINTENANCE OF EXISTING ROADS; improvement and maintenance of roads we have must take priority over new roads. Also, SAFETY; most roads are extremely unsafe for cyclists and pedestrians and drivers! But making them safer is often overlooked.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major consideration needs to be given to the problem of &quot;induced demand&quot; that was observed in Houston with the Katy Freeway project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make the cities multimodal. Prioritize train line creation for inter-city.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass transit west of Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massive expansion of rail mass transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maybe a regional study of which existing roads need to be converted partially or fully to transitways, bikeways, parks, or housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro rail down 620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mopac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More bicycle trails instead of roadways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More car capacity = more traffic. Why are we still building roads in 2020, in the midst of the climate crisis?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More Metro Rail lines please</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More patrol from law enforcement, regardless where it comes from. We desperately need these roads enforced. I almost died last year in an automobile accident on Southwest Parkway. Everyone speeds on that road ALWAYS. Put radars- SOMETHING. Your priority should be the safety of the people and right now it doesn’t feel like it is...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More PROTECTED biking and walking lanes please:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>more public transit, including long distance to other Texas cities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>more road lanes, less bike lanes, more connections from west Austin into city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More train routes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More walkways with overpasses over the highway, increased Cap Metro routes from the suburbs to downtown.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Much more transit, far less highways. Time to address climate change, equity, and quality neighborhood connectivity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutimodal - biking needs to be included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need additional river crossings outside of Austin proper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New urban areas have under developed roads. For example Blackhawk area in Pflugerville.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No more bicycles on highways!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No more highway expansions; focus on rails &amp; trails.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not everyone lives on I35. I35 continually gets money while other projects wait. Other projects are given a timeline and a start date, and then at the last minute, during a pandemic, have funding taken away for.... I35. There are more issues than this interstate. If you want to have all these people move to Texas, build the roads to support where they are moving to. The growth on 620 in Lakeway/Bee Cave is unprecedented. Thousands of hours have been spent on preparing for construction between the state, county, cities, HOAs, businesses and homeowners. And now nothing? Fund 620 construction as promised!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not that I'm aware of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nothing for bikes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On 35, the turn around are very nice, and save a lot of time, and helps traffic to not back up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One need is currently unaddressed, and that is how our investments in conventional highway infrastructure induce traffic demand, support inefficient land use development, and maintain and grow regional greenhouse gas footprints. The costs of these externalities need to be considered in each regional transportation decision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only having one way in and one way out of Steiner ranch with more housing approved and already bad traffic on 620. If there is another fire we are in serious danger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other than by auto, there is currently no way to get between Austin and Lakeway. Some type of public transportation should be established.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overpasses wherever possible west of Mopac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park &amp; Ride and bus routes that include 360 from Arboretum at Hwy. 183 to Hwy 2222. Serious congestion with NO option other than to drive!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park and ride associated with railways. The next railway project should be from the Convention Center to the Airport, then one from the Airport out to SH 130 so that the space left for rail on SH 130 can then be utilized to get folks from all the way from Georgetown to the north and Seguin to the South an easy way of getting to the airport (and downtown Austin with the aforementioned connection from the Convention Center to the Airport).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park and Ride location (TBD). Regional buses that travel to the city center OR Mueller area for drop off. There is a lane imbalance along 969 that &quot;artificially&quot; controls the flow of traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parmer is not ready to handle all the new companies moving there. From Whitestone to Mopac. Inadequate. The number of accidents on 360 just south of intersection with 183 is appaelling, something needs to be done. Mopac. Enough Said.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearce Lane FM 535 from SH 130 to Wolf Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please add additional exits to Steiner Ranch in West Austin. We're trapped in this bubble and it's a safety hazard, as well as a quality of life issue. Please also address traffic heading towards RM 620 on 2222 at Rush Hour. It's a nightmare.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please allocate the funding for 620 projects. Very dangerous roads and increased development has this area in urgent need of improvements. Thank you.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLEASE do anything to help FM 620 between Steiner Ranch and 2222 River Place!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please fix the four points Intersection and widen 2222</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please remove from our county and regional plans these unneeded and harmful projects: the extension of Jacob's Well Road, from FM 2325 to Wayside Drive; the extension of Wayside Drive across the Blanco River; a western loop around San Marcos; an extension of FM 150 west of RR 12; a loop around Dripping Springs; and an extension of Escarpment Boulevard in Travis County all the way down to FM 150.

Project 34 Project 17 Project 54

Project 5: Grade separate the Red Line rail from N. Lamar Blvd. Priority should be on improving and expanding transit. I do not support expanding roads or building new ones except when necessary for safety or when there are no other options for transportation. I do not support the expansion project for I-35.

Provide mass transit options to suburbs and ETJs
Public transit AKA trains or metro!
Public transport
Public transportation and active transportation
public transportation from Pflugerville to Austin and Round Rock
Quinlan Park Rd
rail
Rail between Austin and neighboring cities
Rail has to happen.
Rail options from Round Rock and Pflugerville to north Lamar and highland mall area. Bus options to Domain. Ride Share parking lots in Pflugerville/Hutto north of 45.
Rail or other public transit option connecting San Marcos, Buda, Kyle, and Austin, similar to Lone Star Rail.
Rail service from Georgetown to downtown Austin
Rail to 78732
Rail to the airport and to far northwest austin towards steiner ranch
Rail! Rail needs to be considered respectfully and seriously. It is the future. Building roads can only go on so long. It obviously is solving the traffic if we have to keep building and expanding them.
Rail!!! To San Antonio
Rail, bike lanes and trails, sidewalks
Ramps connecting 45 tollway to IH35 and vice versa
rapid bus transit between counties in the CAMPO region; and rapid bus transit between CAMPO and AAMPO regions
Reducing the number of cars on road
Reducing traffic along the 620 corridor is paramount to quality or life and safety.
Regional light rails that can connect you from city to city
Regional rail up and down the I-35 corridor, and moving freight out of the towns and city corridors.
Reimers Peacock road in west Travis county (71 to 12 connection)
Relieving congested traffic in areas that have limited alternatives should continue to be a priority.
RM 2243 FID325 WLC0019
RM 620 & FM 2322 intersection is a disaster. An overpass needs to be placed there!
Rm 620 needs overpasses and additional lanes.
RM620 and FM2222 connection
Roadway - CoA Burnet Rd corridor

Roadways stay the priority as they become more congested, but an abundance of Walking and Biking Trail Projects define and set our region apart. These should always be priorities to add/maintain/improve at every opportunity.

Robinson Ranch Rd

Route 304 between Bastrop and I-35

RR12 to IH35 SNM030 with reat care over recharge Zone and Blanco River

S Congress

safety for pedestrians, cyclists, and others not in cars in of paramount importance. It’s shameful that our area roads, even with Vision Zero, are death traps. Eroding the priority of single occupancy cars--and thus changing car culture-- is the only real way to get these injuries and deaths down.

Second Fire Exit out of Steiner Ranch

SH 1431 between Lago Vista and SH 29

Sh 45 from RM1826 to 183A - West Loop

SH 45 needs to be completed to connect through I 35

SH 45/SH 130 frontage roads within Pflugerville area

SH 71 in Spicewood TX

SH 95 in Smithville was not on your list, but that would have been my #1 answer choice.

SH 95 Upgrades in Smithville are extremely important.

SH 45 access roads between Heatherwilde Blvd. and SH 130

SH 95 Expansion in Smithville Hwy 71 Exit Ramp to Riverbend Park

Sidewalks near schools for kids walking back home

skip putting bike lanes on the roads. people hardly use them.

SNM030 the north loop around San Marcos is necessary. It would allow secondary access to a large population of people who live out Hilliard and Lime Kiln Road. Hilliard Road is dangerous and busy and the loop would take some pressure off of it. FM 1826 is also a busy dangerous road with increasing traffic due to new subdivisions.

Steiner Ranch access to Bee Caves over Lake Austin. Fire and traffic needs. 620 and 2222 is a traffic mess. Needs improvement but still maintain small town accessibility.

Stop building more roads and inducing sprawl. Focus on transit and active transportation.

Taxpayers and Hays residents don’t want new roads over the Edwards Aquifer, their source of drinking water. It just land speculation and monied interests at its worst. The Hill County heritage in Hays County should be respected for generations to come.

Tear down the the HWY 71 Bridge over the Pedernales River Bridge and all access to the Hill Country from Austin.

The congestion on 620 and 2222 has become so overwhelmed over the years. The barriers put up on 620 before the dam are extremely difficult to see in the early morning and at night (need better striping & reflectors on the ground and barriers). The vertical strips/barriers of reflectors put up on 2222 & areas of 620 are difficult to see at night as well.

The congestion on FM620 in Lakeway between HWY 71 and Anderson Mill Road is stagnant most of the time and it needs immediate attention.

The Four Points Drive road is shown in the map but is not on the list. Given that this Vandegrift HS and Four Points MS have over 3,000 students, having a second exit from these buildings in the case of a wildfire, shooting, or other emergency should be a top priority.
The Four Points traffic congestion at RM620 and RR2222 particularly the bypass from RM 620 north to RR2222 at Riverplace Blvd for Vandegrift High School traffic congestion

The gridlock at rush hour in the four points area

The largest regionally significant transportation need is the lack of safety by all modes, which primarily must be addressed by reducing the speeds of large mass vehicles (cars and trucks) and by providing safe access by all modes, most remarkably the stark lack of safe sidewalks for most people of the region.

The lowering of I-35 in downtown Austin (NOT the widening of I-35).

The new CAMPO plan is absurd. This spends millions of tax dollars to create more impervious cover, air pollution, and hotter temperatures. The better solution to mandate stay-at-home alternating schedules to reduce traffic. We need to be better and wiser.

The Red Line trail crosses multiple counties and would be transformative for economic development

The Red Line Trail would be a relatively low cost way to allow a great deal of travel in central Austin. The Green Line to Manor deserves consideration as well

The Red Line Trail.

The traffic coming up 620 often uses Anderson Mill as a bypass to connect with 183. 45 should extend further down 620 and capture that traffic. Anderson Mill is not designed to accommodate traffic that is looking for a highway.

The travel from Steiner Ranch to 2222 is absolutely horrendous. The funds should not be taken away from this project.

There is no public transit (bus, CARTS, etc.) plan for Hays County which is the fastest growing county in the area.

There needs to be traffic stress capacity to provide for safe and timely evacuation in the event of a disaster such as wildfire.

There should be more focus on pedestrian/bicycle/transit projects. It’s a little ridiculous that these types of projects are lumped together as one “project” but every single highway project is separate so you’re forced to prioritize at least one roadway project.

These transportation routes SHOULD NOT be paved over aquifer recharge zones. It is your responsibility to execute transportation projects from a multidisciplinary standpoint where the integrity of our natural waterways is not destroyed simply because it is the easiest, fastest, and cheapest solution. That is not a solution, that is irresponsibility. Thank you!

Traffic is horrendous on 2222 btwn 360 & 620 and 620 to Lakeway!

Train from Spicewood to metro

Train transportation across the region that connects Austin to San Antonio and all other cities in between

transit

Transit in Pflugerville

Transportation from rural communities into the Austin Metropolitan area

Travel East-West besides 360

TRC023

Trucking corridor to free up 35

Unknown

US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties) US 183 (Caldwell County) FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard

US 183 toll extension from regular 183 to SH 29

US 183A RM 2222 (Koenig Lane/Northland Drive)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vandergrift High School traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vandergrift alternative entry/exit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walnut Creek loop. Waller creek network through city. Bike and ped crossing from downtown to east side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We do not need more bicycle lanes on any roads if it requires getting rid of a car lane. People are not giving up their cars!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We have, for years needed a West Loop and East-West freeways through central north and south Austin (in between Hwy 183 and Ben White). 25 years ago it was on the plan and clever people took it off—sad for Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WE need commuter trains in the state. The fact that its not even being considered is absolutely ridiculous.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need light rail along the I35 corridor from San Antonio to Georgetown. I35 congestion will seriously erode quality of life, Would also like to see a trail system extended south of Austin to San Marcos and beyond.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need to consider the carbon footprint enabled by personal automobile transit in each and every transit decision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need to do something about the traffic from Kelly Lane and Weiss Lane and getting people into east Pflugerville better. The traffic is already an issue, and widening to 4 lanes isn't going to solve the issue. There needs to be a thoroughfare into east Pflugerville somehow bypassing all of the neighborhoods west of Weiss Lane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need to focus all funds on green mass transit first with no intrusion into water quality protection areas (like Barton Springs and recharge zones). More highways do not solve the fundamental issues. I'm a 5th Generation Texan and I do not want more waste of our lands and waters when we need to plan for a greener transportation future!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need to not expand Hwy 150 that is ridiculous waste of time and money. Seems like outside interests are driving that vs common sense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We should have REGIONAL bike infrastructure that allows people to commute within the region. We should focus on improving bike and pedestrian infrastructure within cities to reduce traffic and congestion on the local level. We should prioritize repairs and maintenance over building new roads... new roads won't stop congestion... only increasing transit choice (bus, bike, walk) will do that.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Austin/ Rm 620 has been ignored for too long!!!!!!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Why did you not put I-35 on this list? It is the topic of the day.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widen Quinlan Park Road and second exit out of Steiner Ranch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widening 620 from 71 to 2222 With medians and dedicated left turn lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wider divided lanes for rt71 in bee cave Spicewood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work with TXDOT to do whatever is needed to change names and signage to route thru traffic set from &quot;downtown&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, The HIGHEST priority should be to create an intercity rail line linking Austin with San Antonio. Every day there are over 5,000 people who go from Austin to San Marcos (Texas State University). Building more roads and widening freeways is NOT the answer!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, the intersection of hwy 71 and Pedernales Parkway into the Sweetwater development is dangerous and operates in a way that is not at all intuitive, especially as you are leaving the neighborhood to turn LEFT. Also, as one drives W to E along hwy 71, there needs to be a right lane turning lane into the neighborhood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, We need to be looking at the Austin to San Antonio Rail Corridor. This was in the plan previously and needs to be added back in. Even if it's only a study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You need to consider the lack of exits from Vandegrift High School</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and project?

(191 responses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Open-Ended Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#NoNewRoads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Please protect our conserved property... no roads. 2. I support a rail line btw Austin and San Antonio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-points MAIN CONCERN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620 and 2222 is one of the worst traffic snarls in Travis County. Please weigh it highly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620 is a dangerous nightmare that needs attention now. It’s already been neglected for years and should not be delayed further.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>620 through Bee Cave &amp; Lakeway needs to be be done. There are so many accidents. Kids are walking on the side of the road to &amp; from school. Not safe!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 is a death trap from southwest parkway to the county line. This need to be a divided highway with no left hand turns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71 is the only way the people from 3 counties have into Austin. If it becomes gridlocked with the massive expansions going on, it would be detrimental.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accelerate all projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addressing traffic and roadway issues along 620/2222 area is a priority over I-35 which is always under construction. If the decision makers continue to bump projects ahead of the ones already identified as needed improvements and already approved, needed projects will never get done.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all of 620 needs help! 2222 as well. why can’t this be done. we have been here 30+ years and were told about the &quot;loop&quot; around the city to include 620, 71, mopac, 183. why hasn’t any of this been addressed here in Austin? San Antonio, Houston and Dallas all have “loops”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson Mill project should be given high priority, given the large traffic congestion caused by that intersection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any attempt at putting I-35 underground through austin is really a waste of taxpayers money and will only cause deaths and flooding during large rain events just like in Houston. Learn from their mistakes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions: Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment. Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County. The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network. Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion. For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway.

Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads. Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County and southwest Travis County.

**Austin emphasis is on northwest growth, but real focus is needed in the Pflugerville/Northeast Travis County area**

Austin needs 360 and 620 as highway loops with no stoplights. Austin leadership acts like they’ve never seen or heard of a thing called an overpass. Guess what, they work!

Be sure to allocate sufficient funding to areas outside of the metro areas. 281 has become an alternate route for IH35 and needs safety improvements and widening.

*bike/ped facilities should be included in all*

*bridge Projects needed in bastrop to cross over to 304 for egress*

*Build less roads and more automated mass transportation projects.*

**CAMPO needs to update its thinking to real and broadly applied alternatives to SOV**

CAMPTS is a 100% waste of money. Close it and divert those funds to Uber/Lyft. You’ll achieve 100% better results at a fraction of the cost. 2nd comment – quit wasting money on bike lanes. Central TX is a convection oven 9 months out of the year. No one rides bikes. Quit trying to force the issue while wasting millions of dollars. Quit being social engineering morons.
Climate change is coming and CAMPO’s 2045 is proudly in denial. Be leaders and stop the madness.

**COMMUTER RAIL**

Consideration of land use/employment/transportation nexus is key to developing a sustainable plan.

Continuing to expand and improve North-South movement options in the region is critical. Despite additional explanatory materials, the demographics continue to be questionable. In several area, the 2045 projected population is lower than the 2040 projection! The current projections are untrustworthy.

**DO not defer the CAMPO project money to I35**

**DO not defund the 620 project.**

**DO not make 71 or 620 divided roadways**

**DO not pull back funding for previously approved RM620 projects and Loop 360 projects.**

**DO not take funding away from projects on 620 and 360**

**DO not take funds already awarded 620 projects**

**DO NOT take money away from the RM620 project from SH71 to Anderson Mill Road!!!!**

**DO not withdraw funds AGAIN away from RR 620 and FM 2222**

**Don’t expand Highway 150**

**Don’t mess with Hays County!**

**Done prioritize I35 unless you address 360 or a loop around. Don’t make people ONLY go through austin**

**Don’t sacrifice car lanes for bicycle lanes.**

**Don’t sacrifice car lanes for bike lanes**

**Draft poorly conveys existing conditions and future strategies to implement projects that address congestion.**

**Emphasis on Vision Zero!**

Evacuation planning for the Hornsby Bend area is lacking an consideration of the number of residential homes that are increasing steadily in numbers. Additionally should there be a disaster there is only one way out of the neighborhoods (onto 969) before reaching I-30 and then 973 south or north.

Expansion of 620 is an URGENT priority and must not get deferred to later years. This stretch of road is the ONLY corridor for the entire Lake Travis area and is extremely unsafe and congested. This must be made top priority. There has been WAAAAAY too many major accidents and deaths. It shouldn’t take 45+ minutes to travel 7 miles on a normal afternoon up the 620 corridor from Mansfield Dam to 183.

**Extension on toll 45 all the way to bee cave (SH71)**

**Extremely dangerous roads like 1431 that have yellow lines of paint as dividers should be priority over all else.**

**focus on the poorer areas of town**

Forget about biking, the roads are not wide enough.

**Fund projects that encourage walking, biking, carpooling**

Further encroachment into the Hill Country will be an environmental disaster. Sprawl creates huge infrastructure problems requiring expensive fixes. The proposed Roadway Projects is not sustainable economically or environmentally.

**God bless you and thank you for your service!**
Help! I selected projects for comment. I selected [Next] and the survey app went to a "thank you for your input", an exit page. Green line at the bottom: page 3 of 9. I am using an iPad Air with current iOS opsys. what is wrong with my navigation? Sue Anderson,

**Hwy 71 between 281 and 290 is a death trap**

Hwy 71 is major corridor between Austin and Houston and needs to remain a priority.

I am astounded and astonished by the focus on highways and roadways. We are going to be inducing demand and increasing our region's contribution to climate change.

I am disappointed that funding may be taken from cycling projects in favor of IH35

I am very concerned about constructing new roads in western Hays County over sensitive recharge areas. I understand CAMPO wants to move traffic, and doing so protects air quality, but opening new areas to residential development over our contributing and recharge zones is just a bad idea.

I believe that prioritizing IH35 over everything else is wrong, and should not be taking away money from other projects to prioritize this one.

I believe the plan is good. Just make sure you are considering how this affects public lands.

I don’t see the plan.

I like what has been established as the 2045 plan.

I oppose turning FM 150 into a 4 lane divided developer highway. The proposal has it running through onion creek and hays county watershed which is not acceptable.

I support project 34, 17, and 54 in that order.

I support SOS Alliance: don’t expand or develop roads over the aquifer as we need the water more than roads.

I would like the improvement to Fuchs Grove / Cameron Rd / Englemann Road connection which is referred to as "Decker Ln”. Since I work on SL 360, I would like improvements to all its intersections.

I35 is low priority since there are alternatives like 130

I35 isn’t as bad as what is happening on RM 620

I-35 needs to have congestion tolling.

Ignoring the gridlock on 620 is absolutely irresponsible. It routinely takes my kids over an hour to go 6 miles to get to school. Then, considering ANYONE who works outside of the home has to commute with hours being lost everyday. The frustration level is at a peak and everyone drives aggressively because of it. How many people need to die? And if there's an accident, which is everyday, that adds to the traffic.

In general, I am very opposed to all of the development that only promotes more development of Austin.

Install medians to prevent head on collisions

Instead of adding loops, most of the congested areas are simply needing an extra lane added. Or correct zany traffic patterns.

It can’t be all road projects y'all. 2040 was a bad plan. 2045 needs to be better. Look at the MWCOG plan as an example of a truly multimodal transportation plan.

It is poorly written; very little public engagement; projects need to address aging transportation system not building new roads; entirely new approach is needed to manage the 2045 Plan, it’s designed for 1970s transportation and not the 21st Century. Time to be forward thinkers and not puppets.
It makes no sense to me to be expanding roadways which encourage and increase the use of fossil fuel vehicles. Focus should be on magnetic or other modes that do not require a car, truck, etc. In 50-75 years global warming will render these roads useless.

It needs to include 620 from Mansfield Dam to 183

It’s depressing that most of the entries on the list are suburban-sprawl enablers that will only lengthen the list in the future.

Keep alternative forms of transportation in the forefront of planning projects. Thank you.

Keep the 620 project

Keep up the good work.

Keeps focus on central area. But we have to get to the central area to get the train, the bus, etc. We need to look to the large population along 360 and east to MoPac! We need help!

Land use and transportation in the region should be coordinated so that efficient transit can be provided to centers of development. When development is spread out, it is difficult to provide efficient transit. Evaluation of projects should be based on providing access to jobs and services, not on the speed of vehicle travel on roads.

Leave 150 alone.

Less focus on highways

Less money for automobile infrastructure, more towards alternate mobility options

less toll roads more free lanes

Let taxpayers vote

Limit/eliminate large un-restricted access roads on the Edwards Auifer recharge zone, and in recharge areas for the Trinity Aquifer.

Make aquifer protection a priority to keep drinking water clean. Make river protection a priority (e.g., San Marcos River, Blanco River). Road projects should not compromise feeder creeks, recharge zones, etc.

make bike trails separate from roads

Mokan should not go into Pflugerville. Y’all need to find a way to go around our town. There are too many established residential areas in that plan.

More infrastructure for alternative modes of transportation

More roads do not solve congestion, they only create induced demand. More roads are the problem, not the solution.

More train routes.

More transit, less cars!

n/a

NE AUSTIN should be a priority 620 is a safety hazard and the roads can’t handle the number of travelers

Need a plan for Route 95 and Route 304

Never cut through communities! Go around them!

NO MORE TOLL ROADS

No more toll roads

No Tolls

No Wimberley Wayside Drive expansion/ connection to Jacob’s Well Rd. or 1492

No, but I appreciate being asked! Hopefully some of the other responses convey gratitude for the work being done!

None that are pre-existing. Would really look forward to expanding railways.
Not at this time, other than to say "thank you" for all the hard work.

NW Austin is in dire need of relief from volume congestion. Work on RR620 must not be deferred.

**PASSANGER TRAINS!!!**

Plan appears to be comprehensive in identifying transport. needs

Plan don’t react

Plan for city growth

Please consider 620 area by Steiner Ranch and Lakeway & the 620/2222 area

Please consider active transportation needs in all roadway projects. Where possible and effective, separated bike and pedestrian paths should be standard.

Please continue to prioritize protected bikeways. The lack of a connected bike network is the biggest challenge to taking more trips by bike.

Please continue to prioritize the Four Points traffic area—during COVID-19 it takes leads that 15 min to travel from home to Vandegrift High School. During “normal” mornings & evenings this often takes 45 min or more (when there is an accident which was becoming daily)

Please design bike and walk as if you were going to let your child use it. It should be safe for them to use and not feel as if they will get killed by a vehicle. If it is not that safe… consider posting a caution sign to walk and bike at own risk.

Please do not defer the RM 620 corridor projects. They are the foundation to the projects that will improve the congestion for a large population from Cedar Park to Bee Cave.

Please do not drop the projects linking 620 to 2222 from Steiner Ranch

Please do not reallocate funds from approved projects like 620 / Anderson Mill overpasses. Those serve thousands of people and significantly improve the safety of the entire far north / far nw Austin area.

Please do not tunnel under surface corridor. If anything, go up when necessary.

Please don’t mess with Jollyville Road. There are already sidewalks and bike lanes – removing driving lanes will create severe traffic congestion in the area.

Please fix 620 traffic for our Vandegrift High School students. They are spending hours on buses in traffic.

Please stop inducing sprawl during a climate crisis by funding roads in the suburbs. Fund active mobility transportation and public transportation.

Prioritize road projects over Limited utility public transport.

Prioritize transit above all else. Where is our intercity rail?

Protect all land that has been already protected. No roads through conservation areas.

Provide more loops around Austin.

Public transit for Hays County!

Public transportation options for residents in the city of Pflugerville and our east side ETJ need serious consideration.

**Rail**

Rail on the MoKan corridor should be a #1 priority.

RM 620 project Anderson Mill has been neglected and push back too many times. I-35 is important, but can handle the traffic, RM 620 was never built to handle the traffic it incurs today and the growth expected

RM 620, 2244 and 360 are all interconnected and have bottle necked our traffic.

RM 620/RM 2222 need attention now
RM620 and the 620-2222 MUST be addressed

RR 620 can’t wait until 2045. The traffic is insane. It takes an hour to drive 9 miles to the local HS in the morning. That is a local trip. If I need to go anywhere I have to time it began traffic patterns of Or I’ve wasted half the day. The huge concern is an evacuation. This would be catastrophic. Unless there is another roadway built from 78733-34 to the 360 traffic will continue to get worse in 620. This needs to be addressed.

Safety for people, not just convenience for cars.

Same as above—more commuter rail and NO dangerous barrier—less managed/toll lanes. Public safety must come FIRST!!!

See above. Thank you.

Shunting funds from current projects to 135 without public input is shameful

slower speeds and fewer car trips only way to achieve vision zero.

Start widening 620 now. Do not add concrete barriers in the medians to obstruct traffic in Lakeway. This will create chaos and make all traffic intersections a nightmare.

Stay out of Burnet County….. we are not part of the the so called “five county” coverage area….. their is not enough water to support expanded growth

Steiner Ranch to 2222 widening is a must.

Stop encouraging and inducing car travel. Encourage alternatives.

Stop robbing Peter to Pay Paul. The money are approved for critical project and to yank it to fund the I-35 project is wrong. SH-130 was built to address the issues of I-35 yet not enough was done to push traffic out to 130. You guys blew it and now want to paralyze the rest of the city so you can swing and miss again on I-35.

Stop subsidizing sprawl. Only projects that support sustainable land use should be included.

Striner ranch fire and traffic routes.

Strongly object to NF 2 which shows a 290 Bypass going past Dripping Springs Elementary for which the current path shows it tearing through many new homes that have just been built that cost upward of 600K USD each… It makes no sense…

Take a more proactive and visionary stance over reactive measures to attempt to prop up status quo “solutions”

The Covid-19 lockdown has demonstrated that there is a huge percentage of the white collar workforce that can be effective from home. Some consideration needs to be given to how to effectively incentive this to keep people off the roads as much as possible.

The Escarpment roadway project should be scrapped.

The former CAMPO ChCOVID 19 crisis should be the reason why they May 4th meeting should be postponed for 60 days.

The new CAMPO plan is absurd. This spends millions of tax dollars to create more impervious cover, air pollution, and hotter temperatures. The better solution to mandate stay-at-home alternating schedules to reduce traffic. We need to be better and wiser.

The Oak Hill Y should be turned into a true grade-level parkway (without excavation, without service roads), and the savings should be diverted to I-35.

The plan is outdated and deeply flawed. Please start over with a foundation of sustainable transportation principles. Also please read Transportation for America’s Congestion Con. We know more roadways and lanes will only make traffic worse.

There are huge productivity losses (in the tens or even hundreds of millions) from people sitting in traffic around the area. More capacity and smoother flow is essential if we are to attract additional economic resources to the region.
There are several easy fixes. Slaughter at Manchaca adds a lane just before the light, heading east. It should be a right turn lane onto Manchaca Lane. One car going straight can back up turning traffic. Slaughter at Brodie is a good example of what it should be.

There are TOO MANY “new” roads on the project list. Factor in actual adequate maintenance and safety improvements first; then see what’s left of our budget before making lists like this.

There continues to be rapid growth in NW Austin.

There is WAY too much focus on building new roads. What we need is to develop greater transit choice by promoting and supporting alternative transit (bus, train, bike, ped) with our hard-earned tax dollars. Please don’t allow our region to become the next Los Angeles.... help us prevent and reduce traffic by promoting transit choice in the region and within cities and localities!

There needs to be more discussion and transparency about the growth forecasts for the region in 2045. It is more likely that Travis county will have the most growth of all the other counties and more funding should be allocated to Travis County and to more multimodal transportation projects to reduce sprawl and GHG emissions while still meeting transportation needs.

This is an autocentric design that will further commit current and future generations to a transportation system that perpetuates global warming and oil dependence. It other words, this plan has no future!

This is too little, too late in terms of doing anything about climate change. What a waste of dollars sunk into conventional highway expansion that we know does nothing to alleviate traffic in the long term.

Too many roads across senstive environmental zones. Not acceptable.

Too many roads and not enough focus on decreasing carbon emissions from transportation.

Too much emphasis on trucking and IH 35 and not enough on supporting residents.

Too much focus on roads.

Too much. Too expensive. Stop spending money!

Traffic west of downtown Austin MUST be addresses now!

Was a monorail ever considered in the planning?

We are all tax payers and all the road repairs cannot just go to I-35. Do not eliminate the repairs for FM620. FM620 is an essential road that requires repairs to be able to get children to school and folks to work.

We are far more than just I-35. Do not take back money already authorized for projects.

We do not need any more car capacity. There is no reputable evidence for building any more roadway expansions.

We need more accessible public transportation, such a light rail, which I do not see addressed.

we need multi-modal solutions, no just toll roads and road construction.

We need to consider a new roadway designation for safety improvements in conservation areas so that road widening doesn’t negatively impact the environment or scenic quality of the area.

We need to drastically change the amount we fund walking, biking, and transit projects. Our planet is on fire and we cannot keep expanding roads. They just fill up with more traffic, and so on. We need to give people meaningful options to get out of their car because right now their only choice is to drive. We need better transit for people to choose.

We need to fix 620. Daily delays of 30 minutes to go 2 miles from Steiner Ranch to 2222 is ridiculous.
We need to plan for more density in Austin! History shows us this is where the growth will be. You always overestimate growth in the suburbs.

We’re very concerned about funding being taken away from the RM-620 project (Lakeway/Bee Cave). This area needs the proposed road widening and the center median for improved safety.

Western Austin has been ignored for too long. Please provide some relief on the 620 corridor.

Where is the info on HWY 45 between I35 and 290 west?

Why do we need such fast speed limits? As it is on most highways people go above the limit so highways are very dangerous.

Why is there no consideration for the 620, Quinlan park area?

Widening roads is a losing game. Invest in projects that reduce vehicle miles traveled.

Widening roads is a mistake that we as a species should have grown out of. I find it astounding that in the Year of Our Lord 2020 anyone can propose widening roads as a solution to transportation needs. Truly appalling.

With COVID-19 and the resulting teleworking that is happen, perhaps include telecommunications infrastructure (G5) into your roadway projects.

Would be helpful to have breakdown of the transit and active transporation projects, just like the road projects are broken down.

Yes, we need more roads and less bicycle lanes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido</td>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2 In what zip code do you live?</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?</td>
<td>Respondent skipped this question</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78717

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78654

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>US 281</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 29 (Burnet County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

SH 1431 between Lago Vista and SH 29

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78751

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 2  
Capital Metro bus routes

Project 3  
Walking and biking trail projects

Project 4  
CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Project 5  
RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Light rail especially to connect Austin to San Antonio

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Widen Quinlan Park Road and second exit out of Steiner Ranch

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78749

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 1 (MoPac)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78702

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Better connectivity for transit and bike/ped

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78702

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 130
Project 2  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 3  US 290 (West of IH 35)
Project 4  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 5  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Work with TXDOT to do whatever is needed to change names and signage to route thru traffic set from "downtown".

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
No—thanks!
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live? Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map. Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live? 

78703

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 3  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 4  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 5  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? 

MAINTENANCE OF EXISTING ROADS; improvement and maintenance of roads we have must take priority over new roads. Also, SAFETY; most roads are extremely unsafe for cyclists and pedestrians and drivers! but making them safer is often overlooked.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? 

There are TOO MANY "new" roads on the project list. Factor in actual adequate maintenance and safety improvements first; then see what's left of our budget before making lists like this.
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

We should have REGIONAL bike infrastructure that allows people to commute within the region. We should focus on improving bike and pedestrian infrastructure within cities to reduce traffic and congestion on the local level. We should prioritize repairs and maintenance over building new roads... new roads won't stop congestion... only increasing transit choice (bus, bike, walk) will do that.
Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

There is WAY too much focus on building new roads. What we need is to develop greater transit choice by promoting and supporting alternative transit (bus, train, bike, ped) with our hard-earned tax dollars. Please don't allow our region to become the next Los Angeles.... help us prevent and reduce traffic by promoting transit choice in the region and within cities and localities!
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78750

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2 CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 3 US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 4 RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 5 RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Light rail. Period. Not on the roads, but either elevated or underground. Stations where people live, work, and play.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78641

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>US 183A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 29 (Burnet County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 29 (Williamson County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78757

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 2  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3  SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)
Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 5  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Roadway - CoA Burnet Rd corridor

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido: English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78704

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2 Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3 SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)
Project 4 SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)
Project 5 SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Light Rail to the airport.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

- English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35) |
| Project 2 | SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway) |
| Project 3 | CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects |
| Project 4 | Capital Metro Project Connect projects |
| Project 5 | FM 2304 (Manchaca Road) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Better public access to the airport

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

There are several easy fixes. Slaughter at Manchaca adds a lane just before the light, heading east. It should be a right turn lane onto Manchaca Lane. One car going straight can back up turning traffic. Slaughter at Brodie is a good example of what it should be.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78621

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2 CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 3 SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 4 Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 5 SH 21 (Bastrop County)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

290 Expressway - Toll road

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

no
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78721

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Rail, bike lanes and trails, sidewalks

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Less money for automobile infrastructure, more towards alternate mobility options
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.  Respondent skipped this question

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78756

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)

Project 2  
Capital Metro bus routes

Project 3  
CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Project 4  
SL 1 (MoPac)

Project 5  
RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

everything on the list

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

not at this time
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78726

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 2 Capital Metro bus routes
Project 3 Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 4 CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5 SH 29 (Burnet County)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78759

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 3  Walking and biking trail projects

Project 4  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Project 5  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Lite rail.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  ❌ English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78610

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1  ❌ SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)
- Project 2  ❌ FM 734 (Parmer Lane)
- Project 3  ❌ US 290 (West of IH 35)
- Project 4  ❌ FM 2304 (Manchaca Road)
- Project 5  ❌ SH 21 (Hays County)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  ❌ Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Yes, we need more roads and less bicycle lanes
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78757

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 2  
SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)

Project 3  
Capital Metro bus routes

Project 4  
Walking and biking trail projects

Project 5  
SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78737

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
High Speed Rail.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78641

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>US 183A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 1431 (Whitestone Boulevard/Chandler Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Loop or freeway through manor and elgin

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
**Q2** In what zip code do you live?  
78702

---

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
NO!

---

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Too much. Too expensive. Stop spending money!
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78744

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>FM 2304 (Manchaca Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

rail

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78758

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Stop building more roads and inducing sprawl. Focus on transit and active transportation.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

We need to plan for more density in Austin! History shows us this is where the growth will be. You always overestimate growth in the suburbs.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido: English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
- Project 2
- Project 3
- Project 4
- Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Provide mass transit options to suburbs and ETJs

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78746

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Airport terminal expansion

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78722

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- **Project 1**: SH 21 (Bastrop County)
- **Project 2**: Capital Metro Project Connect projects
- **Project 3**: CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
- **Project 4**: SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)
- **Project 5**: FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Pearce Lane FM 535 from SH 130 to Wolf Lane

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78703

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
do as much as possible to attempt to catch up. we need all modes of transportation

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
accelerate all projects
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78752

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Please stop inducing sprawl during a climate crisis by funding roads in the suburbs. Fund active mobility transportation and public transportation.
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
   78759

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
   Improved light and regular rail transit

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? 
   Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live? 78645

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 1431 (Whitestone Boulevard/Chandler Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? 1431 from Cedar Park to Lago Vista is the worst road in Central Texas. Extremely dangerous and should take priority over every other road. It needs to be divided with a median.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Extremely dangerous roads like 1431 that have yellow lines of paint as dividers should be priority over all else.
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Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
   78641

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
   2243 widening and safety improvements.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
   Respondent skipped this question
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Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78628

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 183A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

No

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No Tolls
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

- English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

- 78640

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
- Project 2: SL 1 (MoPac)
- Project 3: SH 21 (Hays County)
- Project 4: SL 82 (Aquarena Springs Drive)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

- Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

- Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78759

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>US 281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Bike and Pedestrian Connectivity

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78759

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1
US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Project 2
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 3
US 183A

Project 4
Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Project 5
Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Keep Jollyville Road as it is. No room in the neighborhood for a divided highway, access to businesses and neighborhoods.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78754

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Arterial A

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045 (Plan CAMPO 2045)
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Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78757

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2 Capital Metro bus routes
Project 3 CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 4 Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Widening roads is a mistake that we as a species should have grown out of. I find it astounding that in the Year of Our Lord 2020 anyone can propose widening roads as a solution to transportation needs. Truly appalling.
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78705

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

An express line between the Domain, UT Austin, Downtown, and South Congress

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78702

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 2  
Capital Metro bus routes

Project 3  
Walking and biking trail projects

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

safety for pedestrians, cyclists, and others not in cars in of paramount importance. It's shameful that our area roads, even with Vision Zero, are death traps. Eroding the priority of single occupancy cars--and thus changing car culture-- is the only real way to get these injuries and deaths down.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78758

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

It is poorly written; very little public engagement; projects need to address aging transportation system not building new roads; entirely new approach is needed to manage the 2045 Plan, it's designed for 1970s transportation and not the 21st Century. Time to be forward thinkers and not puppets.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78209

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

no

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
   78723

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.) |
| Project 2 | SL 111 (Airport Boulevard) |
| Project 3 | FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard) |
| Project 4 | RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland) |
| Project 5 | Capital Metro Project Connect projects |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
   Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
   Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78748

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>FM 2304 (Manchaca Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
rapid bus transit between counties in the CAMPO region; and rapid bus transit between CAMPO and AAMPO regions

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
we need multi-modal solutions, no just toll roads and road construction
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

76574

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1

SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 2

Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

I would like the improvement to Fuchs Grove / Cameron Rd / Englemann Road connection which is refered to as "Decker Ln". Since I work on SL 360, I would like improvements to all its intersections.
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78703

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Regional light rails that can connect you from city to city

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
More transit, less cars!
#52

Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78640

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

There is no public transit (bus, CARTS, etc.) plan for Hays County which is the fastest growing county in the area.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Public transit for Hays County!
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 4  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 5  SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Extension on toll 45 all the way to bee cave (SH71)
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido          English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78736

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1  | Walking and biking trail projects |
| Project 2  | US 290 (West of IH 35)             |
| Project 3  | SL 1 (MoPac)                       |
| Project 4  | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |
| Project 5  | SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)  |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Travel East-West besides 360

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
No
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 1431 (Whitestone Boulevard/Chandler Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Reducing traffic along the 620 corridor is paramount to quality of life and safety.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Prioritize road projects over Limited utility public transport.
#58
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Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Page 2

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?

78602

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 21 (Bastrop County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

High Speed rail between Austin Airport and City of Bastrop

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78751

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78705

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

- Capital Metro Project Connect projects
- Walking and biking trail projects
- Capital Metro bus routes
- CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
- Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
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Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 82 (Aquarena Springs Drive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Bridge from Quinlan Park Rd to Bee Cave

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78705

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Capital Metro Project Connect projects
- Capital Metro bus routes
- Walking and biking trail projects
- CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Less highway focus

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

More infrastructure for alternative modes of transportation
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78705

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2 Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3 Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4 CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Make the cities multimodal. Prioritize train line creation for inter-city.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Build less roads and more automated mass transportation projects.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Bus and rail interconnectivity between metro areas.
Vision Zero, specifically as it relates to bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

More roads do not solve congestion, they only create induced demand. More roads are the problem, not the solution.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Please add additional exits to Steiner Ranch in West Austin. We're trapped in this bubble and it's a safety hazard, as well as a quality of life issue. Please also address traffic heading towards RM 620 on 2222 at Rush Hour. It's a nightmare.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78731

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Adequate, affordable parking in downtown Austin

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78748

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | Capital Metro Project Connect projects |
| Project 2 | US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties) |
| Project 3 | SL 1 (MoPac) |
| Project 4 | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |
| Project 5 | Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Brodie Lane expansion South of Slaughter Lane

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
No
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

More PROTECTED biking and walking lanes please:

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Safety for people, not just convenience for cars.
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido    English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78727

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78756

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | Capital Metro Project Connect projects |
| Project 2 | Capital Metro bus routes |
| Project 3 | Walking and biking trail projects |
| Project 4 | CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects |
| Project 5 | |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
more public transit, including long distance to other texas cities.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78758

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
I didn’t see Burnet Road on the list but it’s an important corridor just like Lamar.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
It’s depressing that most of the entries on the list are suburban-sprawl enablers that will only lengthen the list in the future.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? 

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
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**Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045**

**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

*English*

---

**Page 2**

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?

*78757*

---

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

*Cut and Cap IH 35*

---

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

*Too much focus on roads.*
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78705

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Why did you not put I-35 on this list? It is the topic of the day.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
CAMPO needs to update its thinking to real and broadly applied alternatives to SOV
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78610

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 2  SH 21 (Hays County)
Project 3  SH 21 (Bastrop County)
Project 4  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 5  FM 2304 (Manchaca Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

I would like to see more commuter rail. What I DO NOT want to see is more dangerous barrier-less toll or managed lanes such as are on Mopac at present. People are going to die due to these (it has already happened in other states) and Campo knows it. It is pure hypocrisy to claim that you care about safety, but use these dangerous lanes. If you are going to add toll/managed lanes, follow what I have seen in Fort Worth-use barriers.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Same as above-more commuter rail and NO dangerous barrier-less managed/toll lanes. Public safety must come FIRST!!!!
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78757

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

The Red Line Trail would be a relatively low cost way to allow a great deal of travel in central Austin.

The Green Line to Manor deserves consideration as well

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Please consider active transportation needs in all roadway projects. Where possible and effective, separated bike and pedestrian paths should be standard.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78757

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- **Project 1**: Capital Metro bus routes
- **Project 2**: Capital Metro Project Connect projects
- **Project 3**: Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
- **Project 4**: RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
- **Project 5**: SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

I-35 needs to have congestion tolling.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78747

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Train transportation across the region that connects Austin to San Antonio and all other cities in between

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Transit in Pflugerville

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78759

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1
Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Project 2
US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Project 3
Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

130 Frontage Road/FM 685, Colorado Sand Drive, Melber Ln, Rowe Ln, Cele Rd, propose train along SH 130 to connect communities and communities to airport, similar to Denver.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Austin emphasis is on northwest growth, but real focus is needed in the Pflugerville/Northeast Travis County area
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78628

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 29 (Williamson County)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Rail service from Georgetown to downtown Austin

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
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Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 2  
SH 130

Project 3  
Walking and biking trail projects

Project 4  
SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Project 5  
FM 734 (Parmer Lane)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)
Project 2  SH 29 (Williamson County)
Project 3  SH 130
Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 5  SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

SH45 access roads between Heatherwilde Blvd. and SH130

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78702

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

   Projects List

   Project 1   Capital Metro Project Connect projects
   Project 2   Capital Metro bus routes
   Project 3   Walking and biking trail projects
   Project 4   SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)
   Project 5   SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

   Much more transit, far less highways. Time to address climate change, equity, and quality neighborhood connectivity.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

   Stop subsidizing sprawl. Only projects that support sustainable land use should be included.
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78751

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 3  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 4  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Stop encouraging and inducing car travel. Encourage alternatives.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
- Project 2: Walking and biking trail projects
- Project 3: Capital Metro Project Connect projects
- Project 4: Capital Metro bus routes
- Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Sidewalks near schools for kids walking back home

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 130</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Mokan should not go into Pflugerville. Y'all need to find a way to go around our town. There are too many established residential areas in that plan.
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
I-35

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
less toll roads more free lanes
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

   78757

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

   Projects List

   Project 1  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
   Project 2  SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)
   Project 3  US 290 (West of IH 35)
   Project 4  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
   Project 5  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

   I-35

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

   We need more accessible public transportation, such as a light rail, which I do not see addressed.
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
   78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

   Projects List

   Project 1
   Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

   Project 2
   Project 3
   Project 4
   Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

   Fix timing on many traffic signals. Correctly apply right turn lane signals when no opposing traffic.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
   Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78752

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 4  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5  SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

The largest regionally significant transportation need is the lack of safety by all modes, which primarily must be addressed by reducing the speeds of large mass vehicles (cars and trucks) and by providing safe access by all modes, most remarkably the stark lack of safe sidewalks for most people of the region.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

We do not need any more car capacity. There is no reputable evidence for building any more roadway expansions.
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**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Page 2

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?  
78756

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1. Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2. In what zip code do you live?  
78676

Q3. Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1  
  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

- Project 2  
  RM 12 (Hays County)

- Project 3

- Project 4

- Project 5

Q4. Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Bike lanes and/or shoulders on small country lanes. Can be seriously scary when cars zip along small two lane rural roads and bikes are there, and biking in the Hill Country is only getting more popular

Q5. Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78641

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Loop around the city. Public transportation from four Points to downtown

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido    English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78703

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1    Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2    Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?    Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Please continue to prioritize protected bikeways. The lack of a connected bike network is the biggest challenge to taking more trips by bike.
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78704

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | Walking and biking trail projects |
| Project 2 | Capital Metro Project Connect projects |
| Project 3 | Capital Metro bus routes |
| Project 4 | CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects |
| Project 5 | FM 2304 (Manchaca Road) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Interregional Transit

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Emphasis on Vision Zero!
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78751

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1  Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2  In what zip code do you live?

78750

Q3  Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130) |
| Project 2 | US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties) |
| Project 3 | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |
| Project 4 | RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35) |
| Project 5 | Capital Metro Project Connect projects |

Q4  Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5  Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78744

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

IH35 as the spine of the metro area. That is important along with Project Connect.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

I like what has been established as the 2045 plan.
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78757

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 4  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78702

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

More car capacity = more traffic. Why are we still building roads in 2020, in the midst of the climate crisis?

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Prioritize transit above all else. Where is our intercity rail?
**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

**English**

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?

78747

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

High speed rail network throughout the central Texas region between Austin and San Antonio specifically

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78736

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
US 290 (West of IH 35)

Project 2  
SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

More bicycle trails instead of roadways

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

I support SOS Alliance: don't expand or develop roads over the aquifer as we need the water more than roads.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78704

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)
- Project 2: SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
- Project 3: RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
- Project 4: SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)
- Project 5: SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Any projects that impact the Barton Springs watershed

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

In general, I am very opposed to all of the development that only promotes more development of Austin
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78723

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2 Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3 Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4 Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 5 SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78703

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Commuter rail to San Antonio

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

It can’t be all road projects y’all. 2040 was a bad plan. 2045 needs to be better. Look at the MWCOG plan as an example of a truly multimodal transportation plan.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido   English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78756

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 1</strong>  Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 2</strong>  Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 3</strong>  Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 4</strong>  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

We need to consider the carbon footprint enabled by personal automobile transit in each and every transit decision.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

I am astounded and astonished by the focus on highways and roadways. We are going to be inducing demand and increasing our region’s contribution to climate change.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78758

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1   CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Project 2   Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 3   Capital Metro bus routes

Project 4   Walking and biking trail projects

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

It makes no sense to me to be expanding roadways which encourage and increase the use of fossil fuel vehicles. Focus should be on magnetic or other modes that do not require a car, truck, etc. In 50-75 years global warming will render these roads useless.
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 3  US 290 (West of IH 35)
Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 5  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78602

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 21 (Bastrop County)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Route 304 between Bastrop and I-35

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Need a plan for Route 95 and Route 304
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78757

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 3  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 4  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5  SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido    English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78602

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 21 (Bastrop County)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?    Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?    Respondent skipped this question
**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?  
78732

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Quinlan Park Rd

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78759

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78759

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1
Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Project 2
US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Help! I selected projects for comment. I selected [Next] and the survey app went to a “thank you for your input”, an exit page. Green line at the bottom; page 3 of 9. I am using an iPad Air with current iOS opsys. what is wrong with my navigation? Sue Anderson,
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78705

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78757

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

We need to drastically change the amount we fund walking, biking, and transit projects. Our planet is on fire and we cannot keep expanding roads. They just fill up with more traffic, and so on. We need to give people meaningful options to get out of their car because right now their only choice is to drive. We need better transit for people to choose.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Commuter rail connecting Austin to San Marcos to San Antonio. Commuter rail connecting Austin to San Marcos to San Antonio. Commuter rail connecting Austin to San Marcos to San Antonio. This should be your number 1 priority.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  US 290 (West of IH 35)
Project 2  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Ramps connecting 45 tollway to IH35 and vice versa

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78704

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | Capital Metro Project Connect projects |
| Project 2 | Capital Metro bus routes |
| Project 3 | Walking and biking trail projects |
| Project 4 | CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects |
| Project 5 | FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Important to be sure the regional transportation needs of Bastrop, Caldwell and Burnet are addressed. They are feeling increased growth pressures as people move farther out from Austin.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Would be helpful to have breakdown of the transit and active transportation projects, just like the road projects are broken down
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78751

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | Capital Metro bus routes |
| Project 2 | RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland) |
| Project 3 | SH 21 (Bastrop County) |
| Project 4 | US 183 (Caldwell County) |
| Project 5 | SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Need additional river crossings outside of Austin proper

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78613

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

We need to focus all funds on green mass transit first with no intrusion into water quality protection areas (like Barton Springs and recharge zones). More highways do not solve the fundamental issues. I'm a 5th Generation Texan and I do not want more waste of our lands and waters when we need to plan for a greener transportation future!

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Too many roads across sensitive environmental zones. Not acceptable.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 4  FM 734 (Parmer Lane)
Project 5  Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  SH 130
Project 3  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 4  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 5  Capital Metro bus routes

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

public transportation from Pflugerville to Austin and Round Rock

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
   78641

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | FM 734 (Parmer Lane) |
| Project 2 | SH 29 (Williamson County) |
| Project 3 | US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties) |
| Project 4 | SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard) |
| Project 5 | Capital Metro bus routes |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
   Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
   Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78759

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  FM 734 (Parmer Lane)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 4  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5  SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Parmer is not ready to handle all the new companies moving there. From Whitestone to MoPac. Inadequate.

The number of accidents on 360 just south of intersection with 183 is appaelling. something needs to be done.

MoPac. Enough Said.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78723

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro bus routes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

More Metro Rail lines please

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
   English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
   78620

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

   Projects List

   Project 1
   US 290 (West of IH 35)

   Project 2
   RM 12 (Hays County)

   Project 3

   Project 4

   Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
   Dripping Springs bypass

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
   Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido - English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78727

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
- Project 2: SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
- Project 3: Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Respondent skipped this question
#133

## Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

**English**

---

**Q2 In what zip code do you live?**

78613

---

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

### Projects List

- **Project 1**: FM 734 (Parmer Lane)
- **Project 2**: Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
- **Project 3**: Walking and biking trail projects
- **Project 4**: RM 1431 (Whitestone Boulevard/Chandler Road)
- **Project 5**: US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

---

**Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?**

Robinson Ranch Rd

---

**Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?**

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78666-1821

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | Walking and biking trail projects |
| Project 2 | Capital Metro Project Connect projects |
| Project 3 | CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects |
| Project 4 | RM 12 (Hays County) |
| Project 5 | SH 21 (Hays County) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
light rail between San Antonio and Austin

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
I am very concerned about constructing new roads in western Hays County over sensitive recharge areas. I understand CAMPO wants to move traffic, and doing so protects air quality, but opening new areas to residential development over our contributing and recharge zones is just a bad idea.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

transit

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

bike/ped facilities should be included in all
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78620

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 1 (MoPac)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 12 (Hays County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido: English

Q2 In what zip code do you live? Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>FM 2304 (Manchaca Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Please don't mess with Jollyville Road. There are already sidewalks and bike lanes - removing driving lanes will create severe traffic congestion in the area.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78613

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 29 (Williamson County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78619

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
Walking and biking trail projects

Project 2  
US 290 (West of IH 35)

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

We need to not expand Hwy 150 that is ridiculous waste of time and money. Seems like outside interests are driving that vs common sense.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Don’t expand Highway 150
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido   English
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78676

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 12 (Hays County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 82 (Aquarena Springs Drive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?   Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?   Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78737

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  US 290 (West of IH 35)
Project 2  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 3  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Public transit AKA trains or metro!

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Instead of adding loops, most of the congested areas are simply needing an extra lane added. Or correct zany traffic patterns.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  78619

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>US 290 (West of IH 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Don't alter 150 please.

Focus on improving 290 traffic.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Leave 150 alone.
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Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

**English**

Page 2

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?

78620

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- **Project 1** Walking and biking trail projects
- **Project 2** Capital Metro bus routes
- **Project 3** FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)
- **Project 4**
- **Project 5**

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Don't mess with Hays County!
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78620

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 1 (MoPac)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78620

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Low impact design projects, connected system of parks and boulevards that create wildlife corridors.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

I oppose turning FM 150 into a 4 lane divided developer highway. The proposal has it running through onion creek and hays county watershed which is not acceptable.
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

**English**

Page 2

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?

78620

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Strongly object to NF 2 which shows a 290 Bypass going past Dripping Springs Elementary for which the current path shows it tearing through many new homes that have just been built that cost upward of 600K USD each... It makes no sense.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1

Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Project 2

Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Road 1 - Dessau
Road 2 - McNeil Howard

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

no
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
   Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1   CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 2   US 79
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
   Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
   Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78957

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 21 (Bastrop County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

SH 95 Upgrades in Smithville are extremely important.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Consideration of land use/employment/transportation nexus is key to developing a sustainable plan.
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78620

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
- Project 2  US 290 (West of IH 35)
- Project 3  RM 12 (Hays County)
- Project 4  SL 1 (MoPac)
- Project 5  Walking and biking trail projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78750

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live? 78748

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

   78704

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

   Projects List

   Project 1   Capital Metro Project Connect projects
   Project 2   Walking and biking trail projects
   Project 3   SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)
   Project 4   Capital Metro bus routes
   Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78754

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 4  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5  FM 734 (Parmer Lane)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

n/a

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78642

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 29 (Williamson County)
Project 2  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

US 183 toll extension from regular 183 to SH 29

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78676

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | RM 12 (Hays County) |
| Project 2 | SH 21 (Hays County) |
| Project 3 | SL 1 (MoPac) |
| Project 4 | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Elder Hill Road is used by many in Hays County to go through Driftwood and connect to 1862 and on to MoPac. Would be great if Elder Hill Road could be straightened and made flood-free

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
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Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
   78704

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1   SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)

Project 2   SL 1 (MoPac)

Project 3   SH 130

Project 4   US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Project 5   SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?   Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?   Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  78724

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3  SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 4  SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)
Project 5  FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78610

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 12 (Hays County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 21 (Hays County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

US 183A
RM 2222 (Koenig Lane/Northland Drive)

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? 

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78724

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1
Walking and biking trail projects

Project 2
Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 3
SL 1 (MoPac)

Project 4
SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)

Project 5
FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido    English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78723

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1    Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2    CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 3    Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 4    Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5    SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
US 183 (Caldwell County)
FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?    Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78653

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 1 (MoPac)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78744

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3,)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 21 (Bastrop County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?   Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
None that are pre-existing. Would really look forward to expanding railways.
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78723

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Add much more bike/ped separated connectivity! We need to address climate change and get cars off the road

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
**English**

---

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?  
78702

---

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

---

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
I like it the way it is, with exception for tollways. Why should we pay to drive on the roads we as taxpayers already funded

---

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
**Respondent skipped this question**
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78723

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido	English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78723

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

All of 183 (Williamson, Travis, Caldwell Counties and 183A)

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045 (Plan CAMPO 2045)

Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78725

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1 SH 130
- Project 2 FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)
- Project 3
- Project 4
- Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Park and Ride location (TBD). Regional buses that travel to the city center OR Mueller area for drop off. There is a lane imbalance along 969 that "artificially" controls the flow of traffic.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Evacuation planning for the Hornsby Bend area is lacking an consideration of the number of residential homes that are increasing steadily in numbers. Additionally should there be a disaster there is only one way out of the neighborhoods (onto 969) before reaching I-30 and then 973 south or north
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78756

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Walking and biking trail projects

Project 2 CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Project 3 Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 4 Capital Metro bus routes

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 29 (Williamson County)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Allocation for STIP and TIP projects which are lower on the list, but may get a greater "bang for your buck" in the long run. Rowe Lane, SH 45 Frontage Roads, Decker Ln

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78602

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Project 2  SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)

Project 3  SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)

Project 4  SH 21 (Bastrop County)

Project 5  Capital Metro bus routes

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

HOV lanes

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

bridge Projects needed in bastrop to cross over to 304 for egress
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78749

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5  SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
No more highway expansions; focus on rails & trails.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
The Oak Hill Y should be turned into a true grade-level parkway (without excavation, without service roads), and the savings should be diverted to I-35.
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q1 English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78657

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Q4 Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Q5 Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
The Four Points Drive road is shown in the map but is not on the list. Given that this Vandegrift HS and Four Points MS have over 3,000 students, having a second exit from these buildings in the case of a wildfire, shooting, or other emergency should be a top priority.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78602

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 3  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 4  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5  SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

**English**

Page 2

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?

78666

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

- Project 34
- Project 17
- Project 54

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?  
78666

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
SNM030 the north loop around San Marcos is necessary. It would allow secondary access to a large population of people who live out Hilliard and Lime Kiln Road. Hilliard Road is dangerous and busy and the loop would take some pressure off of it. FM 1826 is also a busy dangerous road with increasing traffic due to new subdivisions.

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
No
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

   78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

   Projects List

   Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
   Project 2  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
   Project 3  Walking and biking trail projects
   Project 4  SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)
   Project 5  Capital Metro bus routes

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

   Rail options from Round Rock and Pflugerville to north Lamar and highland mall area. Bus options to Domain. Ride Share parking lots in Pflugerville/Hutto north of 45.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

   Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78737

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | US 290 (West of IH 35) |
| Project 2 | SL 1 (MoPac) |
| Project 3 | RM 12 (Hays County) |
| Project 4 | |
| Project 5 | |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

HWY 45 between I35 and west 290.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Where is the info on HWY 45 between I35 and 290 west?
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78701

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: Capital Metro Project Connect projects
- Project 2: Capital Metro bus routes
- Project 3: Walking and biking trail projects
- Project 4: CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Maybe a regional study of which existing roads need to be converted partially or fully to transitways, bikeways, parks, or housing.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Climate change is coming and CAMPO's 2045 is proudly in denial. Be leaders and stop the madness.
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1
Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 2
US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Project 3
FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)

Project 4
SH 21 (Bastrop County)

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Public transportation options for residents in the city of Pflugerville and our east side ETJ need serious consideration.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 3  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
Project 4  FM 734 (Parmer Lane)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78655

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 2  SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)
Project 3  SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)
Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 5  FM 734 (Parmer Lane)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Commuter rail between DFW and San Antonio.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
COMMUTER RAIL
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78641

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

RM 2243 FID325 WLCO019

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Project 1  
Walking and biking trail projects

Project 2  
Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 3  
Capital Metro bus routes

Project 4  
CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Project 5  
Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

There should be more focus on pedestrian/bicycle/transit projects. It’s a little ridiculous that these types of projects are lumped together as one “project” but every single highway project is separate so you’re forced to prioritize at least one roadway project.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

There needs to be more discussion and transparency about the growth forecasts for the region in 2045. It is more likely that Travis county will have the most growth of all the other counties and more funding should be allocated to Travis County and to more multimodal transportation projects to reduce sprawl and GHG emissions while still meeting transportation needs.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 2 SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3 SH 130
Project 4 SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 5 FM 734 (Parmer Lane)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
New urban areas have under developed roads. For example Blackhawk area in Pflugerville.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1  SH 130
- Project 2  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
- Project 3  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
- Project 4  FM 734 (Parmer Lane)
- Project 5  SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Kelly Lane in Pflugerville

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Just fix what's needed, no more new toll roads

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)
Project 2  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
Project 3  SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)
Project 4  SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)
Project 5  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

On 35, the turn around are very nice, and save a lot of time, and helps traffic to not back up.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Never cut through communities! Go around them!
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78758

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 2  FM 734 (Parmer Lane)
Project 3  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 4  SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)
Project 5  Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido: English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78722

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1: Capital Metro bus routes
Project 2: FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)
Project 3: SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 4: SL 82 (Aquarena Springs Drive)
Project 5: SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Express rail service to Dallas, San Antonio, Houston. Additional Commuter light-rail service

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Choose language

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78704

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Rail or other public transit option connecting San Marcos, Buda, Kyle, and Austin, similar to Lone Star Rail.
Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions: Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment. Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure. Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County. The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network. Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion. For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads. Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County and southwest Travis County.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 2 SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
Project 3 SH 130
Project 4 Walking and biking trail projects
Project 5 CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

SH 45/SH 130 frontage roads within Pflugerville area

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78681

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 3  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 5  SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

We need to do something about the traffic from Kelly Lane and Weiss Lane and getting people into east Pflugerville better. The traffic is already an issue, and widening to 4 lanes isn't going to solve the issue. There needs to be a thoroughfare into east Pflugerville somehow bypassing all of the neighborhoods west of Weiss Lane.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78727

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78728

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

More train routes..

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

More train routes.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 3
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 4
RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?  
78752

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 1</strong> Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 2</strong> Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 3</strong> Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 4</strong> CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 5</strong> Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Project 5: Grade separate the Red Line rail from N. Lamar Blvd.  
Priority should be on improving and expanding transit. I do not support expanding roads or building new ones except when necessary for safety or when there are no other options for transportation. I do not support the expansion project for I-35.
Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Land use and transportation in the region should be coordinated so that efficient transit can be provided to centers of development. When development is spread out, it is difficult to provide efficient transit. Evaluation of projects should be based on providing access to jobs and services, not on the speed of vehicle travel on roads.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78610

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>US 290 (West of IH 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 21 (Hays County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 21 (Bastrop County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Caldwell County)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
General N/S alternatives to IH 35 or a true loop around Austin. Better E/W connectivity

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78757

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

   Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 4  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Rail between Austin and neighboring cities

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
I am disappointed that funding may be taken from cycling projects in favor of IH35
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78704

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
Walking and biking trail projects

Project 2  
Capital Metro bus routes

Project 3  
Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78735

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Project 2 SL 1 (MoPac)

Project 3 Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 4 CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Project 5 SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
I-35 expansion

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Draft poorly conveys existing conditions and future strategies to implement projects that address congestion.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78704

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

A train from downtown station to san marcos

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

make bike trails separate from roads
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78641

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: US 183A
- Project 2: US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
- Project 3: FM 734 (Parmer Lane)
- Project 4: RM 1431 (Whitestone Boulevard/Chandler Road)
- Project 5: RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78703

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
The lowering of I-35 in downtown Austin (NOT the widening of I-35).

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Widening roads is a losing game. Invest in projects that reduce vehicle miles traveled.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live? 

78739

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
SL 1 (MoPac)

Project 2  
SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)

Project 3  
US 290 (West of IH 35)

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? 

SH 45 needs to be completed to connect through I 35

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? 

The Escarpment roadway project should be scrapped.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

620 and 2222 intersection.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Page 2
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |
| Project 2 | CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Hwy 360 Mopac to 183

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78641

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Metro rail down 620

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?

78738

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live? 78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2 SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3 Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4 Walking and biking trail projects
Project 5 SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78744

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
1626

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |
| Project 2 | RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland) |
| Project 3 | SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway) |
| Project 4 | RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35) |
| Project 5 | RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045 (Plan CAMPO 2045)

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 2  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 3  
US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Project 4  
Capital Metro bus routes

Project 5  
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |
| Project 2 | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |
| Project 3 | US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties) |
| Project 4 | SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281) |
| Project 5 | US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Intersection of RR620 and 71

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1   RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Lakeway traffic on 620 needs help please.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Keep the 620 project
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Trucking corridor to free up 35

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

620 is a dangerous nightmare that needs attention now. It’s already been neglected for years and should not be delayed further.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 4  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1             Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 2             Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

COA22

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?   Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

The gridlock at rush hour in the four points area

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
   78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
- Project 2: SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
- Project 3: RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
- Project 4: Capital Metro Project Connect projects
- Project 5: Walking and biking trail projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
   Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
   Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  US 290 (West of IH 35)
Project 4  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 5  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 4  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5  Capital Metro bus routes

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Massive expansion of rail mass transit

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Take a more proactive and visionary stance over reactive measures to attempt to prop up status quo “solutions"
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

**English**

Page 2

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?

78732

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Focus on 620 & 2222 intersection and surrounding areas.

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

God bless you and thank you for your service!
2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045 (Plan CAMPO 2045)

Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?

78732

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Relieving congested traffic in areas that have limited alternatives should continue to be a priority.

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Please do not reallocate funds from approved projects like 620 / Anderson Mill overpasses. Those serve thousands of people and significantly improve the safety of the entire far north / far nw Austin area.
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido                  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

2222 to 360

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

FM620/2222 intersection

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

There continues to be rapid growth in NW Austin
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78726

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live? 78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78746

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Not that I’m aware of

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
It needs to include 620 from Mansfield Dam to 183
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Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78757

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and I-35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Burnet Road

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido: English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1: SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
Project 2: RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3: RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 4: Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5: Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Expansion of 620 is an URGENT priority and must not get deferred to later years. This stretch of road is the ONLY corridor for the entire Lake Travis area and is extremely unsafe and congested. This must be made top priority. There has been WAAAAAY too many major accidents and deaths. It shouldn’t take 45+ minutes to travel 7 miles on a normal afternoon up the 620 corridor from Mansfield Dam to 183.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
   English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
   78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

   Projects List
   Project 1     RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
   Project 2     RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
   Project 3
   Project 4
   Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
   The congestion on FM620 in Lakeway between HWY 71 and Anderson Mill Road is stagnant most of the time and it needs immediate attention

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
   We are all tax payers and all the road repairs cannot just go to I-35. Do not eliminate the repairs for FM620. FM620 is an essential road that requires repairs to be able to get children to school and folks to work
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78748

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Rail has to happen.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Fix our road capacities

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

   Projects List
   
   Project 1
   SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
   
   Project 2
   
   Project 3
   
   Project 4
   
   Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

   Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

   Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 4  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Don't sacrifice car lanes for bike lanes
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

The congestion on 620 and 2222 has become so overwhelmed over the years. The barriers put up on 620 before the dam are extremely difficult to see in the early morning and at night (need better striping & reflectors on the ground and barriers). The vertical strips/barriers of reflectors put up on 2222 & areas of 620 are difficult to see at night as well.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Please consider 620 area by Steiner Ranch and Lakeway & the 620/2222 area.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

No

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

---

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

---

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? 

Respondent skipped this question

---

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? 

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |
| Project 2 | RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road) |
| Project 3 | Capital Metro Project Connect projects |
| Project 4 | SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281) |
| Project 5 | Walking and biking trail projects |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

2222/620 and improved access to Vandegrift HS

At some point, continuing 45 from 183/Lakeline all the way to 71.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

no
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
#79
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Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido: English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1: RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2: RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3: SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
RM 620 project Anderson Mill has been neglected and push back too many times. I-35 is important, but can handle the traffic, RM 620 was never built to handle the traffic it incurs today and the growth expected
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

2222 need improvement

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Intersection of 620 and 2222. It's so bad we can barely leave the house. Something has to be done about 620 especially with the extensive future growth. We already can't move in the mornings and it takes forever to get anywhere

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Do not defund the 620 project.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Mass transit west of Austin

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Page 2

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?  
78732

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
620/2222 corridor!!

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Project 2

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

- English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 5  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

You need to consider the lack of exits from Vandegrift High School

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Page 1: 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Plan de Transporte Regional de 2045

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

I have a disabled son who lives in Bee Caves/Lakeway. He lives in a regular apartment complex with other disabled adults who do not drive. I would like to see more access to Cap Metro rides.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

RM 620, 2244 and 360 are all interconnected and have bottle necked our traffic.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live? 

78726

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 2  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 3  
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 4  
US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Project 5  
Walking and biking trail projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

2769

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

RR 620 can’t wait until 2045. The traffic is insane. It takes an hour to drive 9 miles to the local HS in the morning. That is a local trip. If I need to go anywhere I have to time it began traffic patterns of Or I’ve wasted half the day. The huge concern is an evacuation. This would be catastrophic. Unless there is another roadway built from 78733-34 to the 360 traffic will continue to get worse in 620. This needs to be addressed.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1     RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2     Capital Metro bus routes

Project 3     SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 4     CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Project 5     Walking and biking trail projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Having some kind of public transportation option from Lakeway to Austin would be wonderful.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

620 and 2222 is one of the worst traffic snarls in Travis County. Please weigh it highly.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 5  SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Complete 45 loop ring road

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Plan don't react
#91

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
I bet 620/2222 intersection (when school is in session) is the #1 bottleneck in all of Austin. I would rather drive on I-35 than have to deal with this intersection. It cannot lose its funding to do all of the improvements on 620 and 2222.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

**English**

---

**Q2** In what zip code do you live? 

78732

---

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 3  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 4  SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Vandergrift alternative entry/exit

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

I-35 is low priority since there are alternatives like 130
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 2  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido: English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Intersection of 620 & Anderson Mill specifically

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>US 183A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 29 (Williamson County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2 SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3 US 290 (West of IH 35)
Project 4 RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
Project 5 SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

No

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
Project 4  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 5  SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

no

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

DO not defer the CAMPO project money to I35
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 4  FM 734 (Parmer Lane)
Project 5  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 5  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Please allocate the funding for 620 projects. Very dangerous roads and increased development has this area in urgent need of improvements. Thank you.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78602

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2 SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3 Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4 Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 5 SH 29 (Williamson County)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

no

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Plan appears to be comprehensive in identifying transport. needs
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Authorized: English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? 

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? 

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 2 RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3 SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4 RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 5 Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Buses on 620.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1

Project 2

RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? 

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? 

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

- RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
- Walking and biking trail projects
- SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

RM620 and the 620-2222 MUST be addressed
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 5  SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

PLEASE do anything to help FM 620 between Steiner Ranch and 2222 River Place!!

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78746

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Not everyone lives on I35. I35 continually gets money while other projects wait. Other projects are given a timeline and a start date, and then at the last minute, during a pandemic, have funding taken away for.... I35. There are more issues than this interstate. If you want to have all these people move to Texas, build the roads to support where they are moving to. The growth on 620 in Lakeway/Bee Cave is unprecedented. Thousands of hours have been spent on preparing for construction between the state, county, cities, HOAs, businesses and homeowners. And now nothing? Fund 620 construction as promised!
Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Start widening 620 now. Do not add concrete barriers in the medians to obstruct traffic in Lakeway. This will create chaos and make all traffic intersections a nightmare.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 2  
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 3  
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 4  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

(i) Constructing the 620 overpass at Anderson Mill Road  

and (ii) extending 45 to connect with the overpass to eliminate some of the traffic lights

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Anderson Mill project should be given high priority, given the large traffic congestion caused by that intersection
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 3  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 4  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
620

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
620
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

I support project 34, 17, and 54 in that order.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 3  
RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78613

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 1431 (Whitestone Boulevard/Chandler Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
#120

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
n/a

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
no
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78613

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
I commute to downtown from Cedar Park. The worst part of my commute by far is getting through 620 and Anderson Mill, then 620 and 2222. Those 2 intersections can take up to 40 minutes in the morning, then traffic is moving all the rest of the way to downtown.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
I35 isn't as bad as what is happening on RM 620
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

I've lived in the Four Points area for 22 years and am simply amazed at the lack of investment in roads. we are choking to death on traffic out here and our major arteries - like 620 and 2222 and 360 - are our ONLY choices for mobility. there is literally no other way for us to get from point A to point B - not just for commuting but for school, doctors appointments, grocery shopping, just basic living. you must fix west Austin.
Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Austin needs 360 and 620 as highway loops with no stoplights. Austin leadership acts like they've never seen or heard of a thing called an overpass. Guess what, they work!
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 5  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Buses from 620 and 2222 to downtown

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2 SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3 RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

TRC023

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

I believe that prioritizing IH35 over everything else is wrong, and should not be taking away money from other projects to prioritize this one.
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
Project 2
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Rm 620 needs overpasses and additional lanes.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 5  Walking and biking trail projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78613

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 1431 (Whitestone Boulevard/Chandler Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |
| Project 2 | SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway) |
| Project 3 | RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35) |
| Project 4 | RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1  
  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

- Project 2  
  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

- Project 3  
  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

- Project 4  
  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

- Project 5  
  SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Please do not defer the RM 620 corridor projects. They are the foundation to the projects that will improve the congestion for a large population from Cedar Park to Bee Cave.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Do not pull back funding for previously approved RM620 projects and Loop 360 projects.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78717

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
- Project 2: US 183A
- Project 3: FM 734 (Parmer Lane)
- Project 4: RM 1431 (Whitestone Boulevard/Chandler Road)
- Project 5: RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

183A frontage roads please!

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78613

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 3  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 4  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Finish widening Anderson Mill from 620 to 183

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Project 3  
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 4  
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 5  
SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Do not take funding away from projects on 620 and 360
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |
| Project 2 | RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35) |
| Project 3 | SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130) |
| Project 4 | SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway) |
| Project 5 | RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Vandegrift High School traffic

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Please fix 620 traffic for our Vandegrift High School students. They are spending hours on buses in traffic.
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78613

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 2 US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 3 RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Better metro services

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live? Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

There needs to be traffic stress capacity to provide for safe and timely evacuation in the event of a disaster such as wildfire.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
- Project 2: RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
- Project 3: SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
- Project 4: SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
- Project 5: US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 3  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 4  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5  Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 4  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 5  SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78741

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2 Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3 Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4 CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5 Walking and biking trail projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Crosswalk educational campaign & enforcement.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
slower speeds and fewer car trips only way to achieve vision zero.
**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?  
78757

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 3</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project 5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

n/a

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

RM 620/RM 2222 need attention now
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2 SL 82 (Aquarena Springs Drive)
Project 3 SH 21 (Hays County)
Project 4 RM 12 (Hays County)
Project 5 CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

no

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

no
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Western Austin has been ignored for too long. Please provide some relief on the 620 corridor.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Project 3  
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 4  
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 5  
RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

We need to fix 620. Daily delays of 30 minutes to go 2 miles from Steiner Ranch to 2222 is ridiculous.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
Project 5  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Not at this time

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Not at this time
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2 Capital Metro bus routes
Project 3 CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 4 SH 123
Project 5 Walking and biking trail projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3  RM 12 (Hays County)
Project 4  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 5  SH 21 (Hays County)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78731

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
Capital Metro bus routes

Project 2  
Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 3  
Walking and biking trail projects

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Despite additional explanatory materials, the demographics continue to be questionable. In several area, the 2045 projected population is lower than the 2040 projection! The current projections are untrustworthy.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 2  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 4  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

commuter rail. rail transport

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

This is an autocentric design that will further commit current and future generations to a transportation system that propetuates global warming and oil dependence. It other words, this plan has no future!
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

WE need commuter trains in the state. The fact that its not even being considered is absolutely ridiculous.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

PASSANGER TRAINS!!!
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 2  SH 21 (Bastrop County)
Project 3  SH 21 (Hays County)
Project 4  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 5  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Regional rail up and down the I-35 corridor, and moving freight out of the towns and city corridors.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Limit/eliminate large un-restricted access roads on the Edwards Auifer recharge zone, and in recharge areas for the Trinity Aquifer.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 2

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Yes, The HIGHEST priority should be to create an intercity rail line linking Austin with San Antonio. Every day there are over 5,000 people who go from Austin to San Marcos (Texas State University). Building more roads and widening freeways is NOT the answer!

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido: English

Q2 In what zip code do you live? 78741

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: Walking and biking trail projects
- Project 2: Capital Metro Project Connect projects
- Project 3: Capital Metro bus routes
- Project 4: SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)
- Project 5: US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Do not expand IH-35

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

#NoNewRoads
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 3  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 4  SH 21 (Hays County)
Project 5  SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

We need light rail along the I35 corridor from San Antonio to Georgetown. I35 congestion will seriously erode quality of life. Would also like to see a trail system extended south of Austin to San Marcos and beyond.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Make aquifer protection a priority to keep drinking water clean. Make river protection a priority (e.g., San Marcos River, Blanco River). Road projects should not compromise feeder creeks, recharge zones, etc.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78652

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Taxpayers and Hays residents don't want new roads over the Edwards Aquifer, their source of drinking water. It just land speculation and monied interests at its worst. The Hill County heritage in Hays County should be respected for generations to come.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

The former CAMPO ChCOVID 19 crisis should be the reason why they May 4th meeting should be postponed for 60 days.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78735

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)
Project 3  SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 4  US 290 (West of IH 35)
Project 5  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35) |
| Project 2 | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |
| Project 3 | SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway) |
| Project 4 | US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties) |
| Project 5 | SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78654

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>US 281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 29 (Burnet County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 29 (Williamson County)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Be sure to allocate sufficient funding to areas outside of the metro areas. 281 has become an alternate route for IH35 and needs safety improvements and widening.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
- Project 2: SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
- Project 3: RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

   English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

   78640

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.  

   Projects List

   Project 1  
   SH 21 (Hays County)

   Project 2  
   Walking and biking trail projects

   Project 3  
   SH 21 (Bastrop County)

   Project 4

   Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

   Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

   Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78722

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Was a monorail ever considered in the planning?
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Project 3  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Project 4  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 5  SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
- Project 2: SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
- Project 3: RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
- Project 4: SL 1 (MoPac)
- Project 5: RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

NW Austin is in dire need of relief from volume congestion. Work on RR620 must not be deferred.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78748

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

skip putting bike lanes on the roads. people hardly use them.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78640

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 183 (Caldwell County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

High Speed Rail

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78759

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)
Project 2  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
Project 3  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 4  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5  SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
S Congress

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78736

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>US 281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 12 (Hays County)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

We do not need more bicycle lanes on any roads if it requires getting rid of a car lane. People are not giving up their cars!

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Why do we need such fast speed limits? As it is on most highways people go above the limit so highways are very dangerous.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78704

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 3  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

**English**

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?

78602

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

**Projects List**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 21 (Bastrop County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

**NO MORE TOLL ROADS**
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

   English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78676

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1   SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 2   Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3   SH 21 (Hays County)
Project 4   SH 130
Project 5   US 290 (West of IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Project 2  Walking and biking trail projects

Project 3  Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 4  Capital Metro bus routes

Project 5  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

I do not support I-35 as having the highest priority. The highest priority should be regional rail - something that will actually lessen traffic and not coerce more cars into the toad. This survey is flawed if it is telling participants what already has been given highest priority.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

The plan is outdated and deeply flawed. Please start over with a foundation of sustainable transportation principles. Also please read Transportation for America’s Congestion Con. We know more roadways and lanes will only make traffic worse.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido: English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78750

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

- Projects List
  - Project 1: US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
  - Project 2: SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
  - Project 3: US 290 (West of IH 35)
  - Project 4: SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
  - Project 5: Walking and biking trail projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
More walkways with overpasses over the highway, increased Cap Metro routes from the suburbs to downtown.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Fund projects that encourage walking, biking, carpooling.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78750

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

   English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

    78750

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

    Projects List

    Project 1    RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
    Project 2    SL 1 (MoPac)
    Project 3    US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
    Project 4    Walking and biking trail projects
    Project 5    FM 734 (Parmer Lane)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

    Don't know

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

    No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live? 
78704

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4  SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)
Project 5  FM 2304 (Manchaca Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 4  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Rail!!! To San Antonio

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Protect all land that has been already protected. No roads through conservation areas.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78645

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3  SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)
Project 4  SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)
Project 5  Capital Metro bus routes

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

A rail line on the abandoned MoKan right-of-way from SH 45, through Pflugerville, down to Capital Metro's Green/East Line. The rail line should also extend down to Austin Bergstrom International Airport, then down to the Bergstrom Spur and connect with Union Pacific. This will add great commuter rail alignments and inter-connectivity with intercity/Amtrak service with a new Amtrak station near Capital Metro's South Congress Transit Center.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Rail on the MoKan corridor should be a #1 priority.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78613

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2 Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3 US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 4 RM 1431 (Whitestone Boulevard/Chandler Road)
Project 5 SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

The Red Line Trail.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4  SH 21 (Hays County)
Project 5  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78705

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 3  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 4  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 5  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Public transportation and active transportation

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Less focus on highways
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78757

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

The Red Line trail crosses multiple counties and would be transformative for economic development

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Too many roads and not enough focus on decreasing carbon emissions from transportation.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78750

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 1 (MoPac)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Central red light management with active traffic management strategy. Let's make our surface streets optimized to get people into and out of the city at the right times.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78759

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Project 2  
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 3  
Capital Metro bus routes

Project 4  
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 5  
US 183A

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Keep Jollyville Road the same.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 3  
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 4  
RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Project 5  
RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

620

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

all of 620 needs help! 2222 as well. why can't this be done. we have been here 30+ years and were told about the "loop" around the city to include 620, 71, mopac, 183. why hasn't any of this been addressed here in Austin? San Antonio, Houston and Dallas all have "loops".
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 4  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
light rail between Austin and San Antonio

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido: English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Forget about biking, the roads are not wide enough.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

- English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
- Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
- Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
- Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78666

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
RR12 to IH35 SNM030 with real care over recharge Zone and Blanco River

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 183A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Expand Metro Rail hours

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2
CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Project 3
RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78602

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)
Project 2  SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)
Project 3  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 4  SH 21 (Bastrop County)
Project 5  SH 130

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

n/a

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

n/a
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78734-2652

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Other than by auto, there is currently no way to get between Austin and Lakeway. Some type of public transportation should be established.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Roadways stay the priority as they become more congested, but an abundance of Walking and Biking Trail Projects define and set our region apart. These should always be priorities to add/maintain/improve at every opportunity.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Continuing to expand and improve North-South movement options in the region is critical.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 3  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
Project 4  SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)
Project 5  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 1431 (Whitestone Boulevard/Chandler Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
more road lanes, less bike lanes, more connections from west austin into city

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
Walking and biking trail projects

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido: English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 12 (Hays County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
No

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
I don't see the plan
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 3  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

I support center turn lane and hike and bike off road shoulder for FM 3238 from H71 to RR12.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

1. Please protect our conserved property... no roads.  2. I support a rail line btw Austin and San Antonio
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
Project 4  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 5  SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Highway 71 from Lakeway to Marble Falls

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78957

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 3  SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)
Project 4  SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)
Project 5  SH 21 (Bastrop County)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

SH 95 in Smithville was not on your list, but that would have been my #1 answer choice.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Keep alternative forms of transportation in the forefront of planning projects. Thank you.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

Respondent skipped this question

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
Project 2  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 3  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 4  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
Project 5  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

We have, for years needed a West Loop and East - West freeways through central north and south Austin (in between Hwy 183 and BenWhite). 25 years ago it was on the plan and clever people took it off--sad for Texas

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
   English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
   78645

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

   Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 3  SH 29 (Williamson County)
Project 4  SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)
Project 5  US 183A

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
   Rail! Rail needs to be considered respectfully and seriously. It is the future. Building roads can only go on so long. It obviously is not solving the traffic if we have to keep building and expanding them.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
   Rail
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

    English

Page 2

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78108

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 4  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Commuter transit between Austin and San Antonio on I-35. commuter buses and more park and rides to start, with buses in both directions between the two cities. Rail long-term.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 2  
SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Project 3  
RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Traffic west of downtown Austin MUST be addresses now!
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 5  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Connecting Steiner Ranch to River Place.
Additional bridge crossings over Lake Austin
Create one intersection for Steiner Ranch Blvd and Comanche
Have overpass at 620/Quinlan
Have additional route to Vandergrift High School (i.e. entrance connected to 4 Points Drive)
Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Do not take funds already awarded 620 projects
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78957

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
SH 21 (Bastrop County)

Project 2  
SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)

Project 3  
Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Project 4  
Walking and biking trail projects

Project 5  
Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

SH95 Expansion in Smithville
Hwy 71 Exit Ramp to Riverbend Park

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No Comments
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4  SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 5  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78750

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

The traffic coming up 620 often uses Anderson Mill as a bypass to connect with 183. 45 should extend further down 620 and capture that traffic. Anderson Mill is not designed to accommodate traffic that is looking for a highway.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 1 (MoPac)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
FID 134

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
no
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78726

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

no

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live? 
78957

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SH 21 (Bastrop County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 21 (Bastrop County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 21 (Bastrop County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? 
Feeder road in Bastrop

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? 
no
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 3  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 4  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
Project 5  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 5  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78676

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Project 2  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Project 3  SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)

Project 4  US 290 (West of IH 35)

Project 5  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Sh 45 from RM1826 to 183A - West Loop

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Provide more loops around Austin.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Project 3  
SL 1 (MoPac)

Project 4  
Walking and biking trail projects

Project 5  
RM 12 (Hays County)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2
RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Project 3
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 4
SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 5
SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78726

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 2  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 3  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 4  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 5  Walking and biking trail projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Joining northeast-bound 620 to southeast-bound 183 and northwest-bound 183 to southwest-bound 620 (so that Anderson Mill Road is not used as their interchanges)

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1  | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |
| Project 2  | SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)   |
| Project 3  | RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)           |
| Project 4  | SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130) |
| Project 5  | Walking and biking trail projects  |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

620 through Bee Cave & Lakeway needs to be done. There are so many accidents. Kids are walking on the side of the road to & from school. Not safe!
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido: English

Q2 In what zip code do you live? 78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3  US 290 (West of IH 35)
Project 4  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 5  SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido: English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78602

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 21 (Bastrop County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>FM 2304 (Manchaca Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 2  
SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)

Project 3  
SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)

Project 4  
US 290 (West of IH 35)

Project 5  
RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Wider divided lanes for rt71 in bee cave Spicewood

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 5  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1

SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 2

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 1431 (Whitestone Boulevard/Chandler Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Continue making 290 controlled access east of austin.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Any attempt at putting I-35 underground through austin is really a waste of taxpayers money and will only cause deaths and flooding during large rain events just like in Houston. Learn from their mistakes.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 2  Capital Metro bus routes

Project 3  Walking and biking trail projects

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

No

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Hey 71 W

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1
Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Project 2
SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 3
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 4
SL 1 (MoPac)

Project 5
US 290 (West of IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

2222 and 2244

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Please do not tunnel under surface corridor. If anything, go up when necessary.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 21 (Bastrop County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
None that I can think of

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
No, but I appreciate being asked! Hopefully some of the other responses convey gratitude for the work being done!
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: Capital Metro Project Connect projects
- Project 2: SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
- Project 3: RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
- Project 4: SL 1 (MoPac)
- Project 5: US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

-

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 29 (Burnet County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
620 tied into 45 around to kyle

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5  Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
- English
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1  
  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
- Project 2
- Project 3
- Project 4
- Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
- Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
- Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 2  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 3  
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 4  
RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Project 5  
RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
No more toll roads
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4  SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 5  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Idk

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
71 is a death trap from southwest parkway to the county line. This need to be a divided highway with no left hand turns
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78602

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line) |
| Project 2 | SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35) |
| Project 3 | SH 21 (Bastrop County) |
| Project 4 | FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard) |
| Project 5 | RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

American Legion Drive/Arena Drive between SH 21 and SH 71 - Bastrop. It's a heavily used connection between these two transportation arteries and is both poorly designed and even more poorly maintained.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

CARTS is a 100% waste of money. Close it and divert those funds to Uber/Lyft. You'll achieve 100% better results at a fraction of the cost. 2nd comment - quit wasting money on bike lanes. Central TX is a convection oven 9 months out of the year. No one rides bikes. Quit trying to force the issue while wasting millions of dollars. Quit being social engineering morons.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2 RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3 SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Intersection 290 and 71

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Hwy 71 between 281 and 290 is a death trap
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2 US 281
Project 3 RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 4 Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 5 SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

High speed rail

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

No

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

park and ride associated with railways. The next railway project should be from the Convention Center to the Airport, then one from the Airport out to SH 130 so that the space left for rail on SH 130 can then be utilized to get folks from all the way from Georgetown to the north and Seguin to the South an easy way of getting to the airport (and downtown Austin with the aforementioned connection from the Convention Center to the Airport).

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

no
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3  SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

79669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 2  
SH 29 (Burnet County)

Project 3  
SH 123

Project 4  
US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Project 5  
US 183A

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281) |
| Project 2 | Walking and biking trail projects |
| Project 3 | RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road) |
| Project 4 | SL 275 (South Congress Avenue) |
| Project 5 | SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)
Project 3  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 4  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
Project 5  SH 29 (Burnet County)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Train from Spicewood to metro

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
71 is the only way the people from 3 counties have into Austin. If it becomes gridlocked with the massive expansions going on, it would be detrimental.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 2  
SH 29 (Burnet County)

Project 3  
US 290 (West of IH 35)

Project 4  
RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Project 5  
US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Improved public transit from west to and from airport and downtown

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Too much emphasis on trucking and IH 35 and not enough on supporting residents
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)
Project 4  RM 12 (Hays County)
Project 5  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Reimers Peacock road in west Travis county (71 to 12 connection)

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281) |
| Project 2 | SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35) |
| Project 3 | RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road) |
| Project 4 | SL 1 (MoPac) |
| Project 5 | SH 29 (Burnet County) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
Project 4  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 5  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

I want to stress the necessity the park n’ ride train options going from bee cave to downtown

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
**Q2** In what zip code do you live?

78738

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 12 (Hays County)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
Project 4  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 5  Walking and biking trail projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Don't know

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
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Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78641

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 4  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 5  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Four Points (620 & 2222)

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 4  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 5  US 290 (West of IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78660

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Dessau Road it horribly backed up and has alot of accidents. If anything could be done to help folks going north that don't take IH35 or 130 toll please help. Or maybe adjusting all the lights along the way in peak times?

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 5  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

   English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78753

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Project 2  
Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Project 3  
US 290 (West of IH 35)

Project 4  
FM 734 (Parmer Lane)

Project 5  
US 79

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

All, transportation in Austin sucks.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78612

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1    SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)
Project 2    SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)
Project 3    SH 21 (Bastrop County)
Project 4    SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)
Project 5    US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
no

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Hwy 71 is major corridor between Austin and Houston and needs to remain a priority.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

79669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 3  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 4  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 5  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78704

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)

Project 2  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Project 3  SL 1 (MoPac)

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Lamar and 5th/6th

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1
SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 2
SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 3
SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 4
US 281

Project 5
US 281

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

no

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

no
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  US 290 (West of IH 35)
Project 3  SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 4  US 281
Project 5  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 2

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

yes, the intersection of hwy 71 and Pedernales Parkway into the Sweetwater development is dangerous and operates in a way that is not at all intuitive, especially as you are leaving the neighborhood to turn LEFT. Also, as one drives W to E along hwy 71, there needs to be a right lane turning lane into the neighborhood.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Bike lanes, commuter rail from airport to downtown and Domain

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Shunting funds from current projects to I35 without public input is shameful
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 2
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 3
RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

No

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78726

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Anderson Mill/620 intersection improvements

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  FM 2304 (Manchaca Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  US 290 (West of IH 35)
Project 4  US 281
Project 5  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Do not make 71 or 620 divided roadways.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Do not make 71 or 620 divided roadways
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78726

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 4  SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 5  Walking and biking trail projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 2  
Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Project 3  
RM 12 (Hays County)

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78702

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3  FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)
Project 4  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 5  Capital Metro bus routes

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Walnut Creek loop. Waller creek network through city. Bike and ped crossing from downtown to east side.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Please design bike and walk as if you were going to let your child use it. It should be safe for them to use and not feel as if they will get killed by a vehicle. If it is not that safe... consider posting a caution sign to walk and bike at own risk.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78722

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5  SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

High Capacity Transit needs to be the priority

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Keep up the good work.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78758

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2 Capital Metro bus routes
Project 3 CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 4 Walking and biking trail projects
Project 5 RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

High speed rail

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 3  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Project 5  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

mopac

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

620/2222 in Four Points

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Plan for city growth
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 4  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
Project 5  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

4 points area is disaster, specifically 2222 and 620 intersection

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 3  
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 4  
RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Project 5  
RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78726

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 3
CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78733

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Light rail between downtown/UT campus and airport

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  FM 2304 (Manchaca Road)
Project 4  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 5  Walking and biking trail projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

290 Dripping Springs to MoPac

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78726

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Major consideration needs to be given to the problem of “induced demand” that was observed in Houston with the Katy Freeway project.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

The Covid-19 lockdown has demonstrated that there is a huge percentage of the white collar workforce that can be effective from home. Some consideration needs to be given to how to effectively incentive this to keep people off the roads as much as possible.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
- Project 2: SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
- Project 3: RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
- Project 4: RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
- Project 5: RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1
SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Project 2

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

SH 71 in Spicewood TX

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Install medians to prevent head on collisions
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78726

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>US 290 (West of IH 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Public transport

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78704

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 3  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 4  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Improved regional mobility, while certainly desirable, has the potential to induce even more urban sprawl. CAMPO should take measures to ensure our region doesn't continue to be paved into a 6-county series of suburbs and expressways.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Not at this time.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

- English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

- 78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
- Project 2: RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
- Project 3: SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)
- Project 4: SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
- Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

- Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

- Respondent skipped this question
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**Q1** Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

**Q2** In what zip code do you live?  
78726

**Q3** Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

**Projects List**

- **Project 1**  
  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
- **Project 2**  
  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
- **Project 3**  
  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
- **Project 4**  
  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
- **Project 5**

**Q4** Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
FM 620 and RR2222 intersection  
FM 620 from Anderson mill to RR2222

**Q5** Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido | English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Rail to the airport and to far northwest austin towards steiner ranch

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 3  
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 4  
US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Project 5  
US 290 (West of IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 2  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
Project 3  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 4  US 290 (West of IH 35)
Project 5  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Hwy 71 connection to I35 south. Disaster zone

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Done prioritize I35 unless you address 360 or a loop around. Don’t make people ONLY go through austin
#319

Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Traffic is horrendous on 2222 btw 360 & 620 and 620 to Lakeway!

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Please do not drop the projects linking 620 to 2222 from Steiner Ranch
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 3  Walking and biking trail projects

Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Project 5  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2 RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 3 RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 4 SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Steiner Ranch access to Bee Caves over Lake Austin. Fire and traffic needs.

620 and 2222 is a traffic mess. Needs improvement but still maintain small town accessibility.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Striner ranch fire and traffic routes.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

More patrol from law enforcement, regardless where it comes from. We desperately need these roads enforced. I almost died last year in an automobile accident on Southwest Parkway. Everyone speeds on that road ALWAYS. Put radars- SOMETHING. Your priority should be the safety of the people and right now it doesn’t feel like it is...

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

See above. Thank you.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Lights on 620 to 2222 intersection and from 2222 to 360. All lights need to be adjusted during peak traffic times. Including limiting left turns at various locations to help with traffic flow.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Addressing traffic and roadway issues along 620/2222 area is a priority over I-35 which is always under construction. If the decision makers continue to bump projects ahead of the ones already identified as needed improvements and already approved, needed projects will never get done.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 4  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 5  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Congestion at Four Points (620/2222) & additional exit out of Vandegrift High School

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Please continue to prioritize the Four Points traffic area—during COVID-19 it takes leads that 15 min to travel from home to Vandegrift High School. During “normal” mornings & evenings this often takes 45min or more (when there is an accident which was becoming daily)
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78613

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
SH 29 (Williamson County)

Project 3  
US 183A

Project 4  
SH 29 (Burnet County)

Project 5  
US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

   English

---

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

The travel from Steiner Ranch to 2222 is absolutely horrendous. The funds should not be taken away from this project.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Steiner Ranch to 2222 widening is a must.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Only having one way in and one way out of Steiner ranch with more housing approved and already bad traffic on 620. If there is another fire we are in serious danger

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Why is there no consideration for the 620, quinlan park area?
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)
Project 5  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

4-points MAIN CONCERN
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 343 (South Lamar Boulevard, Cesar Chavez)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

In what zip code do you live?

78750

Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 2  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 3  Capital Metro bus routes

Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Park & Ride and bus routes that include 360 from Arboretum at Hwy. 183 to Hwy 2222. Serious congestion with NO option other than to drive!

Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Keeps focus on central area. But we have to get to the central area to get the train, the bus, etc. We need to look to the large population along 360 and east to MoPac! We need help!
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
US 290 (West of IH 35)

Project 3  
SL 1 (MoPac)

Project 4  
RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Project 5  
RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

1. Extend Mopac south to I-35/SR130.  
2. Bridges from south ends of River Place and Steiner Ranch to reach RM2244

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

There are huge productivity losses (in the tens or even hundreds of millions) from people sitting in traffic around the area. More capacity and smoother flow is essential if we are to attract additional economic resources to the region.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
- Project 2: RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
- Project 3: Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
- Project 4: SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Second Fire Exit out of Steiner Ranch

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78669

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

No more bicycles on highways!

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

620/2222 is still an hour for the kids and bus to get to high school at Vandegrift. The bypass and other projects still are not enough. It is a safety concern. 17,000 people live in Steiner Ranch with one exit. It took 10 hours to get home when there was an accident on 620 with the fire truck. In 2011, it took 4 hours to evacuate the neighborhood during a fire, and that was with half the houses built. Please prioritize 620/2222 for safety reasons.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? 

Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1      | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |
| Project 2      | SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)    |
| Project 3      | RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)            |
| Project 4      | RM 1431 (Whitestone Boulevard/Chandler Road) |
| Project 5      | SH 130                               |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1 RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2 RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3 RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 4 SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 5 SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 5  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
   English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
   78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? 
   Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? 
   Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Project 2

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Fix 620

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78750

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 29 (Williamson County)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

IH 35

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78750

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 183A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? 
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live? 

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? 

Stop robbing Peter to Pay Paul. The money are approved for critical project and to yank it to fund the I-35 project is wrong. SH-130 was built to address the issues of I-35 yet not enough was done to push traffic out to 130. You guys blew it and now want to paralyze the rest of the city so you can swing and miss again on I-35.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Project 3  
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

620 to 2222 bypass

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Ignoring the gridlock on 620 is absolutely irresponsible. It routinely takes my kids over an hour to go 6 miles to get to school. Then, considering ANYONE who works outside of the home has to commute with hours being lost everyday. The frustration level is at a peak and everyone drives aggressively because of it. How many people need to die? And if there's an accident, which there is everyday, that adds to the traffic.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78733

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>US 183A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

RM 620 & FM 2322 intersection is a disaster. An overpass needs to be placed there!

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 3  
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

**English**

In what zip code do you live?

78732

Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

The Four Points traffic congestion at RM620 and RR2222 particularly the bypass from RM 620 north to RR2222 at Riverplace Blvd for Vandegrift High School traffic congestion.

Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 275 (South Congress Avenue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 1431 (Whitestone Boulevard/Chandler Road)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 3  
RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Project 4  
Walking and biking trail projects

Project 5  
SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

- Anderson mill 620 overpass
- Widen 620 in lake way
- 360 Cap St Highway at the North
- 360 Cap St Highway overpassed

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

NE AUSTIN should be a priority 620 is a safety hazard and the roads can't handle the number of travelers
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
not at this time

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
No
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Assuming this project will connect to the current bypass from 620 to 2222, CAMPO should prioritize: City of Austin Roadway RM 2222 to Four Points Drive Connector Construct a new 4-lanedivided with pedestrian/bicycle and transit improvements. Otherwise, the bypass currently being built will not be as successful at lowering the number of drivers on 2222, since drivers trying to get to Vandergrift H.S. will still have to enter 2222 to then turn left onto McNeil.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
Project 3  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 4  SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)
Project 5  US 183A

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
   English
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Q2 In what zip code do you live?
   78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
   620/2222 area must be a priority.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
   Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 1</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered? Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78727

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 4  FM 2304 (Manchaca Road)
Project 5  SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Reducing the number of cars on road

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

With COVID-19 and the resulting teleworking that is happen, perhaps include telecommunications infrastructure (G5) into your roadway projects.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>US 281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Unknown

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
620/2222 widening/overpass

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1	RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2	RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)

Project 3	RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Project 4	RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Project 5	SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Four points (RM 620 and 2222) is a mess

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Anything along 620 and 2222. It is dangerous and gridlocked. In addition it has all of our high school students on that road for hours coming and going to school.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1      Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)
Project 2      Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3      SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 4      
Project 5      

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Widening 620 from 71 to 2222
With medians and dedicated left turn lanes

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>US 290 (West of IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido
   English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
   78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)
Project 4  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
Project 5  FM 734 (Parmer Lane)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1  
  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

- Project 2  
  SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)

- Project 3  
  Capital Metro Project Connect projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido   English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78756

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1   Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 2   Walking and biking trail projects
Project 3   Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

One need is currently unaddressed, and that is how our investments in conventional highway infrastructure induce traffic demand, support inefficient land use development, and maintain and grow regional greenhouse gas footprints. The costs of these externalities need to be considered in each regional transportation decision.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

This is far too little, too late in terms of doing anything about climate change. What a waste of dollars sunk into conventional highway expansion that we know does nothing to alleviate traffic in the long term.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78602

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1: SH 71 (Between SH 21 and IH 35)
- Project 2: SH 21 (Bastrop County)
- Project 3: SH 71 (SH 21 to Bastrop/Lee County Line)
- Project 4: FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard)
- Project 5: SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Finishing all of the overpasses on HWY 71

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78759

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Light rail from the domain and downtown to the airport is critical. Light rail to the soccer stadium and its campus is important too.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78676

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 2  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 3  SL 111 (Airport Boulevard)
Project 4  SL 1 (MoPac)
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
Balancing regional growth with small town charm - encouraging high density growth of roadways around I-35 corridor without spreading out into rural areas

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
No Wimberley Wayside Drive expansion/ connection to Jacob's Well Rd. or 1492
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road) |
| Project 2 | RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35) |
| Project 3 | RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland) |
| Project 4 | SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway) |
| Project 5 | SL 1 (MoPac) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Do not withdraw funds AGAIN away from RR 620 and FM 2222
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 3  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 4  SH 71 (Between US 290 and US 281)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>FM 734 (Parmer Lane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 1 (MoPac)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
No

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78628

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

| Project 1 | Walking and biking trail projects |
| Project 2 | CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects |
| Project 3 | Capital Metro Project Connect projects |
| Project 4 | SH 29 (Williamson County) |
| Project 5 | FM 969 (Martin Luther King Boulevard) |

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

These transportation routes SHOULD NOT be paved over aquifer recharge zones. It is your responsibility to execute transportation projects from a multidisciplinary standpoint where the integrity of our natural waterways is not destroyed simply because it is the easiest, fastest, and cheapest solution. That is not a solution, that is irresponsibility. Thank you!

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

I believe the plan is good. Just make sure you are considering how this affects public lands.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78734

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Project 2  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Project 3

Project 4

Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Mutimodal - biking needs to be included

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

620/2222 at four points.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78749

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park &amp; ride projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>Capital Metro Project Connect projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>Walking and biking trail projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

The new CAMPO plan is absurd. This spends millions of tax dollars to create more impervious cover, air pollution, and hotter temperatures. The better solution to mandate stay-at-home alternating schedules to reduce traffic. We need to be better and wiser.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

The new CAMPO plan is absurd. This spends millions of tax dollars to create more impervious cover, air pollution, and hotter temperatures. The better solution to mandate stay-at-home alternating schedules to reduce traffic. We need to be better and wiser.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 3  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  
RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

Project 2  
SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)

Project 3  
SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Project 4  
RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Please fix the four points Intersection and widen 2222

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Let taxpayers vote
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
Project 4  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 5  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido: English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78730

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1: SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 2: RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3: US 183A
Project 4: RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 5: RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
360 most important!

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?
No
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  
English

Q2 In what zip code do you live? 
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- Project 1  
  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)

- Project 2  
  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)

- Project 3  
  US 183 (Williamson and Travis Counties)

- Project 4  
  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

- Project 5  
  SL 1 (MoPac)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 4  SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)
Project 5  Walking and biking trail projects

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  
Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  
Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?  

78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project 1</th>
<th>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project 2</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 3</td>
<td>RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 4</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project 5</td>
<td>SL 360 (Capital of Texas Highway)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  

Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  

Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido

English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?

78704

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

- **Project 1**  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
- **Project 2**  Walking and biking trail projects
- **Project 3**  Capital Metro bus routes
- **Project 4**
- **Project 5**

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

An elevated rail system should be an option. Studies have show that 1) They can be installed with minimal impact on existing roadways, environment and traffic 2) They can be readily scaled to demand 3) They produce less pollution 4) When offered as an alternative to high congestion automobile routes, an elevate rail system can more than pay for itself

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

Further encroachment into the Hill Country will be an environmental disaster. Sprawl creates huge infrastructure problems requiring expensive fixes. The proposed Roadway Projects is not sustainable economically or environmentally.
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido  English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78753

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects
Project 2  Capital Metro Project Connect projects
Project 3  Capital Metro bus routes
Project 4  Walking and biking trail projects
Project 5  Roadway Projects (Please specify in Q3.)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?

Please remove from our county and regional plans these unneeded and harmful projects: the extension of Jacob's Well Road, from FM 2325 to Wayside Drive; the extension of Wayside Drive across the Blanco River; a western loop around San Marcos; an extension of FM 150 west of RR 12; a loop around Dripping Springs; and an extension of Escarpment Boulevard in Travis County all the way down to FM 150.

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?  Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live?
78732

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (Anderson Mill Road to IH 35)
Project 2  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 3  SH 45/RM 620 (Between US 183 and SH 130)
Project 4  RM 2222 (Koenig/Northland)

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?
RM620 and FM2222 connection

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects? Respondent skipped this question
Q1 Choose language / seleccione su idioma preferido English

Q2 In what zip code do you live? 78738

Q3 Remember, this is a regional plan and IH 35 has been identified as the highest priority to move forward. What do you think the next transportation focus and priorities should be? The list below contains major roadway projects and options for other modes (transit and trail projects). Select up to five priorities, and if there is something not on the list, share that in the next question. Click here to view the project map.

Projects List

Project 1  RM 620 (SH 71 to Anderson Mill Road)
Project 2  RM 2244 (Bee Caves Road)
Project 3
Project 4
Project 5

Q4 Are there any additional regional transportation needs that should be considered?  Respondent skipped this question

Q5 Do you have any additional comments on the draft 2045 Transportation Plan and projects?

We’re very concerned about funding being taken away from the RM-620 project (Lakeway/Bee Cave). This area needs the proposed road widening and the center median for improved safety.
2045 Regional Transportation Plan
Survey Responses
Round Two

Spring 2020
Spanish Responses
Q6 ¿En cuál código postal vive usted?
78735

Q7 Acuérdese que este plan esta creado al nivel regional y que la carretera interestatal 35 fue identificada como la mayor prioridad para el futuro. ¿Qué opina usted sobre las prioridades y el enfoque para el transporte regional? La lista siguiente incluye proyectos y opciones para varios modos (como tránsito y peatonales y senderos). Seleccione hasta 5 proyectos importantes para usted. Si no encuentra algún proyecto en la lista, puedes identificarlo en la siguiente pregunta. Para revisar el mapa con los proyectos, haz clic aquí.

| Proyecto 1 | Walking and biking trail projects |
| Proyecto 2 | CARTS express bus, microtransit, and park & ride projects |
| Proyecto 3 | Capital Metro bus routes |
| Proyecto 4 | Capital Metro Project Connect projects |
| Proyecto 5 |

Q8 ¿Hay alguna necesidad adicional de transporte regional que deba considerarse?
¿Por que no están considerando ferrocarril conectando con San Antonio y Dallas/Ft. Worth y/o Houston?

Q9 ¿Tiene algún comentario adicional sobre el Plan de Transporte 2045 y los proyectos?
Si, me parece como un sueño mojado de ingenieros de transporte supertradicionales y dueños de empresas de construcción de carreteras
Q6 ¿En cuál código postal vive usted?
78757

Q7 Acuérdese que este plan esta creado al nivel regional y que la carretera interestatal 35 fue identificada como la mayor prioridad para el futuro. ¿Qué opina usted sobre las prioridades y el enfoque para el transporte regional? La lista siguiente incluye proyectos y opciones para varios modos (como tránsito y peatonales y senderos). Seleccione hasta 5 proyectos importantes para usted. Si no encuentra algún proyecto en la lista, puedes identificarlo en la siguiente pregunta. Para revisar el mapa con los proyectos, haz clic aquí.

Lista de Proyectos

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proyecto 1</th>
<th>Walking and biking trail projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proyecto 2</td>
<td>Capital Metro bus routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proyecto 3</td>
<td>SL 275 (North Lamar Boulevard)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q8 ¿Hay alguna necesidad adicional de transporte regional que deba considerarse?
North Lamar y Rumberg lugar para bicicletas

Q9 ¿Tiene algún comentario adicional sobre el Plan de Transporte 2045 y los proyectos?
Muchas Gracias por si lo hacer
2045 Regional Transportation Plan
Email Comments
Round Two

Spring 2020
April 27, 2020

Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization
Transportation Policy Board Members
3300 N. Interstate 35, Suite 630
Austin, Texas 78705

Via Email

Re: Supplemental Comments on the CAMPO Draft 2045 Regional Transportation Plan

Dear Members of the CAMPO Transportation Policy Board:

Save Our Springs Alliance (SOS Alliance) offers the following supplemental comments on the CAMPO Draft 2045 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). These comments are in addition to the comments submitted by SOS Alliance on April 20, 2020. These supplemental comments address the Draft 2045 RTP Updated on April 20 (Updated RTP), as well as general policy issues related to CAMPO planning.

1. **The Updated RTP is an Improvement, but Still Lacks Some Legally Required Components and Does Not Give the Public a “Reasonable Opportunity” to Comment.**

   SOS Alliance appreciates staff’s responsiveness to requests from the public to update the draft and extend the public comment period. SOS Alliance recognizes that the Updated RTP represents an improvement over the previous public draft, as it includes more discussion on the Travel Demand Model, Environmental Justice impacts, and Fiscal Constraint Analysis. However, the Updated RTP still lacks any mention climate change, the required environmental mitigation discussion, or a Regional Toll Analysis. Moreover, although some placeholders have been removed, this does not necessarily mean that the missing information has been included. For example, the March 26 draft stated in the Fiscal Constraint chapter “Appendix X summarized the methodology for the calculations and assumptions used.” This sentence has simply been removed from the Updated RTP, indicating that CAMPO does not ever intend to provide such information to the public. For these and the reasons cited in our April 20 comments, SOS Alliance believes that insufficiencies remain that keep this draft from meeting minimum federal standards for public participation purposes.

   And although we would have like to see the public having longer than one week to respond to the Updated RTP, we appreciate the extra week, and we are mindful that the currently scheduled May 4 vote pinches the timeline such that any more time for public comment reduces the TPB members’ times to review those comments.
We believe it is important for TPB members to have adequate time to review comments, particularly from those residents they represent. We also think it only fair that the TPB members be given an extra week (at a minimum) to review public comments, to give them as much time for review as they would have had before the comment period was extended. To that end, SOS Alliance would point out that the 2040 Plan was adopted by the TPB on May 11, 2015. Therefore, the five-year “deadline” to adopt a revised TPB ends on May 11, 2020, one week after the currently scheduled May 4 vote. SOS Alliance stands by its request that the public comment period be responded for 45 days upon publication of a legally sufficient draft. The TPB could, however, at the very least, reschedule the May 4 vote to May 11 to allow the TPB members adequate time to review public comments and possibly shape suggested changes to the RTP based on public comment in discussing whether to adopt the plan.

2. **SOS Alliance Supports Comments and Suggestions Made at the April 24 TAC Meeting.**

SOS Alliance watched with interest the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting held on April 24. We appreciate comments by Travis County staff that the TAC should have the benefit of all public comments before being asked to recommend adoption of the RTP or not. The fact that the TAC has had to weigh in absent the benefit of public comment on previous occasions does not ameliorate this concern. The inability or unwillingness to follow proper procedure on one occasion does not excuse the next. This situation further underscores the need to delay the May 4 vote for all stakeholders to be provided adequate time to provide input based on a complete draft.

SOS Alliance supports the six suggested amendments put forth by the City of Austin, one of which was adopted, and the rest of which failed by a narrow margin. In particular, SOS Alliance appreciates the comments and associated amendment made by the City of Austin regarding the discrepancies between the RTP Project list and the project maps. SOS Alliance called attention to this deficiency in its April 20 and several public meetings. An explanation was finally given at the TAC meeting that the sponsoring entity never gave to CAMPO the shp files for those road projects, so they should have never been included on the map in the first place (and presumably will not appear on maps in the final version). This explanation is insufficient. If more information from a sponsoring entity is needed to prepare accurate and complete maps of the road projects, then CAMPO should require provision of such information as a condition to inclusion in the RTP. The solution is not to omit the roads entirely from the public visualization aides, as this misleads the public as to what projects are included in the RTP.

To aid the public and officials in understanding all the new and expanded road projects proposed in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in the 2045 RTP, SOS Alliance prepared a map, originally included with our April 20 comments, that has since been updated and finalized (Attachment 1).
3. **The Demographic Forecasts Fail to Use the Best Available Data, in Contravention of Federal Law.**

The Texas State Demographer has always made clear that the high-growth scenarios should be used for short-range planning and should not be used for long-range planning. Yet CAMPO has repeatedly chosen to ignore this advice. In fact, the Texas State Demographer abandoned the high, medium, and low-growth scenarios approach in 2018.

For the 2045 Plan, CAMPO staff chose to use the 2016 demographic data for high-growth scenario, even though the new 2018 data are available. Federal law requires that CAMPO use the most recent data. Specifically, MPOs must use the “latest available estimates and assumptions for population, land use, travel, employment, congestion, and economic activity” in updating the regional transportation plan. 23 C.F.R. § 450.324(e). Guidance from the FHWA elaborating on these regulations direct that “if the region is growing rapidly,” it is especially important that MPOs “use the most current socioeconomic and census data available.” ¹ The CAMPO 2045 RTP failed to use the best data available and must be revised.

4. **The Plan’s Fiscal Constraint Analysis Suffers from Unreasonable and Inconsistent Cost Estimates.**

The RTP—in all its iterations—is not “fiscally constrained” in a meaningful way. We discussed several reasons why in our April 20 letter and cite a few more. First, the construction cost estimates vary widely across jurisdictions, and many cost estimates woefully underestimate the price of construction. For example, the Rutherford Ranch bypass, an approximately 10-mile, brand new 2-lane divided road, is estimated to cost only $25 million.

Another example of wide price variations is demonstrated by two comparable projects on Fitzhugh Road, split between Travis and Hays Counties. Hays County plans to add a median and double the width from 2 to 4 lanes for a distance of about 3 miles, at an estimated cost of $5.5 million in 2025 ($1.83 million per mile). Travis County proposes that for the approximately 4-mile stretch through its jurisdiction, it will add a median, bike lanes, and sidewalks, at a cost of $59.4 million ($14.85 million per mile). In a second phase, Travis County plans to widen this stretch from 2 to 4 lanes in the year 2045, for $39.6 million ($9.9 million per mile).

Thus, Hays County’s estimate for doubling roadway capacity is a fraction of what Travis County estimates spending in either phase of its proposed expansions. Although these projects are anticipate to occur years apart, inflation alone cannot account for this difference.

The RTP never explains how these cost estimates are calculated, but presumably the sponsoring entity provides it. Yet there does not appear to be any effort to review for...

---

consistency or otherwise provide a QA/QC check on the sponsors’ estimates, resulting in wildly divergent and unrealistic cost estimates. This despite the fact that FHWA and TxDOT provide standard construction cost estimates categorized by type of road projects for each TxDOT District in Texas. Variations could be expected to occur based on topography, the amount of right-of-way to be acquired, the presence of trees, etc., but the Fitzhugh Road example, among others, demonstrates that the most significant factor in a project’s estimated cost is apparently which governmental entity submitted the project.

Moreover, the Updated RTP dispenses of the considerable cost of maintenance and operations by stating simply that “[m]aintenance and operations was assumed to remain at constant levels each year, with growth included for inflation.” Updated RTP at 44. This is a completely unreasonable assumption given that the increased capacity from all the new and expanded roads and other facilities will undoubtedly increase maintenance and operation costs. More roads to maintain equal higher maintenance costs; this should not be in dispute.

Estimated costs for projects, including maintenance and operations, should be standardized and reviewed to ensure that all sponsoring entities are using realistic numbers based on standard benchmarks. And, as FHWA advises, “[t]o minimize risk, it is advisable to use the upper end of the project cost range when demonstrating fiscal constraint.”

5. **The CAMPO Travel Demand Model Shows Marginal Improvements to Congestion and Suffers from a Fundamental Design Flaw.**

The updated analysis of the Travel Demand Model indicates travel-time savings are not nearly as optimistic as had been predicted before. Previously, the Draft RTP stated that the recommended “Build” scenario would reduce travel time per person by 50% over the “No Build” scenario (for a total estimated savings of 1.5 million hours). These estimated time savings drop by over half in the Updated RTP, with delay now expected to be reduced by only 24% (estimated savings of 1.3 million hours). In other words, upon spending $42.5 billion dollars on transportation, our travel delay is only expected to be reduced by 24% over doing nothing. That is not a great return on investment.

It is difficult to know how seriously to take these results, however, given that the Travel Demand Model is fundamental flawed. CAMPO’s transportation planning modeling incorporates a feedback loop that allows model inputs to be partially determined by the model outputs, thus skewing the model results and creating a self-fulfilling process of induced demand.

To elaborate, a new RTP is supposed to be based upon the new growth forecasts. To predict where people will live in 2045, one of the inputs in the model is the 2045 RTP roadway network, which includes massive capacity expansion. Once we assume that a highway expansion will lead to increased population in a particular far-flung locale, then the Travel Demand Model will show increased need for trips from there to the rest of the region.

---

2 Id.
Then, running the model under a “No Build” Scenario—representing only the existing network plus projects in the current TIP—we find that the exurban populations that were in fact a product of the Build Scenario will experience dramatically increased congestion unless the very road that enabled them to live there in the first place is built. That is, because we assumed the widening of the roadways in the creation of the model, the model will show terrible congestion absent expanded roadway capacity.

CAMPO characterizes its planning approach as “predict and provide,” but its methods shows that the more apt characterization is “provide and predict.” FHWA guidance directed to MPOs cautions that “[m]odel results are only as good as the data that go into the model... MPOs should make every effort to explain the information and assumptions that went into creating the model in plain, understandable terms. Finally, it is important that the models periodically be validated against observed conditions.”3 Understanding and correcting for this bias in favor of road projects is key to reforming transportation planning so that sprawl is not subsidized by billions in public funding.

6. The 2045 RTP Must Take Into Account Economic Realities of a Post-COVID World.

The fiscal capacity estimated in the 2045 RTP is based on local governments carrying ever-increasing amounts of debt. The residents of Williamson and Hays County currently carry the first and second highest rates of County debt per capita in the State of Texas, respectively. Most of these bonds come due with major payments required in the next 15 years. Much of this debt is for road bonds. CAMPO reveals that its calculation of local fiscal capacity is based on bonds taken out from 2010-2019, a relatively prosperous time that no economist would predict to go on indefinitely, even absent a global pandemic. Basing the availability of future transportation bonds on the last ten years is not a reliable forecasting method, especially in light of recent events. It does not appear that any consideration was given to a jurisdiction’s maximum bonding capacity, competing priorities, and economic downturns.

Finally, the 2045 Plan should take into account enhanced telecommuting in predicting transportation demand in our future. We made this point in our April 20 comments and supplement that discussion with the words of Michael Dell, a leader of the tech industry in the Austin area. In an April 23 article, Mr. Dell commented that the post-COVID world will likely usher in a new era of telecommuting. Noting the beneficial impacts of people working from home and thus reduced car trips, he remarked that “one of the positives about this special period is that we’re going to figure out how we can operate in a more virtual way, like we’re doing right now, and still be successful.”4

---


---
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Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact us should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Bill Bunch  
Executive Director

Kelly Davis  
Staff Attorney

Bobby Levinski  
Staff Attorney

Save Our Springs Alliance  
4701 Westgate Blvd.  
Bldg. D, Suite 401  
Austin, Texas 78745  
(512) 477-2320  
bill@sosalliance.org  
kelly@sosalliance.org  
bobby@sosalliance.org
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Abbott

Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays...
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Abbott

Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Abbott
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Maureen Adair
Austin, TX 78763
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

The draw to our area depends largely on our environment. People love the trees and creeks. Do not destroy by building roads for people who do not live here yet.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Romalda Allsup
Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Romalda Allsup
Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Kiera Allwood
Richmond, TX 77407
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Gaby Alvarez
Austin, TX 78748
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Margaret Anderson
Austin, TX 78723
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Margaret Anderson
Austin, TX 78756
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Mary Anderson
Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Mary Anderson

Austin, TX 78731
From: Tommy Anderson
To: CAMPO Comments
Subject: Comments on the CAMPO 2045 Draft Plan
Date: Sunday, April 26, 2020 9:36:59 PM

Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Tommy Anderson
Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Dr. Thomas H. Anderson
Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Tommy Anderson
Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Mary Anderson
Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Kate Antoun
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Mary Arnold

[Redacted]
Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

HANNAH ARNOSKY
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

HANNAH ARNOSKY

Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Kevin Arp
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing.

***Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.***

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.
Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Karin Ascot
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Karin Ascot
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

I strongly agree with The Hill Country Alliance and glad to hear that you are considering amendments to the previous plan because you care about your constituents and not 'Big Developers'.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Ava B

Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Marcial Bacani

Houston, TX 77025
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Matt Bachardy
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Katherine Bachardy
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Brandie Baker
austin, TX 78704
I am very concerned and opposed to some of the WRONG ideas that are in the long range draft transportation plan that CAMPO is proposing, and I am formally submitting comments to the long range draft transportation plan proposed by CAMPO.

1. I live in Central Texas and not HOUSTON for a reason. Like so many others in Central Texas, it is for environmental quality of life. I live in San Marcos, and I am especially concerned about CAMPO proposals to develop new "loop" highways around the west side of San Marcos and around Dripping Springs. This would bring inappropriate development into the environmentally-sensitive areas that feed the San Marcos River near and over the recharge zone of the Edwards Aquifer. Our city and county has invested millions into protecting these areas with conservation easements and the number of easements increases yearly. People in my city care about environment and are willing to put their wallet on the line. We purchase surface water to avoid stressing the Edwards Aquifer. In San Marcos, local environmentalists and the San Marcos River Foundation opposed a similar proposal by our local transportation department in 2019, and the San Marcos City Council REMOVED the plan for a thoroughfare in the area west of the city in this area from the medium and long range transportation plan of the City of San Marcos. And now this dangerous, short-sighted and foolish (for our environment, river, and aquifer) plan raises its head again by people in CAMPO who apparently refuse to listen to local governments.

Have you not ever heard of "induced traffic"? Please check this out in the Smart Growth Manual (Speck, Lydon, Duany, first published 2009, into several subsequent editions).
Do you think that Houston built its way out of traffic by building more and more roads? Here is the truth: roads beget roads. Keep them out of environmentally-sensitive areas or risk destruction of those areas.

Voters have approved tens of millions of bonds to protect this area. We have spoken. Let your concrete and road-building friends make money somewhere else.

2. The draft plan also recommends extending Escarpment Boulevard way down into central Hays County, crossing City of Austin Barton Springs water quality protection lands and converting FM 150 into a four-lane, divided, developer highway across Hays County and the Onion Creek watershed, from near Kyle to beyond Dripping Springs. These plans are contrary to the wishes of the voting public who routinely approve bonds to protect land in these areas. I urge you to please remove this from your plan.

People live in Central Texas for quality of life. Do not destroy our environment. Do not destroy the quality of our rivers, springs, and aquifer. Do not prostitute Central Texas for the greed of developers and road construction companies. The rush for money by developers has just about ruined Austin. Don't let it ruin all of Central Texas.

In summary, I am opposed to:
The draft plan to extend Escarpment Boulevard way down into central Hays County, crossing City of Austin Barton Springs water quality protection lands

The draft plan for new "loop" highways around the west side of San Marcos and around Dripping Springs, contemplating massive development in areas that voters have approved tens of millions of bond funds to protect.

The draft plan to convert FM 150 into a four-lane, divided, developer highway across Hays County and the Onion Creek watershed, from near Kyle to beyond Dripping Springs.

I realized that Central Texas is growing. I hear it incessantly from developers. They are constantly publishing in media to get more growth to come to Central Texas to aid in their profits. But as the Smart Growth Manual states, areas that have limited water supply should not be encouraged to be centers of growth. In your planning please make modifications so that CAMPO's plans do not destroy the lands, rivers, springs and aquifer that make this area special.

James K. Baker
San Marcos, Texas 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

SUZY BANKS

DRIPPING SPRINGS, TX 78620
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Brittany Barcliff
Austin, TX 78724
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Sydney Barnason
Columbia, MO 65201
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Virginia Barron
Georgetown, TX 78633
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,

Anthony Barron

Georgetown, TX 78633
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Anthony Barron
Georgetown, TX 78633
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Tara Barton
Austin, TX 78749
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Sue Batchelor
Bryan, TX 77803
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Merry Bateman
Buda, TX 78610
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Mary Beck
Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
LA Behen
Austin, TX 78748
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

t bell

Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

tony bell

austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

[Redacted]
austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Georgine Benno
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

James R. Benson

Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

James R. Benson

Austin, TX 78731
I have written before, but I did not even get a response of any kind. Okay, I'll try again.

Here goes:

There is being built a road across Lake LBJ (or Lake Marble Falls) for "another way into Marble Falls from Horseshoe Bay."

Why in the world do we want to make a road for the HB elite, when what we need in this area for ALL people is this:

We need to improve HW 281 by making it at least a one-way two-lane road through downtown Marble Falls. If something is not done, Marble Falls will one day be just like Austin, with only one busy highway through downtown which is a traffic nightmare! Either make 281 one-way, or build a loop around town. Do it NOW. Don't wait 30 years until the citizens are choking.

If you want to be like Austin, just keep on improving downtown Marble Falls without easing the traffic. What a mess it will be!

John T. Benton
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Izzy Ber
Austin, TX 78722
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Ellen Berman

Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Daniella Bernal
Austin, TX 78744
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

No dumb south MoPac repeat of the North MoPac express lanes. Keep the trees, keep the median.

And please, no more guardrails. I travel your Loop 360 expansion nearly every day, and the "guardrails" immediately become crumpled; and then remain so for 18 months to two years. It is ugly, and obviously, gives the lie to the need for guardrails, as during all that time, the road functions without them. If they were important, they'd be fixed promptly. So let's just skip that.

Oh, and if you try to report those crumpled pieces of blight ... you discover that neither TXDoT nor COA, whichever jurisdiction it is, has the slightest notion of where or what Loop 360 is.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Bezanson
Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Asa Bigham
Kyle, TX 78640
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Susan Bigham
Wills Point, TX 75169
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
hillary bilheimer

AUSTIN, TX 78704
CAMPO for decades has supported any number of unnecessary road projects as if roads were the only thing that mattered. In that same time frame, they have rarely if ever supported worthy alternatives like hike and bike trails. So, it’s time for CAMPO’s priorities to reflect the community’s desire.

Regards,

Sinclair Black

Sent from Mail for Windows 10
Dear CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Bogdanich

[Redacted]
Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Bogdanich
Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Diana Borden
Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Katie Bordini
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County.
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Misty Breaux
Austin, TX 78749
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Patti Brennan

Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Eileen Bristol
Austin, TX 78721
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Cecilia Brittain
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Michael Brode
Navasota, TX 77868
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays.
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Pat Brodnax
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Hello,

I as a native Austinite reside in the CAMPO region, I am against HIGHWAYS/pavement over the EDWARDS AQUIFER recharge zone.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

We need to shift priorities from destructive highways over sensitive environmental features, to trail networks. Trails are much less costly. Recent events have shown us how valuable these outdoor recreation and transportation trails can be!

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Libby Brookshire
Austin, TX 78748
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Sharon Brown
AUSTIN, TX 78751
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Chase Bryan
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,

William Bunch

Austin, TX 78704
Dear Madam Chair Long and CAMPO Board:

My name is Casey Burack, and I am writing you today on behalf of the Downtown Austin Alliance to express our support for the CAMPO 2045 Plan, which is under consideration at today’s Board meeting. As one of the fastest growing regions in the country, this plan allows for us to anticipate growth while reducing congestion.

In particular, fixing I-35 has long been a priority of this community and we’re committed to doing it right. To that end, we are leading coalition of business and community members to develop a plan for surface-level enhancements in central Austin that will complement TxDOT’s roadway design.

The Downtown Austin Alliance, which represents nearly 900 commercial properties in the Austin Downtown Public Improvement District, is an organization dedicated to preserving and enhancing the value and vitality of downtown Austin. We have spent nearly $350,000 to date on the I-35 surface-level enhancement effort, engaging an Urban Land Institute (ULI) national panel of urban highway project experts.

On February 27, 2020, the same week ULI was in Austin, Texas to study the project, the Texas Transportation Commission brought forward a plan to fill the $4.3 billion funding gap on the I-35 Capital Express Project by dedicating all of the Transportation Commission’s discretionary funds to the central portion in the Unified Transportation Fund, in addition to the $500M CAMPO has dedicated to the project. The Downtown Austin Alliance fully supports the prioritization of the Capital Express Project and the full funding of the I-35 Capital Express Project.

The time is now for the state to fund the I-35 Capital Express Project, which is critical for the continued economic vitality of our capital city. We thank you for helping TxDOT fill the gap for the central segment using all the financial tools available to us.

Regards,

Casey

Casey Burack, Esq. / General Counsel & VP of Government Affairs
Office: 512.381.1148 / Mobile: 310.709.1864
515 Congress Ave., Suite 2150
Austin TX 78701
downtownaustin.com

We're working to keep the downtown community safe, informed and connected! Visit our
website and follow us on social media for daily updates on COVID-19 in Austin.
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Mi-essha Burgess

San marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Brigitte Burke
Fischer, TX 78623
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Katherine Burke
Austin, TX 78724
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Sophia Burns
Austin, TX 78732
Ask them to remove from our county and regional plans these unneeded and harmful projects: the extension of Jacob's Well Road, from FM 2325 to Wayside Drive; the extension of Wayside Drive across the Blanco River; a western loop around San Marcos; an extension of FM 150 west of RR 12; a loop around Dripping Springs; and an extension of Escarpment Boulevard in Travis County all the way down to FM 150.

Ask them to scale back the proposed conversion of FM 150, RR 12 and RM 1826 into 4 and 6 lane divided highways. These roads can be improved with center and side turn lanes, shoulders, and bike lanes. If lane additions are needed, they should be to the existing road, not as part of major divided highway project.

And ask them to designate all of our western Hays County roads as “Hill Country Conservation” roads, where safety, scenic beauty, and watershed protection will be the priorities, not capacity expansion.
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities. Please protect & preserve our wonderful Texas Hill Country and it's unique water resources.

Charlie Burton

Sincerely,
Charlie Burton

Katy, TX 77493
Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Matthew 13:15  SDG
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Charlie Burton
Katy, TX 77493
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever-longer commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Elaine Byrne
Austin, TX 78717
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,

Lauren Cadell

Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Lauren Cadell
Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Matthew Caldwell
Austin, TX 78723
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Matthew Caldwell
Austin, TX 78721
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Tracy Callow
Helotes, TX 78023
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
John Camacho
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,

Jim Camp

MANCHACA, TX 78652
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located in the Edwards Aquifer recharge zone and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Michelle Camp

Austin, TX 78704
From: Jeff Campbell
To: CAMPO Comments
Subject: Comments on the CAMPO 2045 Draft Plan
Date: Tuesday, April 28, 2020 6:42:47 AM

Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Jeff Campbell
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Justine Carmack
Elgin, TX 78621
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Maggie Carpenter
Dripping Springs, TX 78620
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Laura Catterson
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Lauren Chappell
San Antonio, TX 78216
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

John Chenoweth
Austin, TX 78733
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Suzanna Choffel
Buda, TX 78610
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Brittany Christian
Austin, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Patricia Christmas

Channelview, TX 77530
April 15, 2020

Mr. Ashby Johnson
Executive Director
Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization
3300 N IH-35, Suite 630
Austin, TX 78705

RE: Official Public Comment on the CAMPO 2045 Transportation Plan by the City of Rollingwood

Dear Mr. Johnson and the CAMPO Transportation Policy Board:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the CAMPO 2045 plan.

1. Improvements to Bee Caves Road (RM 2244)

   The City of Rollingwood appreciates the CAMPO 2045 plan constrained candidates project list including improvements to RM2244 (Bee Caves Road). RM2244 is a main artery for east-west connectivity in western Travis County and the portion of RM2244 that intersects the City of Rollingwood provides the main point of connectivity for the entire business district in Rollingwood. The City appreciates TxDOT leading a gap project to improve the portion of RM2244 within the Rollingwood City limits, spanning from the intersection at Rollingwood Drive to Montebello Drive. The planned improvements will increase the safety and mobility of RM2244 through the addition of a center turn lane, shoulders, elevation of a low water crossing that is frequently closed during flood events, and addition of pedestrian support. The City of Rollingwood notes that the requested RM2244 improvements in the CAMPO 2045 project list do not include adding a reversible lane feature. The City of Rollingwood is not supportive of placing a reversible lane throughout RM2244, for safety and economic reasons.

   RM2244 is a winding urban road, with blind corners and blind horizons. The presence of a bi-directional center turn lane provides a safety feature necessary for traffic to flow safely through the City and access both sides of Bee Caves Road, which should be preserved.

   RM2244 traverses the entirety of the City’s business district, which provides the City’s only source of sales tax revenue. A reversible lane through the City would bifurcate the business district and serve as a barrier to consumer access to the City’s businesses on both sides of the road, all times of day. Barriers to customer access to the City’s business district have a direct, tangible impact to the City’s sales tax and to the viability of the small businesses in the City.

   In an effort to increase the sales tax base of the City, the City of Rollingwood engaged a firm that performed a Comprehensive Commercial Corridor Analysis, with plans to evaluate effective redevelopment of the City’s business district to increase sales tax. The City is currently working on plans to revitalize and promote redevelopment of the business district, in...
an effort to increase sales tax and improve, in order to continue to provide city services, including police service for response on the RM2244 corridor and Mopac frontage areas.

With the label "partial, working draft" on and nature of the CAMPO 2045 plan, it is unclear what additional language may be added to the plan, not subject to further public input, before the plan is adopted by the Transportation Policy Board. With this in mind, the City of Rollingwood respectfully requests that the CAMPO 2045 plan not include a reversible lane scenario on RM2244 or any additional or alternative language that would indicate the future acceptance of a reversible lane scenario on RM2244.

2. Mopac South

The City of Rollingwood supports improvements to Mopac South that serve to increase mobility and safety. The City opposes roadway designs that place elevated lanes over Mopac. The City requests that the process and design of the Mopac South project ensure that the RM2244/Mopac, intersection function efficiently and does not preclude making improvements to the existing operation in the future. The City of Rollingwood requests that the proposed alternatives for the Mopac South project show interconnection with the Mopac North project as it is currently constructed, as well as the proposed design for how Cesar Chavez will connect to Mopac North when constructed. The City requests Bike and Pedestrian Infrastructure to provide consistent, direct access to and from Downtown Austin as part of the Mopac South improvements.

The City also requested, as part of the Regional Arterials Study process, that the CAMPO process include planning for these requests. The City notes the "partial working draft" of the CAMPO 2045 plan includes an organizing vision to "coordinate regional investments in infrastructure and operations for better Safety, Connectivity, Personal Mobility and Access that balances economic growth, stewardship of scarce resources and regional competitiveness." The City respectfully proposes that this organizing vision, in combination with NEPA and other planning requirements, should provide support for CTRMA incorporating these requests by the City of Rollingwood into the Mopac South Environmental Study.

In participating in the planning process for Mopac South at the CAMPO level, the City of Rollingwood notes that the CAMPO 2035 plan included one express lane in each direction for Mopac. On March 2, 2015, CTRMA requested an amendment to the CAMPO 2035 plan, which would have changed the scope of the Mopac South project in the CAMPO 2035 plan from one express lane each direction to two express lanes each direction, in order to align the CAMPO 2035 Plan with the CTRMA "preferred alternative" that had been released to the public February 26, 2015, and featured two express lanes in each direction and elevated express lanes over Lady Bird Lake. After receiving considerable public inquiry about the change from 1-lane each direction to 2-lanes each direction and the proposed double decker over Lady Bird Lake, CAMPO staff removed the item from the Transportation Policy Board's April 13, 2015 agenda and the requested amendment to the CAMPO 2035 Plan was withdrawn on or about March 30, 2015. The CAMPO 2040 Plan was adopted May 14, 2015 with Mopac South listed in the project list on p. 169 of the plan as "2 Express Lanes in Each Direction-Mopac South" and "
study all options for the proposed MoPac South expansion including both 1 and 2 Express Lanes in each direction, as well as no-build.". CTRMA subsequently released 6 proposed alternatives, based on the underlying traffic demand model from the CAMPO 2035 plan. The City looks forward to the opportunity to comment on studied alternatives for the Mopac South expansion based on the CAMPO 2040 traffic demand model.

In the CAMPO 2045 draft constrained candidates project list, CTRMA is requesting that the Mopac South project be included in the CAMPO 2045 plan as “2 express lanes in each direction”. The City of Rollingwood notes that the “working partial draft” of the CAMPO 2045 plan does not include any 2045 traffic demand model results. Since a Travel Demand Model is not available in the “partial, working draft” of the CAMPO 2045, the 2045 plan is also missing an Environmental Justice Analysis and Regional Toll Analysis, which are dependent upon the model results. CTRMA’s Mopac South Environmental Study most recently released 6 alternatives, all based on toll-based “express lanes”. As such, while the City stood ready to spend resources on third-party engineering and legal experts to support the City in reviewing and commenting on the proposal to include Mopac South in the CAMPO 2045 plan, the City of Rollingwood has not been provided with traffic based metrics that would allow for any analysis of the impact of this proposal on the interests of the City.

As previously noted, with the “partial, working draft” nature of the CAMPO 2045 plan, it is unclear what additional language may be added to the plan, not subject to further public input, before the plan is adopted by the Transportation Policy Board. With this in mind, the City of Rollingwood respectfully requests that the CAMPO 2045 plan not include any additional or alternative objectives, goals, vision, project description, or other language that would indicate acceptance of any particular alternative or design of the Mopac South project.

The City looks forward to continuing to participate in planning for the much-needed safety improvements to RM2244 and to commenting on the Mopac South planning process, alternatives analysis, and design elements.

Respectfully,

Michael R. Dyson
Mayor
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Jacob Clark
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Daisy Clark
Austin, TX 78721
April 19, 2020

Capital Area Metro Planning Organization (CAMPO)
Transportation Policy Board
3300 N. Interstate 35, Suite 630
Austin, Texas 78705

Re: Comments Regarding draft version of
CAMPO 2045 Transportation Plan

Submitted electronically via email

Dear CAMPO Transportation Policy Board Members,

Please accept the attached comments on behalf of the fifty-three member groups of the Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance.

1. Background. The Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO) has prepared a draft of their 2020 plan entitled “CAMPO 2045 Transportation Plan”, which includes a list of proposed roadway projects. These projects are presented in a table which includes information such as project name, sponsor, and estimated cost. The projects are also divided into three groups: Barton Springs Watershed (Travis County), Barton Springs Watershed (Hays County), and Blanco Watershed (Hays County). A map of all proposed projects has also been provided by CAMPO, showing new roads, expansion projects, and the approximate timeframe for implementation.

2. Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance (GEAA). GEAA submits the following comments to CAMPO, based on the draft plan. GEAA is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization that promotes effective broad-based advocacy for protection and preservation of the Edwards Aquifer, its springs, watersheds, and the Texas Hill Country that sustains it. GEAA accomplishes this by producing and distributing educational materials that assist public and private sector decision-makers to take actions to protect and sustain the quality and quantity of Edwards Aquifer flows. We also expand and aid the coordination of existing public interest for sustainable water and land use practices in the Greater Edwards Aquifer region.

GEAA has multiple members who would be adversely affected by several of the proposed roadway projects. Of primary concern to GEAA and its
members are four proposed roadway projects located directly over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone (EARZ). It is the opinion of GEAA and its members that these four projects are not only costly and unnecessary, but would also threaten the drinking water for millions of Central Texans.

3. General Comments on the Campo 2045 Draft Plan. GEAA appreciates the challenge involved in planning around the explosive population growth happening now in Central Texas, and the projections of substantial future growth over the next several decades. It is GEAA’s position that accommodating this growth need not come at the expense of the safety of local residents and the environment. The four specific roadway projects that GEAA objects to have the following characteristics in common:

a. They are either entirely or predominantly situated over the critical EARZ

b. They are new Hays County roads and not expansion projects to existing roads

c. There are nearby roadway expansion projects in the Campo 2045 Draft Plan which adequately accommodate the access and increased vehicle traffic that the four new proposed projects are designed to address

d. The projects fail to offer meaningful protection for our most critical and vulnerable water supply. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. Without adequate Best Management Practices in place to mitigate polluted stormwater runoff, this plan could create significant amounts of surface water and aquifer pollution both during construction and once completed, due to the fact they are located over the EARZ, where pollutants would run into nearby waterways and the Edwards Aquifer

e. They all have numerous private and public wells located nearby which are used by Central Texas landowners (see fig. 1 on following page)

4. Specific Roadway Projects Targeted for Removal from the Campo 2045 Draft Plan. GEAA has performed an extensive review of the draft plan and hereby recommends the removal of the following proposed new roadway projects. As a minimum, these projects warrant further investigation into environmental impact, as well as detailed scoring of project merit, before being included in any long-term plan:

a. Rutherford Ranch Bypass

b. Proposed Parkway Loop (San Marcos)

c. Kyle Loop (NF-17)
d. River Ridge Parkway

Fig. 1 at left shows all of the private and public wells (shown as brown circles) that draw from the Edwards Aquifer over the recharge zone. Note how many wells are in close proximity and downstream of the four proposed highway projects that GEAA is recommending for removal from the CAMPO 2045 draft plan.

Almost all of the area shown on the map is the EARZ, where the flow from streams such as Bear Creek, Little Bear Creek, Onion Creek, and the Blanco River seeps into the Edwards Aquifer via faults and fractures in their limestone beds. This water is then drawn up by local wells, the owners of which use the Edwards Aquifer for drinking water, agriculture, and other uses.

When a new roadway is constructed over the EARZ, as CAMPO is proposing, significant construction wastes are generated. The initial felling of trees and shrubs creates sediment runoff that could negatively impact aquifer recharge and, therefore, local wells. The laying of asphalt involves the release of heavy metals, oils, other toxic substances and debris from construction traffic. Any spillage is absorbed by soil at the construction sites and carried with runoff water to the nearest stream and then to the underground aquifer. ¹

Even when runoff control measures are installed at the time of road construction, this reduces but does not eliminate runoff pollution during and after construction.
Pesticides and fertilizers used along roadway rights-of-way and adjoining land can pollute surface waters and ground water when they filter into the soil or when they are blown by wind from the area where they are applied. Once road construction is completed and vehicular traffic arrives, all of the waste from combustion vehicles including motor oil, antifreeze, power steering fluid, and even gasoline and metal filings would eventually find its way into the aquifer, the wells, and the drinking water supply across the region shown.

A specific discussion of each project is given by GEAA as follows:

a. Rutherford Ranch Bypass. This new roadway project would create a new 10-mile (minimum) two-lane road right through the heart of the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone (EARZ), crossing Bear Creek, Little Bear Creek, and Onion Creek. Given CAMPO’s plans to widen FM1826, FM150, and RR12 just a few miles to the west, not to mention the extensive planned improvements to I-35 and other nearby roadways, it’s questionable whether this extension of Escarpment Blvd is necessary or justified. This is especially true when considering the ensuing development of the surrounding area which would follow such a project. Without the Rutherford Ranch Bypass, a motorist wishing to travel from this area of Hays County to Austin would have their choice of a multitude of different routes, some of which may end up being faster than the proposed Rutherford Ranch Bypass. It’s difficult to see how a new two-lane road is going to improve area access and traffic flow, when there are multiple 4-lane and even 6-lane roadways planned as alternate routes. Alarmingly, this project would cross several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the city’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Over the years, Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands; simply ignoring this commitment on the part of the public would be irresponsible.

b. Parkway Loop (San Marcos – western loop). This project creates a new 10 mile 4-lane road that crosses the Blanco River and falls almost entirely within the EARZ. With an eastern loop expansion already planned around San Marcos, coupled with I-35 and tollway 130 widening further east, it’s questionable whether this western parkway is truly necessary. The project is planned in three phases, with the first phase (2 mile southern extension from Wonder World Dr. south) slated for development over the next few years. This initial phase would create a new 4-lane roadway entirely over the EARZ, crossing Purgatory Creek as it recharges the aquifer. Given the near-term aspect for this 2-mile segment, it is urgent this project be pulled off the table and examined closer for necessity, effectiveness, and environmental impact, especially given the significant expenditure involved ($63.5M just for this initial 2-mile segment).

c. Kyle Loop (NF-17). CAMPO. Northwest of Kyle, CAMPO proposes a 3-mile “shortcut” road connecting FM1626 with FM150, which would save drivers just a half mile of driving vs. current roads. The new road would cut through the EARZ, at a cost of over $10M.

d. River Ridge Pkwy – CAMPO proposes this connector road to serve the area north of San Marcos and east of I-35. However, this 1-mile connection planned to shorten the drive from I-
35 to Lime Kiln Rd saves drivers maybe a mile. This new road, part of a larger $74M project, would cross into the EARZ and therefore have the same negative environmental impacts as the other projects listed above.

Given the recent COVID-19 situation, GEAA feels it is imperative to delay the entire CAMPO 2045 draft approval process. A recent Transportation Policy Board meeting which was set for Monday, April 6 was conducted via WebEx due to the virus, and was sparsely attended by local environmental groups and the public. The meeting was also plagued with technical difficulties including dropped audio, audio feedback, accidental muting, and other issues. All of the Public Open Houses which were scheduled by CAMPO have been cancelled. Under these conditions, it makes no sense to charge forward with draft plan approval, without allowing for adequate time for public input to the plan.

GEAA appreciates the opportunity to respond to the CAMPO 2045 draft plan, and looks forward to working with CAMPO to implement necessary modifications in order to ensure a viable path going forward.

Sincerely,

Annalisa Peace
Executive Director

1 https://www.epa.gov/nps/nonpoint-source-roads-highways-and-bridges
From: LAURIE COFFIN
To: CAMPO Comments
Subject: Comments on the Draft CAMPO 2045 Plan
Date: Monday, April 20, 2020 5:20:32 PM

Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
LAURIE COFFIN
Austin, TX 78737
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Kitty Coley
AUSTIN, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all ALL ALL all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and REMOVEEEEE the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

iOYCE cOOK

Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Gary Cook
Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays...
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Gary Cook

Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Gary Cook
Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

I write from Circle C where I have lived for 15 years. I follow CAMPO plans closely. I called in to a CAMPO "public hearing" a few weeks ago and not only could not make a comment, most of the speakers were cut off, or declared "not on the call" when they were on the call. It was NOT a representative or fair hearing, the public was not aware of it during the increased anxiety and isolation due to the corona virus.
The issues described in the letter below, particularly the last paragraph, are of great importance to me, and to my neighbors. Please extend the comment period to a time when the public is not stressed out over the virus.

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle
C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150

Sincerely,
Claudia Corum
Austin, TX 78749
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Terry Cowan
Sunset Valley, TX 78735
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Most especially, redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
paula cox
AUSTIN, TX 78735
Hello-
Regarding the draft long-range transportation plan: This project lists Industrial Oaks Blvd. as an existing roadway between Southwest Pkwy and HWY 290 West Frontage Road, which it is not.
It currently dead ends at Gaines Creek Tributary.
I really hope they are not going to put a 4-lane road through this very sensitive area.
Warm regards,
Paula Cox
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Jon Cradit
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Lisa Cradit
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Charlie Craig
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Craig
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Peter Craig

Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Peter Craig
Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Peter Craig
Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Peter Craig
Austin, TX 78746
Dear Madam Chair Long and CAMPO Board:

My name is Shaun Cranston, and I am the Vice Chair of the Greater Austin chamber of Commerce’s Transportation and Mobility Committee. I also serve on several other Central Texas community, education, and civic groups, including the Central Texas Food Bank, The Austin Community College Business Advisory Committee, the Austin Area Research Organization, and the BSA’s Exploring Scouts program.

I am writing you today to express my support for the CAMPO 2045 Plan which is under consideration at the April 6th Board meeting. As one of the fastest growing regions in the country, this plan allows for us to anticipate growth while reducing congestion. I recognize that choosing projects from each jurisdiction while balancing the needs of the whole region is difficult, so I applaud each and every one of you for working together on a regional plan and we encourage your support in passing this plan. Additionally, the Arterial Study is a great inventory for future projects that will allow our leaders to identify projects that will address our needs as we grow. Furthermore, while some projects are not considered fiscally constrained under the plan, we are hopeful that further revisions under the amendment process will allow the plan to adjust as we grow as a region. Finally, thank you for your support of the IH 35 "Capital Expressway" project.

Thank you for your service to Central Texas.

Shaun Cranston

---

**Shaun Cranston, P.Eng**
Vice President
Director of Land Development Services

O: (512) 777-4634
C: [Redacted]

Halff Associates, Inc.
9500 Amberglen Blvd., Bldg. F, Suite 125
Austin, TX 78729-1102

[Halff.com](http://Halff.com) | [LinkedIn](http://LinkedIn) | [Facebook](http://Facebook) | [Twitter](http://Twitter) | [Instagram](http://Instagram) | [YouTube](http://YouTube)
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Crook

Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Patricia Cropckett
Hunt, TX 78024
Hello,

Please find attached the Farm&City comments on the draft 2045 Regional Transportation Plan. I hope these are helpful in developing a final plan to serve all the people of the Austin region and in the continuous improvement of our regional transportation planning process.

Please let me know if there are any technical issues getting the attachments. Also, I would very much appreciate a confirmation this was received okay.

The full comments are in the pdf contained here: https://www.dropbox.com/s/ge4srbme9wgo87g/2045RTP_Comments_JayBlazekCrossley_Far mAndCity_042020_WithAttachments.pdf?dl=0

The text of my main comments are pasted below just in case, but to be clear, the pdf is intended as my full comments. It was too large to send in an email.

Thanks,

Jay

Jay Blazek Crossley
Executive Director
FarmAndCity.org

twitter.com/jaycrossley
jay@farmandcity.org

Farm&City
Comments on draft 2045 Regional Transportation Plan
Jay Blazek Crossley, April 20, 2020

Thank you to all the staff of the Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization, the staff of the constituent governments who have contributed to these processes, and the leaders who represent all the people of the Austin region on the CAMPO Transportation Policy Board.

We consider it our job to study this work, to find cracks in logic, to try to help correct flaws that may have negative impacts on the people of the region, and serve the important role of truly independent critic of such an important public process. From this position, we have the privilege to watch the work of dedicated career public servants who must balance the wants, needs, and desires of the 2 million people of the region.

Most of the comments below are pointing out flaws and calling for changes to the plan or the overall paradigm of regional transportation planning in the Austin region. However, we want to make it clear to the reader that this is not in any way intended to be a complete portrait of the work that CAMPO does for all the people of the Austin region.

We do very strongly believe that fixing the problems enunciated herein is crucial for the CAMPO institution to serve all the people of the region with continuous improvement.

Along with a series of specific comments below, we are including all of the following Farm&City reports – which were developed specifically to add value to this 2045 Regional Transportation Plan process – as part of our official comments on the draft plan.
Four Truths about Transportation and Land Use in the Capital Area:
Follow-up data and research on comments to the CAMPO Transportation Policy Board on November 4, 2019
Farm&City report, published February 9, 2020
https://drive.google.com/a/farmandcity.org/file/d/172YmsR5JvUbYEWmeNyrxux4TP-KA5Cel/view?usp=sharing

Allocation Game:
The regional growth forecasts in the CAMPO 2045 Regional Transportation Plan
Farm&City report, published February 9, 2020
https://drive.google.com/a/farmandcity.org/file/d/17Y9kyOwMKZ8WmFHi4Lp5FCDbU5Q7kPHj/view?usp=sharing

Environmental sustainability implications of Austin’s regional growth options
Farm&City report, published July 5, 2018

Housing + Transportation Affordability by Urban Form Across the Austin region
Farm&City report, published April 13, 2018

Major concepts for the RTP:

The draft plan does not adequately address safety and Vision Zero

Traffic crashes, deaths, and injuries are a much larger problem than congestion in the Austin region, as it is across Texas. The estimated economic costs of crashes are about double the estimated economic costs of congestion, while the comprehensive costs of crashes – including pain and suffering and years of life lost – are five times as much as the cost of congestion.

There is an entire page devoted to the concept that congestion is a terrible problem and explaining how CAMPO seeks to reduce congestion, but there is not an equivalent (or greater) effort in the draft RTP devoted to the human lives and limbs lost on the roadways of our region.

The State of Texas has a goal to end traffic deaths statewide by 2050 and cut them in half by 2035, adopted by the Texas Transportation Commission on May 30, 2019. The five largest cities in the state, the largest county, and many other governments have already adopted similar goals or are in the process of developing them.

CAMPO paid TTI $175,000 to conduct a regional safety study in 2019, and a group of stakeholders and experts worked together to formulate a series of recommendations on how the MPO could appropriately take responsibility for ending traffic deaths and serious injuries in the region. Unfortunately, this study is not listed as one of the source elements of the RTP.

The performance measures embodied in the proposed TIP include a goal to reduce the future expected growth of traffic deaths slightly, meaning increasing amount of people dying on the streets could be an acceptable outcome within this performance monitoring system.

This is widely unacceptable.

This Regional Transportation Plan can and should begin and end with a goal to end traffic deaths and serious injuries in the CAMPO region by 2045 and to cut them in half by 2030. The plan should seriously discuss the role of the MPO in not just “improving safety,” but actively playing a key role in eliminating the epidemic of traffic violence in our region.

As soon as possible, the Transportation Policy Board should dedicated planning funds to developing a regional Vision Zero Action Plan to ensure we are on the path to reducing the amount of families every week who are losing loved ones or seeing a loved one suffer an unexpected, horrible, life-changing injury. Never again should there be an RTP process without such a Vision Zero Action Plan as a defining structure for the region’s transportation planning regime.

Having said all of this, it is extremely important to note that CAMPO staff, stakeholders, and members of the TPB have made significant strides on this front since adoption of the previous RTP. The various Platinum Planning Process plans, such as the Regional Active Transportation Plan and Regional Arterials Study in many ways included appropriate attention to safety at the core of those planning efforts.

However, safety cannot just be a key element of other plans. The MPO must – as all governments and transportation agencies must – treat ending the epidemic of traffic violence as a highest priority core function and build all plans, including this RTP around this most pressing need.
The Vision Zero and Road to Zero movement is relatively new in the American transportation policy world, having spread across DOTs, cities, and all parts of the transportation world over the last five years, so the members of the Transportation Policy Board can be given some leeway to take the time to understand that our long acceptance of the horrors of our transportation system must come to an end.

However, members of the public and organizations like ours have been consistently bringing this to their attention for over two years, calling for this RTP not to end up with such a failure to adequately address safety. The time is long over due at this point.

**The process for developing the regional growth forecasts was flawed and we should move to a system of multiple reasonable regional growth forecasts.**

Our critique of the regional growth forecasts process was submitted to all members of the CAMPO Transportation Policy Board on February 9, 2020, in the form of the attached report, so we will not retell it here, but simply request that the entirety of the attached report be included as part of our official comments on the draft 2045 RTP.

**No compelling backing documentation was ever presented for the proposal to add 391,555 more people than the UrbanSim model predicts for Williamson County.**

A memo from the Alliance Transportation Group, dated April 10, 2019, was provided to the members of the CAMPO Transportation Policy Board as an attachment to the agenda packet for a special workshop in early 2020 on the proposed regional growth forecasts. The date of this memo is a month after CAMPO staff claim that they sent the final draft numbers to TXDOT for validation of the travel demand model, so it is odd to think that this memo was the information that allowed the decision to overrule the original output of the UrbanSIM model and add this significantly higher future expected growth.

On page 4 of the ATG memo, the author explains the source for the estimated Williamson County population control total in 2045 of 1,387,322 as such:

The 2018 “New” TSDC scenario as selected for use as it is reasonable and matches the scenario agreed upon by CAMPO and member counties for the overall regional growth forecast. The resulting population forecasts were provided to and accepted by CAMPO staff for use in the CAMPO TDM forecasting process.

These control totals came from the Texas State Demography Center updated 2018 estimates, which themselves had reduced the expected growth in Williamson County by 270,842 people compared to their 2014 estimates.

While the ATG memo provides a series of stories about growth in Williamson County and individual cases of rapid development, nowhere in the memo is there any attempt to justify the particular assertion that we should be planning for Williamson County to add 1.9 people over the next 25 years for every person living there today – the proposed official regional growth forecast – as opposed to the ratio of adding 1.1 people over the next 25 years for every person living in Williamson County today.

As noted in our “Allocation Game” report, we believe there are obvious glaring problems in the Texas State Demography Center projection for growth in Williamson and Hays County – even using the updated 2018 numbers that slightly decrease the outrageous rate of growth.

Nothing so far provided to the public addresses these issues or provides any point of reference to provide for this massive allocation of future expected growth to Williamson County.

We presented our reports to all members of the TPB and CAMPO staff with ample time to allow for discussion and refutation of our claims, and there never were any substantive discussions in public about these numbers, even at the special one hour workshop on this subject. At a following meeting of the TAC, some members of the TAC began discussing these numbers and were told to stop their discussion. They were told that the TPB had deemed this matter closed and would not allow further discussion, and the discussion was cut off at that time.

**There are various basic mathematical mistakes and editing errors in the draft document currently presented to the public**

For example, there is a page with a chart showing estimated Vehicle Miles Traveled and Vehicle Hours Traveled in the No Build 2045 scenario versus the 2015 baseline. There are calculations of VMT and VHT per capita shown, but if you do the math yourself dividing the total VHT or VMT shown divided by the total population shown, the per capita numbers are different than was is shown in the chart. In the VMT / capita row this is a slight difference, but in the VHT / capita row, the difference is stark and confusing.

Yet, these supposed estimates of future travel are being used to allocate billions of dollars around the region today. Certainly we should have reliable, robust numbers – even if many of us disagree with many of the assumptions and methods built into the modeling process.

**CAMPO may have violated the Texas Open Meetings Act**

Even though the Texas Open Meetings Act was originally passed in reaction to actions of CAMPO’s predecessor organization, it seems that the elected leadership of the TPB has continued to attempt to conduct public decision making business in forums hidden from the public. There is a shadowy “Executive Committee” that posts no minutes, agendas, or notice of meetings, but there seems a broad
understanding by members of the TPB that major decisions are in fact made in this forum.

CAMPO staff and TPB elected officials have avoided this question at various times in the past suggesting that the Executive Committee is not a decision making body, but an advisory entity simply meant to help explore ideas before bringing them to the TPB.

In a survey handed out to all members of the TPB in early 2020 – as part of the performance review of the Executive Directory – question number 9 seems to explicitly say the Executive Committee has decision-making power – stating that that entity has approval power over the UPWP. If this is true, then the Executive Committee is a public decision making body and thus subject to provisions of the Texas Open Meetings Act.

In a letter dated March 9, 2020 from all five members of the Travis County Commissioners Court – the CAMPO member entity that represents the most people – the county suggests adding the Chair of the Technical Advisory Committee to the Executive Committee, stating “Use the TAC and other committees more effectively. For example, the TAC Chair could sit in on the Executive Committee meetings in order to provide technical support and subsequently communicate Executive Committee directions to the TAC.”

There appears an assumption that the Executive Committee is in the practice of providing “directions” at least to the TAC, if not the TPB. Can the Executive Committee provide “directions” to other committees without being a public decision making body?

In the development of the draft 2045 RTP, an RTP Subcommittee was apparently established, held meetings, and made decisions throughout the process. At several TAC meetings that I attended, statements were made that seemed to indicate that staff treated decisions made by this 2045 RTP as direction in development of the draft plan, an indication that the RTP Subcommittee is also functioning as a government that must follow the Texas Open Meetings Act.

However, the RTP Subcommittee does not exist at all according to the CAMPO website. As of April 20, 2020, there appears to be no page on the CAMPO website that explains that this committee exists, who is on the committee, when, how, and where they met, what was on their agenda, what information they were presented, what decisions they made, nor how the public was allowed to engage with their decisions making.

**CAMPO may have violated the Texas Public Information Act**

I was interested in understanding the process and decision making in development of the regional growth forecasts. I have been involved in some way with critiquing regional growth forecasts made by Texas MPOs for the last ten years, starting with critiquing the process, decision making, and outcomes of the regional growth forecasts used by the Houston - Galveston Area Council in development of their 2035 RTP.

We spent significant time on this work over the last six months including publishing a report critiquing the CAMPO 2045 RTP regional growth forecasts. The central premise of this report is that decisions seemed to be made in the CAMPO process yielding unreasonably elevated future population estimates for Williamson County, in contradiction of the original UrbanSIM modeling that CAMPO staff conducted as the basis for the entire RTP.

The first draft of the regional growth forecasts were presented to the Technical Advisory Council in late Fall of 2018. I was told that local governments were given an opportunity to comment and suggest edits and that any edits were incorporated into the second draft of the regional growth forecasts, which were submitted to TXDOT in March 2019 to allow them to verify the travel demand model, and then presented back to the TAC in early Fall 2019.

The second draft included adding 391,555 more people to the future projected growth of Williamson County, a radical change from the first draft. This huge additional future growth would impact TXDOT and Federal funding formulas, travel demand models, and myriad other important public decision making processes, including things like Capital Metro’s decision making on major transit investments.

Thus, I had a keen interest in seeing all correspondence and understanding the decision making that led to this radical change from draft one to draft two.

The only “evidence” so far presented by CAMPO to back up the decision to add back 391,555 additional future residents to Williamson County – in contradiction to the results of the UrbanSIM model – was this ATG memo dated April 10, 2019.

CAMPO staff have claimed that Williamson County submitted strong analytical evidence that their forecasts should be elevated and that CAMPO staff then acted upon that evidence.

However, CAMPO staff also presented this slide of the sequence of events to submit the growth forecasts to TXDOT for the purpose of validating the travel demand model.

In this chart, they claim counties submitted their evidence and CAMPO changed the forecast – in contradiction of the results of the UrbanSIM more – AT THAT TIME of February 28, 2019 and the final demographics were sent to txdot March 27, 2019, a full month before ATG produced this memo.
Here is an email thread where I asked CAMPO for five different documents:

On Jan 6, 2020 2:06 AM, Jay Crossley <jay@farmandcity.org> wrote:

Hi Ashby,

Hope you’re having a great new year and had some quality time to spend with friends and family over the holidays.

At the meeting I had with Greg and the team about the regional growth forecasts, I made several requests for information. My understanding is that they thought you would have to decide which things were ready to make public or how to address my requests. Sorry that I haven’t followed up from that meeting until now, but it is still very timely.

I would like to have access to the following information related to the 2045 RTP and regional growth forecasts development:

1. All documentation or comments submitted by local governments between the presentation of draft one of the regional growth forecasts to the TAC in November 2018 and draft two in October 2019. I am especially interested in what evidence Williamson County provided to back up the claim that the growth forecasts for that county in the initial draft were 391,555 less than they should be.

2. I would like to see whatever documentation is available of the creation of the regional growth forecasts and the travel demand model for the 2045 RTP. I believe that Greg discussed such documentation being available soon at the TAC meeting in October 2019 and don’t know if I asked for that during our in person meeting.

3. I would like access to GIS shape files of regional growth forecasts to allow for independent analysis of the potential outcomes before the TPB is asked to vote on approval of them.

I’m very happy to play along with whatever process needs to happen, whether that is a FOIA or perhaps an agreement related to the shape files use (as I did when I received the 2040 forecast shape files). I appreciate all the work that you all do and how difficult it is to balance an entire region’s view of its future. But I think access to this information is important at this time, before any major decisions are made on the 2045 RTP.

Thanks,
Jay

On Jan 27, 2020, at 3:24 PM, Ashby Johnson <ashby.johnson@wilco.org> wrote:

Hello Jay,

Happy New Year. I apologize for the delay in responding to your message. It’s been a full year so far.

Thanks for following up with us. We will be hosting a workshop for Policy Board members and the public interested in more information on the demographic forecast an hour before the February 10, 2020 Transportation Policy Board meeting. The workshop will be in the same location as the Policy Board meetings. Much of the documentation (Items 1 and 2) that you requested will be provided as background material for the workshop and will be available to the public as well.

The GIS shape files are working memos and similar documents and I am reticent to release them at this time since any local government could still seek more deliberations and request changes. By the way, there are no separate votes/approvals of the demographic forecast and the travel demand model. There is one vote scheduled at the May 2020 Transportation Policy Board meeting to approve the 2045 Plan that encapsulates everything related to the 2045 Plan. There will be discussion of the draft 2045 Plan at the Technical Advisory Committee and the Transportation Policy Board meetings, in addition to public meetings across all six counties in the CAMPO region in the months leading up to the May 2020 meeting. CAMPO staff will take those comments and make adjustments as necessary prior to producing a draft final 2045 Plan for the Transportation Policy Board’s consideration.

Please call or email us with any questions or concerns. Thanks again for your patience.

Ashby

On Jan 29, 2020 11:06 AM, Jay Crossley <jay@farmandcity.org> wrote:

Hi Ashby,

Thank you for the thorough reply. As I’ve said, I think that CAMPO staff is doing a very good job and simply am trying to do my part of providing information and ideas to the TPB members (and the public) on the policy decisions the TPB must decide.
I look forward to seeing the materials for the February 10 workshop. I actually will be out of the country that day, unfortunately. Will that workshop be broadcast on the live stream in the same way the meetings are? I’d love to be able to watch, so I hope you can turn the cameras on for the workshop.

On the GIS files, I understand this concern. However, at some point before the vote in May, will the GIS files be locked down as a final proposal? Public, independent analysis of this could help TPB members to understand what they are voting on. Basically, if I had the GIS files with at least a couple weeks to look at them before that meeting, it would be nice.

And I have a couple new requests:

I got to talk to Chad at the end of the last TPB meeting about an additional data request on the list of proposed projects. If it is available, I would love to have GIS shape files of the projects. I’ll take whatever you got, so if that means that staff only actual adds projects expected to be included in the RTP to a shape file, then I’d love to have that. But if it is possible to have all proposed projects (with some kind of column marking things as proposed for inclusion or something like that), that would be great too.

I have a slightly different request for basically the same data. The TAC packet had this list of projects. Can I have this data in its original spreadsheet format as opposed to in a pdf?

Finally, my colleague, Ken, attended the TAC meeting, but apparently has a terrible camera on his cell phone. He took the attached picture of a slide shown to the TAC. I’m not sure if it is the same data previously presented in TAC packets or not. Could you guys send me that slide (or even better, the whole presentation on the RTP presented to the TAC)?

Thanks,
Jay

On Feb 6, 2020, at 3:24 PM, Ashby Johnson <ashby.johnson@wilco.org> wrote:

Hi Jay,

Thanks for the compliments.

We’re going to present the draft 2045 RTP project list to the Policy Board on February 10th. It is our hope that we will get enough direction to lock that down soon and if that happens I would be able to provide more GIS information to you in March or April at the latest. CAMPO staff and our consultants are still working on the shapefiles for the 2045 project submittals. Once the Policy Board gives the okay on a final list then I can provide that information to you. I expect that to be in line with the timeframe I just gave you above.

2045 RTP Project list request: yes, we can provide that information to you but please be advised that the Policy Board could make significant changes to the list.

Slides presented at TAC: It looks like what your friend sent you is part of the draft 2045 RTP project list (please see above for response). However, I’ve attached the whole presentation.

In closing, we will have livestream capability at the upcoming 2045 RTP workshop. The workshop will start at 5:00 cst.

I hope you have a pleasant visit to Europe. Safe travels.

Ashby

As far as I can tell, the meeting packet posted online for the normal February 10 meeting did not include the backup of what each entity submitted to change the forecasts (most importantly documentation of the proposal to add 391,555 future people to Williamson County). A staffer of a member of the TPB sent me the agenda packet for the special workshop which included the ATG memo.

However, I thought it was clear in my original message that I wanted all communications presented during the time that the decisions were being made. I should have specified all emails on these subjects sent between member governments and CAMPO and TXDOT, and plan to do that now, but I thought my email at the time was clear and the information should have been provided to me.

What I didn’t know at that time is that that information should have been provided to me within ten days of my originally asking for it, or CAMPO should have sent me a letter explaining that they had asked the Texas Attorney General’s office for an exemption from giving me that information within ten days. I was not aware at the beginning of this about how Texas law treats written requests for information, and someone informed me that they believe this exchange violates that law.

Here is the law which seems to apply in this situation:
Or here is the TX AG page on it:
https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/open-government/governmental-bodies/responding-pia-request/requesting-ruling-overview

Or here is their whole manual on it:

Unless MPO’s have some kind of exemption from this Texas law that I am not aware of, it seems the ongoing practice of CAMPO choosing not to make things public even when directly asked is a violation of this act, regardless of the reasons. If there is a legitimate reason to deny such a request, it seems CAMPO would need to ask the AG’s office for an opinion, and would need to do that within 10 days of getting the request.

So, I’m not sure what is best to do. I think that having all this information readily available to the public helps reach optimum outcomes.

Had I had the information that I sought at that time, the members of the TPB, the public, and the interested organizations focused on this issue would have had the information before the TPB discussed this issue and apparently moved on and closed the issue from any further discussion.

___________________________

Farm&City is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit – based in Austin – dedicated to high quality urban and rural human habitat in Texas in perpetuity. http://www.FarmAndCity.org
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Ed Crowell
Austin, TX 78737
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Ed Crowell
Austin, TX 78737
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Janet Crowley

Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Lillie Cuddeback
Caseyville, IL 62232
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road, from FM 2325 to Wayside Drive as well as the extension of Wayside Drive across the Blanco River.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Leah Cuddeback
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Leslie Currens

AUSTIN, TX 78750
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Include the ENTIRE FM 150 WEST CHARACTER PLAN: FINAL REPORT AND MASTER PLAN, Unanimously Accepted by the Hays County Commissioners Court on October 10, 2017 into the Draft CAMPO 2045 Draft Plan.

Three years and thousands of cumulative hours of invested community effort, hundreds of thousands of citizens tax dollars, monthly public community meetings and 4 open public meetings were invested in completing this Master Plan for FM 150 WEST. The completed Master Plan was what the entire community created and endorsed in this Master Plan as the very best method of moving the significant increased proposed population of hays County through and around our existing community in the 21st Century.

The Driftwood Historical Conservation Society, representing the Driftwood Community and the entire Onion Creek Valley community totally endorse the Master Plan being incorporated into CAMPO 2045 Draft Plan.

Casey Cutler
Director of Public Outreach
Co-founder and Board Member
Driftwood Historical Conservation Society

Sincerely,
Casey Cutler
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Percy Dadabhoy

Austin, TX 78759
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Catherine Daniell
Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Amanda Dattilo
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Paul Daugherty
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Wynne Davis
Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays.
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Kelly Davis
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Erin Dean
Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Kim Dean
Austin, TX 78759
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Carol Denson
Austin, TX 78736
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays...
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Desmond Ng

Austin, TX 78702
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Rainbow Di Benedetto
Austin, TX 78750
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Babs Didner
Austin, TX 78722
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Carol Dillard
Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Carol Dillard

Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Carol Dillard
Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

No more development in the hill country! Our sacred water is already under attack from the KM pipeline.

Sincerely,
Jose Dominguez-Leal
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Monique Dougherty
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Terry Dougherty
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Christopher Dowling
Marfa, TX 79843
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Genevieve Duncan

Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County and southwest Travis County.
Sincerely,

Cathy Durr

Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Thank you for your time and consideration on this matter. Let’s keep Austin active and preserve our aquifer and natural areas. This pandemic has shown us the impact a month without usual car traffic can have on our environment. Imagine what it could be if we changed it for good.

Thank you,
Bonny Edwards
Sincerely,
Bonny Edwards
Austin, TX 78748
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Jim Edwards
Austin, TX 78716
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Jim Edwards
Austin, TX 78716
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

George Eliot

Austin, TX 78759
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
George Eliot
Austin, TX 78759
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
George Eliot
Austin, TX 78759
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Chris Elliott
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Susan Elliott
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Steve Englander
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Giselle Estrada
Austin, TX 78753
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Michael Evans
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Sarah Evans
Austin, TX 78741
I am usually pretty easy to please with highway decisions, but you asked for my input. One thing I DO NOT want any more of is deadly and dangerous barrier-less toll or managed lanes like are on Mopac. Lanes like these have killed and injured so many people in Florida that a state law is being proposed to shut them down (see link below and I hope they succeed). In addition, I have downloaded a Texas study indicating that barrier-less toll lanes are the most dangerous form toll infrastructure that can be used. What you are doing on Mopac is putting dollar bills above peoples lives. As long as these lanes exist, your statement (I saw this recently) that "We care about your safety) is pure hypocritical BS!

I know highways have to be paid for and am not against safe toll roads or barred toll lanes. However, I am completely opposed to putting peoples lives below dollar bills as is being done on Mopac every rush hour. In fact when (not if) someone dies because of the Mopac toll lanes, I, if I were in a position to do so, would not hesitate to charge everyone behind these with premeditated manslaughter—that is what it is, worse than drunk driving.

People ARE going to die on Mopac due to CAMPO greed, I guarantee it. You can bet, that with every incident I, my legislators will hear from me.

Jody

https://www.palmbeachpost.com/2017/01/05/bill-would-keep-florida-from-building-more-express-toll-lanes/
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Henry Ewert
Austin, TX 78757
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Falck
Austin, TX 78749
Dear Madam Chair Long and CAMPO Board: My name is Gary Farmer and I am writing you today to express my support for the CAMPO 2045 Plan which is under consideration at the April 6th Board meeting. As one of the fastest growing regions in the country, this plan allows for us to anticipate growth while reducing congestion. I recognize that choosing projects from each jurisdiction while balancing the needs of the whole region is difficult, so I applaud each and every one of you for working together on a regional plan and we encourage your support in passing this plan. Additionally, the Arterial Study is a great inventory for future projects that will allow our leaders to identify projects that will address our needs as we grow. Furthermore, while some projects are not considered fiscally constrained under the plan, we are hopeful that further revisions under the amendment process will allow the plan to adjust as we grow as a region. Finally, thank you for your support of the IH 35 "Capital Expressway" project. Thank you for your service to Central Texas.

Gary S. Farmer
President
Heritage Title Company of Austin, Inc
401 Congress, Suite 1500
Austin, Texas 78701
P) 512/505-5000
D) 512/505-5019
M) 512/415-9292
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Samara Flener
Austin, TX 78723
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Sheila Fling
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Ellen Flocke

Austin, TX 78752
Dear CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Caren Floyd
Austin, TX 78734
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County.
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Michael Fossum

Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Jessica Foster
Leander, TX 78341
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Corina Foster
Spring Branch, TX 78070
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Janet Fotini Margos
Cedar Park, TX 78613
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

John Fuchs

Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Caitlin Gabor
Austin, TX 78748
**Dear Madam Chair Long and CAMPO Board:** My name is Marcel Garza and I am writing you today to express my support for the CAMPO 2045 Plan which is under consideration at the April 6th Board meeting. As one of the fastest growing regions in the country, this plan allows for us to anticipate growth while reducing congestion. I recognize that choosing projects from each jurisdiction while balancing the needs of the whole region is difficult, so I applaud each and every one of you for working together on a regional plan and we encourage your support in passing this plan. Additionally, the Arterial Study is a great inventory for future projects that will allow our leaders to identify projects that will address our needs as we grow. Furthermore, while some projects are not considered fiscally constrained under the plan, we are hopeful that further revisions under the amendment process will allow the plan to adjust as we grow as a region. Finally, thank you for your support of the IH 35 "Capital Expressway" project. Thank you for your service to Central Texas.

It is also important to note that while this is a "road map" for the next 25 years, CAMPO allows for amendments twice each year. Also, Congress is currently discussing a fourth COVID-19 package which may include much more infrastructure. Without a 25 year plan submitted to the US DOT, we may miss out on any additional funds/grants that are administered as a part of a proposed package.

Marcel Garza
Southwest Strategies Group
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Susan Gates

Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Brenda Gaulding
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Bev Gavenda

Austin, TX 78758
April 19, 2020

Capital Area Metro Planning Organization (CAMPO)
Transportation Policy Board
3300 N. Interstate 35, Suite 630
Austin, Texas 78705

Re: Comments Regarding draft version of
CAMPO 2045 Transportation Plan

Submitted electronically via email

Dear CAMPO Transportation Policy Board Members,

Please accept the attached comments on behalf of the fifty-three member groups of the Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance.

1. Background. The Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO) has prepared a draft of their 2020 plan entitled “CAMPO 2045 Transportation Plan”, which includes a list of proposed roadway projects. These projects are presented in a table which includes information such as project name, sponsor, and estimated cost. The projects are also divided into three groups: Barton Springs Watershed (Travis County), Barton Springs Watershed (Hays County), and Blanco Watershed (Hays County). A map of all proposed projects has also been provided by CAMPO, showing new roads, expansion projects, and the approximate timeframe for implementation.

2. Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance (GEAA). GEAA submits the following comments to CAMPO, based on the draft plan. GEAA is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization that promotes effective broad-based advocacy for protection and preservation of the Edwards Aquifer, its springs, watersheds, and the Texas Hill Country that sustains it. GEAA accomplishes this by producing and distributing educational materials that assist public and private sector decision-makers to take actions to protect and sustain the quality and quantity of Edwards Aquifer flows. We also expand and aid the coordination of existing public interest for sustainable water and land use practices in the Greater Edwards Aquifer region.

GEAA has multiple members who would be adversely affected by several of the proposed roadway projects. Of primary concern to GEAA and its
members are four proposed roadway projects located directly over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone (EARZ). It is the opinion of GEAA and its members that these four projects are not only costly and unnecessary, but would also threaten the drinking water for millions of Central Texans.

3. General Comments on the Campo 2045 Draft Plan. GEAA appreciates the challenge involved in planning around the explosive population growth happening now in Central Texas, and the projections of substantial future growth over the next several decades. It is GEAA’s position that accommodating this growth need not come at the expense of the safety of local residents and the environment. The four specific roadway projects that GEAA objects to have the following characteristics in common:

a. They are either entirely or predominantly situated over the critical EARZ

b. They are new Hays County roads and not expansion projects to existing roads

c. There are nearby roadway expansion projects in the Campo 2045 Draft Plan which adequately accommodate the access and increased vehicle traffic that the four new proposed projects are designed to address

d. The projects fail to offer meaningful protection for our most critical and vulnerable water supply. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. Without adequate Best Management Practices in place to mitigate polluted stormwater runoff, this plan could create significant amounts of surface water and aquifer pollution both during construction and once completed, due to the fact they are located over the EARZ, where pollutants would run into nearby waterways and the Edwards Aquifer

e. They all have numerous private and public wells located nearby which are used by Central Texas landowners (see fig. 1 on following page)

4. Specific Roadway Projects Targeted for Removal from the Campo 2045 Draft Plan. GEAA has performed an extensive review of the draft plan and hereby recommends the removal of the following proposed new roadway projects. As a minimum, these projects warrant further investigation into environmental impact, as well as detailed scoring of project merit, before being included in any long-term plan:

a. Rutherford Ranch Bypass

b. Proposed Parkway Loop (San Marcos)

c. Kyle Loop (NF-17)
d. River Ridge Parkway

Fig. 1 at left shows all of the private and public wells (shown as brown circles) that draw from the Edwards Aquifer over the recharge zone. Note how many wells are in close proximity and downstream of the four proposed highway projects that GEAA is recommending for removal from the CAMPO 2045 draft plan.

Almost all of the area shown on the map is the EARZ, where the flow from streams such as Bear Creek, Little Bear Creek, Onion Creek, and the Blanco River seeps into the Edwards Aquifer via faults and fractures in their limestone beds. This water is then drawn up by local wells, the owners of which use the Edwards Aquifer for drinking water, agriculture, and other uses.

When a new roadway is constructed over the EARZ, as CAMPO is proposing, significant construction wastes are generated. The initial felling of trees and shrubs creates sediment runoff that could negatively impact aquifer recharge and, therefore, local wells. The laying of asphalt involves the release of heavy metals, oils, other toxic substances and debris from construction traffic. Any spillage is absorbed by soil at the construction sites and carried with runoff water to the nearest stream and then to the underground aquifer. ¹

Even when runoff control measures are installed at the time of road construction, this reduces but does not eliminate runoff pollution during and after construction.
Pesticides and fertilizers used along roadway rights-of-way and adjoining land can pollute surface waters and ground water when they filter into the soil or when they are blown by wind from the area where they are applied. Once road construction is completed and vehicular traffic arrives, all of the waste from combustion vehicles including motor oil, antifreeze, power steering fluid, and even gasoline and metal filings would eventually find its way into the aquifer, the wells, and the drinking water supply across the region shown.

A specific discussion of each project is given by GEAA as follows:

a. **Rutherford Ranch Bypass.** This new roadway project would create a new 10-mile (minimum) two-lane road right through the heart of the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone (EARZ), crossing Bear Creek, Little Bear Creek, and Onion Creek. Given CAMPO’s plans to widen FM1826, FM150, and RR12 just a few miles to the west, not to mention the extensive planned improvements to I-35 and other nearby roadways, it’s questionable whether this extension of Escarpment Blvd is necessary or justified. This is especially true when considering the ensuing development of the surrounding area which would follow such a project. Without the Rutherford Ranch Bypass, a motorist wishing to travel from this area of Hays County to Austin would have their choice of a multitude of different routes, some of which may end up being faster than the proposed Rutherford Ranch Bypass. It’s difficult to see how a new two-lane road is going to improve area access and traffic flow, when there are multiple 4-lane and even 6-lane roadways planned as alternate routes. Alarmingly, this project would cross several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the city’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Over the years, Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands; simply ignoring this commitment on the part of the public would be irresponsible.

b. **Parkway Loop (San Marcos – western loop).** This project creates a new 10 mile 4-lane road that crosses the Blanco River and falls almost entirely within the EARZ. With an eastern loop expansion already planned around San Marcos, coupled with I-35 and tollway 130 widening further east, it’s questionable whether this western parkway is truly necessary. The project is planned in three phases, with the first phase (2 mile southern extension from Wonder World Dr. south) slated for development over the next few years. This initial phase would create a new 4-lane roadway entirely over the EARZ, crossing Purgatory Creek as it recharges the aquifer. Given the near-term aspect for this 2-mile segment, it is urgent this project be pulled off the table and examined closer for necessity, effectiveness, and environmental impact, especially given the significant expenditure involved ($63.5M just for this initial 2-mile segment).

c. **Kyle Loop (NF-17). CAMPO.** Northwest of Kyle, CAMPO proposes a 3-mile “shortcut” road connecting FM1626 with FM150, which would save drivers just a half mile of driving vs. current roads. The new road would cut through the EARZ, at a cost of over $10M.

d. **River Ridge Pkwy** – CAMPO proposes this connector road to serve the area north of San Marcos and east of I-35. However, this 1-mile connection planned to shorten the drive from I-
35 to Lime Kiln Rd saves drivers maybe a mile. This new road, part of a larger $74M project, would cross into the EARZ and therefore have the same negative environmental impacts as the other projects listed above.

Given the recent COVID-19 situation, GEAA feels it is imperative to delay the entire CAMPO 2045 draft approval process. A recent Transportation Policy Board meeting which was set for Monday, April 6 was conducted via WebEx due to the virus, and was sparsely attended by local environmental groups and the public. The meeting was also plagued with technical difficulties including dropped audio, audio feedback, accidental muting, and other issues. All of the Public Open Houses which were scheduled by CAMPO have been cancelled. Under these conditions, it makes no sense to charge forward with draft plan approval, without allowing for adequate time for public input to the plan.

GEAA appreciates the opportunity to respond to the CAMPO 2045 draft plan, and looks forward to working with CAMPO to implement necessary modifications in order to ensure a viable path going forward.

Sincerely,

Annalisa Peace
Executive Director

1 https://www.epa.gov/nps/nonpoint-source-roads-highways-and-bridges
Dear CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays.
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,

steve gerson

Austin, TX 78731
Dear Madam Chair Long and CAMPO Board:

I am writing you today to express my support for the CAMPO 2045 Plan which is under consideration at Monday’s Board meeting. As one of the fastest growing regions in the country, this plan allows for us to anticipate growth while reducing congestion. I recognize that choosing projects from each jurisdiction while balancing the needs of the whole region is difficult, so I applaud each and every one of you for working together on a regional plan and encourage your support for passage on Monday.

Additionally, the Arterial Study is a great inventory for future projects that will allow our leaders to identify projects that will address our needs as we grow. Furthermore, while some projects are not considered fiscally constrained under the plan, we are hopeful that further revisions under the amendment process will allow the plan to adjust as we grow as a region.

Finally, thank you for your support of the IH 35 "Capital Expressway" project. It is not an easy thing to delay some projects, but this is a vital interstate that will help reduce congestion and speed up commerce. Thank you for your service to Central Texas.

Best Regards,
Matt Geske

Matt Geske | Vice President of Regional Infrastructure & Mobility

512.322.5654 (o)/mgeske@austinchamber.com

Austin Chamber of Commerce
535 East 5th Street, Austin, Texas 78701
austinchamber.com
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Jacob Geyer

Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Al Giles
Austin, TX 78750
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

R. Giles

Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
R. Giles
Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

I live in the CAMPO region and am writing to ask for an extension of the Public Comment deadline. As its name implies, the “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. Due to the pandemic, there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Sharon Gillespie
Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Robert Gilliland
Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a lifelong resident of this area and descendant of many who helped form and shape Austin and surrounding towns since the 1800s, I am writing to voice opposition to the proposed new roads and widening of roads in the CAMPO 2045 draft plan. I do not believe my ancestors would be proud to see such extreme loss of our rich rural and ecological heritage to this mindless paving and all-destroying growth.

Our water tables have dropped hundreds of feet in the past few decades and are rapidly declining in the face of more and more development. I have watched with heartbreak as perennial springs on my childhood ranch go dry for their first time ever, losing their native species, and struggle to ever maintain flow now with so much more groundwater pumping and impervious cover.

Paving the ground and draining the landscape typically causes about a 100% loss of rainfall recharge, especially in the large rainfall events that really matter for recharging the aquifer, and causes devastating flooding downstream. Our existing road infrastructure is already in need of a lot of work in order to mitigate the flooding damage they cause to our creeks in heavy rainfall. Building in a way that damages, rather than sustains, our resources is untenable. All road runoff should be diverted to unlined catchment ponds to soak the water into the ground.

It is unconscionable to allow any further lowering or drying of springs and our vital groundwater resources. My academic training at UT and in local ecohydrology issues leads me to hold great concern for the sustained supply of our water resources with the known planned increases in impervious cover, let alone what could follow if any of the CAMPO plan were to be implemented.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.
Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Elenore Goode
Dripping Springs, TX 78620
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever longer commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Thank you for moving to plan - and ensure - what we must have for a truly positive, sustainable future vision here

Sincerely,
Jackie Goodman

Austin, TX 78745
Dear CAMPO Transportation Policy Board Members,

Please find attached the comments by the Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance (GEAA) submitted on behalf of our fifty-three member groups, regarding the CAMPO 2045 draft plan. Should you have any follow-up questions, please direct them to:

Annalisa Peace  
Executive Director  
Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance (GEAA)  
email: annalisageaa@aquiferalliance.org  
phone: (210) 320-6294

Sincerely,

Mike Clifford  
Technical Director  
Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance  
www.aquiferalliance.org
April 19, 2020

Capital Area Metro Planning Organization (CAMPO)  
Transportation Policy Board  
3300 N. Interstate 35, Suite 630  
Austin, Texas 78705

Re: Comments Regarding draft version of  
CAMPO 2045 Transportation Plan

Submitted electronically via email

Dear CAMPO Transportation Policy Board Members,

Please accept the attached comments on behalf of the fifty-three member groups of the Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance.

1. Background. The Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO) has prepared a draft of their 2020 plan entitled “CAMPO 2045 Transportation Plan”, which includes a list of proposed roadway projects. These projects are presented in a table which includes information such as project name, sponsor, and estimated cost. The projects are also divided into three groups: Barton Springs Watershed (Travis County), Barton Springs Watershed (Hays County), and Blanco Watershed (Hays County). A map of all proposed projects has also been provided by CAMPO, showing new roads, expansion projects, and the approximate timeframe for implementation.

2. Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance (GEAA). GEAA submits the following comments to CAMPO, based on the draft plan. GEAA is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization that promotes effective broad-based advocacy for protection and preservation of the Edwards Aquifer, its springs, watersheds, and the Texas Hill Country that sustains it. GEAA accomplishes this by producing and distributing educational materials that assist public and private sector decision-makers to take actions to protect and sustain the quality and quantity of Edwards Aquifer flows. We also expand and aid the coordination of existing public interest for sustainable water and land use practices in the Greater Edwards Aquifer region.

GEAA has multiple members who would be adversely affected by several of the proposed roadway projects. Of primary concern to GEAA and its
members are four proposed roadway projects located directly over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone (EARZ). It is the opinion of GEAA and its members that these four projects are not only costly and unnecessary, but would also threaten the drinking water for millions of Central Texans.

3. General Comments on the Campo 2045 Draft Plan. GEAA appreciates the challenge involved in planning around the explosive population growth happening now in Central Texas, and the projections of substantial future growth over the next several decades. It is GEAA’s position that accommodating this growth need not come at the expense of the safety of local residents and the environment. The four specific roadway projects that GEAA objects to have the following characteristics in common:

a. They are either entirely or predominantly situated over the critical EARZ

b. They are new Hays County roads and not expansion projects to existing roads

c. There are nearby roadway expansion projects in the Campo 2045 Draft Plan which adequately accommodate the access and increased vehicle traffic that the four new proposed projects are designed to address

d. The projects fail to offer meaningful protection for our most critical and vulnerable water supply. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. Without adequate Best Management Practices in place to mitigate polluted stormwater runoff, this plan could create significant amounts of surface water and aquifer pollution both during construction and once completed, due to the fact they are located over the EARZ, where pollutants would run into nearby waterways and the Edwards Aquifer

e. They all have numerous private and public wells located nearby which are used by Central Texas landowners (see fig. 1 on following page)

4. Specific Roadway Projects Targeted for Removal from the Campo 2045 Draft Plan. GEAA has performed an extensive review of the draft plan and hereby recommends the removal of the following proposed new roadway projects. As a minimum, these projects warrant further investigation into environmental impact, as well as detailed scoring of project merit, before being included in any long-term plan:

a. Rutherford Ranch Bypass

b. Proposed Parkway Loop (San Marcos)

c. Kyle Loop (NF-17)
d. River Ridge Parkway

Fig. 1 at left shows all of the private and public wells (shown as brown circles) that draw from the Edwards Aquifer over the recharge zone. Note how many wells are in close proximity and downstream of the four proposed highway projects that GEAA is recommending for removal from the CAMPO 2045 draft plan.

Almost all of the area shown on the map is the EARZ, where the flow from streams such as Bear Creek, Little Bear Creek, Onion Creek, and the Blanco River seeps into the Edwards Aquifer via faults and fractures in their limestone beds. This water is then drawn up by local wells, the owners of which use the Edwards Aquifer for drinking water, agriculture, and other uses.

When a new roadway is constructed over the EARZ, as CAMPO is proposing, significant construction wastes are generated. The initial felling of trees and shrubs creates sediment runoff that could negatively impact aquifer recharge and, therefore, local wells. The laying of asphalt involves the release of heavy metals, oils, other toxic substances and debris from construction traffic. Any spillage is absorbed by soil at the construction sites and carried with runoff water to the nearest stream and then to the underground aquifer. ¹

Even when runoff control measures are installed at the time of road construction, this reduces but does not eliminate runoff pollution during and after construction.
Pesticides and fertilizers used along roadway rights-of-way and adjoining land can pollute surface waters and ground water when they filter into the soil or when they are blown by wind from the area where they are applied. Once road construction is completed and vehicular traffic arrives, all of the waste from combustion vehicles including motor oil, antifreeze, power steering fluid, and even gasoline and metal filings would eventually find its way into the aquifer, the wells, and the drinking water supply across the region shown.

A specific discussion of each project is given by GEAA as follows:

a. Rutherford Ranch Bypass. This new roadway project would create a new 10-mile (minimum) two-lane road right through the heart of the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone (EARZ), crossing Bear Creek, Little Bear Creek, and Onion Creek. Given CAMPO’s plans to widen FM1826, FM150, and RR12 just a few miles to the west, not to mention the extensive planned improvements to I-35 and other nearby roadways, it’s questionable whether this extension of Escarpment Blvd is necessary or justified. This is especially true when considering the ensuing development of the surrounding area which would follow such a project. Without the Rutherford Ranch Bypass, a motorist wishing to travel from this area of Hays County to Austin would have their choice of a multitude of different routes, some of which may end up being faster than the proposed Rutherford Ranch Bypass. It’s difficult to see how a new two-lane road is going to improve area access and traffic flow, when there are multiple 4-lane and even 6-lane roadways planned as alternate routes. Alarmingly, this project would cross several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the city’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Over the years, Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands; simply ignoring this commitment on the part of the public would be irresponsible.

b. Parkway Loop (San Marcos – western loop). This project creates a new 10 mile 4-lane road that crosses the Blanco River and falls almost entirely within the EARZ. With an eastern loop expansion already planned around San Marcos, coupled with I-35 and tollway 130 widening further east, it’s questionable whether this western parkway is truly necessary. The project is planned in three phases, with the first phase (2 mile southern extension from Wonder World Dr. south) slated for development over the next few years. This initial phase would create a new 4-lane roadway entirely over the EARZ, crossing Purgatory Creek as it recharges the aquifer. Given the near-term aspect for this 2-mile segment, it is urgent this project be pulled off the table and examined closer for necessity, effectiveness, and environmental impact, especially given the significant expenditure involved ($63.5M just for this initial 2-mile segment).

c. Kyle Loop (NF-17). CAMPO. Northwest of Kyle, CAMPO proposes a 3-mile “shortcut” road connecting FM1626 with FM150, which would save drivers just a half mile of driving vs. current roads. The new road would cut through the EARZ, at a cost of over $10M.

d. River Ridge Pkwy – CAMPO proposes this connector road to serve the area north of San Marcos and east of I-35. However, this 1-mile connection planned to shorten the drive from I-
35 to Lime Kiln Rd saves drivers maybe a mile. This new road, part of a larger $74M project, would cross into the EARZ and therefore have the same negative environmental impacts as the other projects listed above.

Given the recent COVID-19 situation, GEAA feels it is imperative to delay the entire CAMPO 2045 draft approval process. A recent Transportation Policy Board meeting which was set for Monday, April 6 was conducted via WebEx due to the virus, and was sparsely attended by local environmental groups and the public. The meeting was also plagued with technical difficulties including dropped audio, audio feedback, accidental muting, and other issues. All of the Public Open Houses which were scheduled by CAMPO have been cancelled. Under these conditions, it makes no sense to charge forward with draft plan approval, without allowing for adequate time for public input to the plan.

GEAA appreciates the opportunity to respond to the CAMPO 2045 draft plan, and looks forward to working with CAMPO to implement necessary modifications in order to ensure a viable path going forward.

Sincerely,

Annalisa Peace
Executive Director

Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Extend the deadline!

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.
Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Greenheart
Austin, TX 78735
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Kristy Gregg
Austin, TX 78722
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Simply because funding exists for this awful plan does not mean it should be spent and implemented! Constructing all of these expanded and new roadways will further ruin the Hill Country, which is already being severely damaged by the Kinder Morgan pipeline, frequent felling of trees, and other destructive development.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Thank you for your consideration,

Bruce P. Grether

Sincerely,

Bruce P. Grether

Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Cookie Hagemeier
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Kyle Hahn
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Beki Halpin
Elgin, TX 78621
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Kathy Hamon
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Keith Hamon
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Mark Hamre
Austin, TX 78757
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Yvonne Hansen
Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Nan Hanus
Blanco, TX 78606
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Andrew Hardin
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. How can people comment about something if they don't have all the plans. Classic thinking will tell you, more roads equals less traffic. This has never been true for long. Please Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It. After the corona virus passes, more people will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting. We can't use old construction methods and ideas over areas with a sensitive geology.

Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Andrew Harrod
Austin, TX 78748
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Gail Haver
Dripping Springs, TX 78620
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

April 20 is too soon. Please extend the April 20th Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 90 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a different approach towards addressing traffic. Why spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, on building and expanding highways, if, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is lame. We are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions.

The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County and southwest Travis Count
Sincerely,
Kenneth Hayes
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays.
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Melanie Hellmann

Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Melanie Hellmann
Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Melanie Hellman
Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

My family and I just purchased the property at the above address in San Marcos to build our future home on, in large part because of our love for the crystal clear, non algaefied, swimmable San Marcos river (and the many other nearby beautiful rivers and creeks and swimming holes/the beauty of the less developed Hill Country roads). Thus my writing to ask that you please consider amending the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Jacob Hendrickson

San Marcos, TX 78702
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Hernandez
Edinburg, TX 78542
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
MJ Hickerson
Austin, TX 78758
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
David Hixon
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Gaye Lynn Hodgson
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Charles Holder
Austin, TX 78759
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever longer commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

James Holder
Elgin, TX 78612
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Linda Holder
Austin, TX 78759
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Linda Holder
Austin, TX 78759
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Charles Holder
Austin, TX 78759
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Daina Holliday
Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Daina Holliday
Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Bill Holt
Austin, TX 78736
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Bill Holt
Austin, TX 78736
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Bill Holt
Austin, TX 78736
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. We need serious investment in public transport, not more money poured into the bottomless pit of attempting to expand roadways to meet traffic demands.

Protect Groundwater. My highest priority is to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies - that should be yours as well. These resources are irreplaceable!!!

Also I don't appreciate that it is taxpayers' money that would have to pay for these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Jean Hopkins
AUSTIN, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Hello,

I hope this message finds you healthy at home with your family. As a born and raised Austinite I am concerned with protecting our natural water sources. I want us to make decisions with our future ancestors in mind.

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Melissa Howey
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Lauren Hubele
SAN MARCOS, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Hunter
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Sabina Ibarrola
Austin, TX 78702
From: Daniel Inman  
To: CAMPO Comments  
Subject: Comments on the CAMPO 2045 Draft Plan  
Date: Saturday, April 25, 2020 2:49:14 AM

Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Daniel Inman
West lake hills, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

kay iversen
austin, TX 78749
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

kay iversen
austin, TX 78749
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Chris Jackson

Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Charlie Jackson
Austin, TX 78701
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Molly Jakeman
Wimberley, TX 78686
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Peter Jeffe
Austin, TX 78733
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Peter Jeffe
Austin, TX 78733
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Rachel Jenson
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Alicia Johnson
Georgetown, TX 78628
Order of the Day: 

Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Georgia Johnson
Austin, TX 78737
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Jules Jones

Austin, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Dick Kallerman
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Andy Katsetos
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County.
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Andy Katsetos
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Andy Katsetos

Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Andy Katsetos
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
kate kavanagh
AUSTIN, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Kawazoe

[Redacted]
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Nate Kelley
Johnson City, TX 78636
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Lois Kertesz
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Jeff Kessel
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Devkim Khalsa
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Devkim Khalsa
austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Marissa Kimberlin
Austin, TX 78763
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Marissa Kimberlin
Austin, TX 78763
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Robert King
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
David King
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Michelle Kitchens
Horseshoe Bay, TX 78657
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Barry Klein
Houston, TX 77026
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Clayton Klingberg
San Antonio, TX 78232
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,

Sara Klopp

Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Joanna Klose

Austin, TX 78723
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

The cost and priorities within your 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan concerns me, greatly.

Please focus on environmentally sound, high-speed elevated mass transportation networks to replace our outdated, chronically congested streets and highways.

With the Texas economic forecast looking dour because of the Corona Virus we need to draw up an entirely new plan for infrastructure. Transportation will be a critical component for accessing health care, grocery, pharmacy, schools, government facilities, industrial parks and recreation.

Please, draw up a new plan that includes every stakeholder in our community.

Thank you for your hard work and reading my urgent civic request.

Sincerely,

Elliot Kralj
Austin, TX 78701
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a 27-year long resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Karen Kreps

Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region, I swim daily at Barton Springs and am deeply concerned about the impact on the aquifer.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Karen Kreps
Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Ste Kubenka
Kingsland, TX 78639
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Steve Kuhns
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,

Christian Kurtz

Austin, TX 78753
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Kieran Kuykendall
boulder, CO 80302
From: Dottie LaFerney
To: CAMPO Comments
Subject: Comments on the CAMPO 2045 Draft Plan
Date: Sunday, April 26, 2020 11:57:51 AM

Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Dottie LaFerney
Dripping Springs, TX 78620
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,

PEGGY LAMB

austin, TX 78758
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Layni Langham
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Sarah Larocca
Austin, TX 78735
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Sarah Larocca
Austin, TX 78735
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Donald Lawson
Austin, TX 78763
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

howdy fellow austinites,
As a regular swimmer at Barton Springs Pool, I'm completely concerned about transportation and how it affects the quality of the water at the pool.
Please listen to my opinions. Remember, you'll be held accountable and may have dirty water on your hands.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Donald Lawson

Sincerely,
Donald Lawson
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

LLOYD LEBLANC
CEDAR PARK, TX 78613
Dear Sir or Madam:

In the CAMPO 2045 plan, there is a note on the map that Jollyville Road will change from a 4 lane undivided road to a 4 lane divided road. This change has apparently been proposed by the City of Austin. The City of Austin has not provided information on what changes to Jollyville are proposed. I request that the comment period be kept open on changes to Jollyville Road until the City of Austin provides the plan for the changes to the neighbors, the businesses and property owners along Jollyville Road, and users of Jollyville Road and those stakeholders have an opportunity to comment and have their comments and concerns considered.

Attached is the map for Jollyville Road.

Jollyville Road is currently a FIVE (5) lane road with two vehicle lanes each way and a middle turn lane. Jollyville Road is an arterial road that services the local neighborhoods and is also used for commuting from and to those neighborhoods and other neighborhoods. Jollyville Road runs parallel to Highway 183. Jollyville Road houses many businesses, including grocery stores, doctor and dentist offices, restaurants, movie theater, print shops, car washes, gas stations, community center, emergency room, car dealerships, and more; is a route to the Arboretum shopping center; and also has residences.

With no plan provided by the City of Austin, it is hard to comment on the proposed changes to Jollyville Road. If the middle turn lane is removed from Jollyville Road, congestion will be greatly increased on this arterial road and access to businesses and residences will be limited. Also, removal of the turn lane will greatly slow the ability of first responders to use Jollyville Road to respond to emergencies, especially during high traffic times when the first responders will be stalled in gridlock. Jollyville Road has an emergency room facility on it and it provides a route to go to the nearby Ascension Seton Northwest hospital emergency room. The neighbors also need emergency responders to be able to reach them in a timely manner, including EMS, fire department, and police.

I appreciate you updating me and other stakeholders on changes proposed to Jollyville Road, including the actual proposed plan and further opportunity to comment. Thank you very much.

My best regards,
Jeanine Lehman

Law Offices of Jeanine Lehman P.C.
jeanine@jeanine.com
(512) 918-3435
FAX (512) 331-1882
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
John Lemaux
Austin, TX 78702
To Whom it may concern,

As a landowner that has property in Campo's proposed "trail routes" along Brushy Creek in Williamson County, I am opposed to the "trails" that go through private property. As a property rights state this is not a public works project that promotes safety, helps with congested traffic, etc. After numerous meetings with several organized groups, we do not know of any landowners here in the Norman's Crossing area that are for any public works projects or trails in our community. The Norman's Crossing Community Club is a group of landowners that are opposed to these planned trails. No one in our community will be willing sellers and will vigorously and publicly oppose these "trails" that do not solve transportation issues in Williamson county. As a matter of fact, trails will bring more traffic to our area. Also these trails would go through the back yards of some homes and would present safety and security concerns for these residents. Campo needs to find willing sellers for parks instead of trails going through remote private property and heritage farms with little access to these proposed "trails". If you have any questions please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,
Wynette Norman Lessner
Taylor, Texas
76574

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Cindi Leveridge
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,

Bobby Levinski

Austin, TX 78749
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Mark Licklider
Austin, TX 78748
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region, in South Austin near Slaughter Lane and Menchaca.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

I was born in Austin in 1949 and although I was gone a few years I returned to live here since 1967. Many hundreds of thousands of others have also decided this is a beautiful and special place to live. You have been given the responsibility of planning; I beg you to plan so that we don't destroy what we came here for--and let's not rush this process; please extend the deadline.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Ana Lois-Borzi
Austin, TX 78737
Submitted from Page:
https://www.campotexas.org/open-house/2021-2024-transporto-improvement-program-
open-house/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Norm Long</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Build the Wirtz Dam bridge. This project has been discussed for the last fifteen years, and is long overdue.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From: CAMPO
To: Campo, Doise Miers, Emily Hepworth
Subject: New submission from Contact Form
Date: Wednesday, April 22, 2020 1:44:32 PM

Submitted from Page:
https://www.campotexas.org/open-house/2021-2024-transportation-improvement-program-open-house/

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Norm Long</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment</td>
<td>Build the Wirtz Dam bridge. This project has been</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>discussed for the last fifteen years, and is long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>over due.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse–just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Shavona Longoria

[Redacted]
Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Christie Loyd
Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

I’ve been swimming in the sparkling waters of Central Texas since I came to college from Houston in 1970. The delicate watersheds in this area are something precious. We have a moral obligation to protect and preserve them for our children, grandchildren and generations to come.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Robbie Lueth
Austin, TX 78749
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Robbie Lueth
Austin, TX 78749
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

I reside in the CAMPO region and am quite concerned with the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. Please consider the concerns noted below.

THE PROPOSAL INCREASES TRAFFIC

Folks began complaining about the increase of traffic in and around the CAMPO area years ago. Each time the complaints are made, the answer has been to build new roads and expand existing roads. This, however, has only increased the traffic because it has encouraged people to get on these new and expanded roads for a longer commute to work. It is now time to stop the madness, especially given all we are learning in the shelter at home environment resulting from the COVID 19 outbreak. Your plan should be based on assumptions that people in the near and distant future will telecommute more and live close to their work, not farther away. People will drive less on a per capita basis in the future, not more. Building new roads and expanding existing roads encourages an increase of single-occupancy vehicles and thereby traffic and simultaneously consumes more land, paves it over, and pollutes the air and water. Let's protect our air, land, and water instead.

THE EDWARDS AQUIFER MUST BE PROTECTED

The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150. These changes must be made in order to protect the Edwards Aquifer watershed.

Sincerely,
Millicent Lundburg

AUSTIN, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Diane Luno
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Douglas Lyon
Manchaca, TX 78652
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

I support this plan because overbuilding highways would harm the water supply & wildlife, and detract from the scenic beauty that everyone values in Hays County. Thank you for your time & consideration.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Lyon
Manchaca, TX 78652
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Peggy Maceo

Austin, TX 78757
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Peggy Maceo
Austin, TX 78757
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving. For example, more funding to the Active Transportation Dept. and enlarging the Smart Trips Austin program.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Caitlin Macklin
Austin, TX 78702
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Heather MacLean
Round Rock, TX 78665
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please Preserve our Natural Aquifer. Give it the respect any living human gets. Its alive and provides life to our beautiful land. Please respect and help persevere our Hill Country and our natural beauty throughout Texas. Please Keep Austin beautiful and do not run it into New York City!

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Mira Madhav
Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Magor
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Hannah Malone
Killeen, TX 76543
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Tyler Markham

Austin, TX 78741
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Susan Marone
AUSTIN, TX 78757
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Bria Marty

San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Nikolina Maskarin
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse–just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,

Nike Mathys

Dripping Springs, TX 78620
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Nike Mathys
Dripping Springs, TX 78620
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Cristian Mattoon
Austin, TX 78758
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

This message is from the heart. The Hill Country does not have enough water to support a huge population explosion. Please, consider purchasing large conservation easements instead of spending money on new roads that will encourage unsustainable growth. If roads must be built, please consider that the people in these areas need bicycle paths and walking paths, and that those can be great transportation methods for most people, and amenities that encourage townspeople to love their communities and not drive to distant cities for everything.

Yours in gratitude,
Jacqueline

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as ‘‘Hill Country Conservation’’ roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline May
Austin, TX 78735
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

It is absurd to continue paving the Hill Country. The only solution is NOT more roads. The better solution is traffic management.

One of the most effective ways to manage traffic is to mandate more stay at home working days to reduce the number of commuters. We saw this work when President Obama came to town. We see it working with covid-19. Not only has the traffic cleared, but so has the air pollution.

Sincerely,

ELIZABETH MCGREEVY

Austin, TX 78749
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Lin McGregor

Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

I've lived in Hays County since 1985. I am opposed to development in Hays County. I am asking to remove these unwanted projects: an extension of FM 150 west of RR 12; a loop around Dripping Springs; and an extension of Escarpment Boulevard in Travis County all the way down to FM 150.

I oppose development in the Edwards Aquifer watershed. I definitely oppose the proposed conversion of FM 150, RR 12 and RM 1826 into 4 and 6 lane divided highways. These roads can be improved with center and side turn lanes, shoulders, and bike lanes. If lane additions are needed, they should be to the existing road, not as part of major divided highway project. With our economy taking a sharp adjustment now and for the immediate future, we can't afford these wasted funds.

Western Hays County roads need to be designated as “Hill Country Conservation” roads, where safety, scenic beauty, and watershed protection will be the priorities, not capacity expansion.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

In light of the scheduled CAMPO May 4 vote, please act swiftly to protect our water, land and way of life from road projects we don’t need, can’t afford, and would do more harm than good.

I'm a senior citizen and simply can't afford property taxes going up like they have been.
When will elected officials act for the oldtimers of Hays County?

Regards,
Dr. Martha Meacham

Sincerely,
Dr. Martha Meacham
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Eric Meffley

Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Kristen Meisner
Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Dear CAMPO Board Members,

The historic CAMPO Transportation Demand Model has overestimated future traffic demand for over 30 years. An example is Oak Hill that has been significantly overestimated based on CAMPO Plan projections, the US290 EIS and Draft EIS in 1989, and SH45 in 1989, vs. actual TxDOT traffic counts, by 44 percent to 92 percent, in 11 to 21 years. For details see "… Overestimation Letter to Council, January 25, 2019.pdf" https://climatediscovery.org/CAMPO%20Transportation%20Model%2030-year%20Overestimation%20Letter%20to%20Council,%20January%2025,%202019.pdf

The Austin Region is different today than yesterday and will change further tomorrow. Vehicle miles traveled per capita are falling and one of the reasons is remote working. After COVID-19, the acknowledgement of historic cost savings of this "new" digital work behavior will increase faster than in the past – because it is profitable.

Transportation destinations are changing too. All over the region, major work/commercial centers are being constructed in the millions of square feet in parts of the region previously dominated by long-range commuting to the central Austin area. Trips that were once required to come in to "town," now often go away from town, but for a shorter duration. What this means for transportation is that vehicle miles traveled per capita continue to fall and, and past driving behaviors no longer apply.

The CAMPO Model, like all transportation modeling, is not performing well with these new driving behaviors as is easily demonstrated by the modeling vs. actual data example linked above in Oak Hill. If we do not change the way we project future traffic, billions of dollars will be spent needlessly building roads based on this legacy modeling strategy, resulting in untold harm to the economy, our society, and our environment.

Until our traffic modelers can better understand how to model – not only the current changes in driving behavior, but to project the future in a world where future trends are different from past behaviors, projections will continue to be inaccurate. This may seem like a wicked problem, or a problem without a solution, i.e. "How do we predict the future"? But it is not. The way we fix this sort of problem in engineering is to better use our engineering resources.

We can predict the future based on the past if we actually look at the past and apply it to the future. Driving behavior decoupled from population growth in the region 20 years ago, but transportation planning has yet to address this.
We can use advanced transportation design to reduce congestion in bottlenecks that dominate congestion. The strategies are being used to great effect in a limited way today. What is needed is a further grasp of the capacity of these strategies to reduce congestion per dollar spent and better ways to apply them.
Sincerely,
Bruce Melton PE
TBPE No. 87097
Melton Engineering
bmelton@earthlink.net

Sincerely,
Bruce Melton

Austin, TX 78736
CAMPO:

In the CAMPO 2045 plan, there is a note on the map that Jollyville Road will change from a 4 lane undivided road to a 4 lane divided road. This change has apparently been proposed by the City of Austin. The City of Austin has not provided information on what changes to Jollyville are proposed. I request that the comment period be kept open on changes to Jollyville Road until the City of Austin provides the plan for the changes to the neighbors, the businesses and property owners along Jollyville Road, and users of Jollyville Road and those stakeholders have an opportunity to comment and have their comments and concerns considered.

Attached is the map for Jollyville Road.

Jollyville Road is currently a FIVE (5) lane road with two vehicle lanes each way and a middle turn lane. Jollyville Road is an arterial road that services the local neighborhoods and is also used for commuting from and to those neighborhoods and other neighborhoods. Jollyville Road runs parallel to Highway 183. Jollyville Road houses many businesses, including grocery stores, doctor and dentist offices, restaurants, movie theater, print shops, car washes, gas stations, community center, emergency room, car dealerships, and more; is a route to the Arboretum shopping center; and also has residences.

With no plan provided by the City of Austin, it is hard to comment on the proposed changes to Jollyville Road. If the middle turn lane is removed from Jollyville Road, congestion will be greatly increased on this arterial road and access to businesses and residences will be limited. Also, removal of the turn lane will greatly slow the ability of first responders to use Jollyville Road to respond to emergencies, especially during high traffic times when the first responders will be stalled in gridlock. Jollyville Road has an emergency room facility on it and it provides a route to go to the nearby Ascension Seton Northwest hospital emergency room. The neighbors also need emergency responders to be able to reach them in a timely manner, including EMS, fire department, and police.

Bottom Line: KEEP THE LANES ON JOLLYVILLE ROAD AS THEY ARE. If you want to do something useful, drop the speed limit from 45 to 35 mph.

Thanks,

Ken

Ken Messner
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Elena Michaelson
AUSTIN, TX 78723
EXTERNAL email: Exercise caution when opening.

With a nondisclosure development agreement with McCoy's how can I make an informed decision when the city of Kyle can’t tell the citizens where improved roads, commuter roads, Mopac/150 will go. Transparency is expected and with the city of Kyle signing such a thing all citizens are being withheld critical information.

What transportation projects would you prioritize over the next 25 years?

CAMPO seeks your input to envision a future regional transportation network for Central Texas that is safe, reliable, well-connected, and equitable.

The answer to addressing future traffic congestion and maintaining a high quality of life doesn't lie in just one mode of transportation, which is why CAMPO is creating a comprehensive, multimodal 2045 Plan that includes improved roads, transit, bicycle and pedestrian paths, and changes to commuting patterns.

The second opportunity to learn more and submit comments before the CAMPO Transportation Policy Board takes action in May begins today and runs through April.
13, 2020. This phase of outreach will involve over 15 community events, an online open house, and commenting.

Review the projects in the 2045 Plan

642 Project Submissions totaling

$42.5 Billion from

20 Different Project Sponsors

Get Involved

Review the projects and take a survey: Visit the CAMPO 2045 website to find more information about the CAMPO 2045 Plan, read the draft Plan, review the draft Project List, and complete a survey ranking your top five regional projects and share comments.

Stay plugged in: Participate through an online open house and commenting, community events, and in-person open houses through April 13, 2020. CAMPO's Transportation Policy Board will hold a public hearing at their April 6, 2020 meeting before taking action on the CAMPO 2045 Plan at their May 4, 2020 meeting.

CAMPO events and presentations: View upcoming events, email, or call the CAMPO office at 512-215-8225 to request materials or to schedule a presentation for your local community group.

We look forward hearing from you!
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. We need better solutions, solutions that recognize the climate crisis and focus on evolving our transportation systems, not just more business as usual.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County and southwest Travis County.
Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Deborah Miller
Austin, TX 78723
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

andra millian

Austin, TX 78749
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Julie Minnis
W lake hills, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Ian Mitchell
Austin, TX 78741
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Bárbara Mojica
Round Rock, TX 78681
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Yvette Montalvo
Austin, TX 78757
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Thank you,

Linda Moore

Sincerely,

Linda Moore

Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Myfe Moore
San Antonio, TX 78212
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Jaime Moreno
Austin, TX 78756
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Debra Morris
austin, TX 78737
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever longer commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Ginnie Morrison

Austin, TX 78756
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Steph Mullins

Dripping Springs, TX 78620
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Caroline Myer
Spring Branch, TX 78070
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever longer commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting. This is also more in line with the climate change Goals of the City of Austin.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic. Once again, climate change will make our aquifers more valuable, not less valuable, particularly if we keep them clean. A natural aquifer is actually extremely valuable infrastructure, that if we had to build it, would be hideously expensive. Well, we have one at no cost - except we must keep it clean and functioning. Sacrificing this aquifer for a mass of transportation and development that science tells us will soon be unwise and unsupportable is not an intelligent plan for the future.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Craig Nazor
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Corey Nelson

Austin, TX 78759
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Ashley Netherland

austin, TX 78748
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays...
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Deana Newcomb

[REDACTED]

Austin, TX 78701
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Nguyen-Nikolovska
Austin, TX 78757
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please read.

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.
Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Garret Nick
AUSTIN, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

you keep building roads and the traffic never goes away. now we are again trading water quality and our natural spaces for more and more roads. why haven't you envisioned commuter rail or developing business centers in rural communities rather than subsidizing cheap housing? the campo 2045 plan should not be building more roads over the aquifer and environmentally sensitive areas. you could spend half of that 4.2 BILLION dollars on purchasing preservation lands and building affordable housing in austin that doesn't require people to commute to dripping springs. the problem is commuting and that is driven by the cost of housing. instead of builders buying older central austin homes and demolishing them to build giant expensive homes, you should be subsidizing the development of affordable housing that doesn't require so much driving and/or the expansion of austin's mass transit rail system.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Garret Nick
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Alison Norman

AUSTIN, TX 78749
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Doug Norman
AUSTIN, TX 78748
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Lexi Nutter
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Steve ONeill

Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever longer commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Marc Opperman
Austin, TX 78750
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Lisa Orr
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Lisa Orr
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Dear ,
I am writing because I am concerned about the new CAMPO 2045 long-range regional plan, which includes adopting the Hays County 2013 road plan. That plan includes a vast network of roads in western Hays County, based on aggressive growth projections, rather than focusing on transportation investments on the I-35 corridor, downstream of the Edwards Aquifer.

Rather than building roads that encourages sprawl development over the Edwards and Trinity Aquifer Recharge Zones in western Hays County, planning should be directed toward a more sustainable vision that protects our aquifers by protecting the lands that feed them. Let us designate our western Hays County roads as “Hill Country Conservation” roads, where safety, scenic beauty, and watershed protection will be the priorities, not capacity expansion.

Please remove from our county and regional plans the following unneeded and harmful projects: the extension of Jacob’s Well Road, from FM 2325 to Wayside Drive; the extension of Wayside Drive across the Blanco River; a western loop around San Marcos; an extension of FM 150 west of RR 12; a loop around Dripping Springs; and an extension of Escarpment Boulevard in Travis County all the way down to FM 150.

Please also scale back the proposed conversion of FM 150, RR 12 and RM 1826 into 4 and 6 lane divided highways. These roads can be improved with center and side turn lanes, shoulders, and bike lanes. If lane additions are needed, they should be to the existing road, not as part of major divided highway project.

Thank you for your service and consideration.
Sincerely,
Eva M. Silverfine Ott

Sincerely,
Eva Ott
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Matthew Ott
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Matthew Otto
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Diella Packman
Saint Louis, MO 63112
Dear CAMPO Rep,

I know that expanding and building new roads is the de facto reaction to increased traffic, but multiple studies have shown that it only brings more cars and traffic which leads to more roads and so on in a feedback loop. The capital area more than anything needs improvement to alternative modes of transport, from making public transit more available to those in the outlying areas of the metro area to improving bicycle safety. Making the capital area less car dependent will help the citizen that live here, make the area even more accessible and appealing to visitors, and ensure our lovely Hill Country home remains a beautiful natural place. It is incredibly irresponsible in today's world to put such heavy investment into furthering our fossil fuel dependence.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Sincerely,
Kali Page
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Tea Pangarakis
austin, TX 78744
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Peggy Pankratz
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Susan Pantell
Austin, TX 78752
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Neil Pascoe
Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Neil Pascoe

Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County.
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Susan Pascoe

Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Susan Pascoe

Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Susan Pascoe
Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Susan Pascoe
Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Nathan Patrick
Austin, TX 78758
April 6, 2020

To: CAMPO Transportation Policy Board

Re: Comments on Draft CAMPO 2045 Plan

Dear Transportation Policy Board Members,

These comments are submitted on behalf of the fifty-three member organizations of the Greater Edwards Aquifer Alliance (GEAA). As an alliance whose primary mission is to protect the Edwards Aquifer, which includes minimizing impact on the environmentally vulnerable Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone (EARZ), we are very concerned about the impacts to this area from implementation of the following projects:

- **Escarpment Blvd extension into Hays County (Rutherford Ranch Bypass (NF 13))** – This project creates a new 15-mile road right through the heart of the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone (EARZ), crossing Bear Creek, Little Bear Creek, and Onion Creek. With plans to widen FM1826, FM150, and RR12 just a few miles to the west, it’s questionable whether this extension of Escarpment Blvd is necessary or justified, especially considering the ensuing development of the surrounding area which is sure to follow such a project.

- **San Marcos Parkway Loop (western loop)** – This project creates a new 10 mile 4-lane road that crosses the Blanco River and falls almost entirely within the EARZ. With an eastern loop expansion already planned around San Marcos, coupled with I-35 and tollway 130 widening further east, it’s questionable whether this western parkway is truly necessary.

- **Lime Kiln Rd/Blanco River Bridge** – southwest of Kyle, CAMPO proposes building a bridge over the Blanco River in the EARZ, along with a new ½ mile connector road, so that drivers don’t have to use the low-water crossing over the Blanco River in this area. Again, it’s questionable whether this $10M project even needs to be undertaken in a relatively rural area of the Texas Hill Country. The Blanco River is typically dry in this area due to recharge features, so the low-water crossing is usually passable, and when it isn’t there are nearby alternate routes available now.

- **Kyle Loop (NF 17)** – northwest of Kyle, CAMPO proposes a 3-mile “shortcut” road connecting FM1626 with FM150, which would save drivers just a half mile of driving vs. current roads. The road would cut through the EARZ, at a cost of over $10M.

- **River Ridge Pkwy** – This is another “shortcut” road planned to serve the area north of San Marcos and east of I-35. A 1-mile connection planned to shorten the drive from I-35 to Lime Kiln Rd by
maybe a mile. This new road, part of a larger $74M project, would cross into the EARZ.

GEAA believes these five proposed new roadway projects are unnecessary, given the planned CAMPO expansion of existing roads in the area. The fact that these five roadways are over the environmentally-sensitive Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone makes the projects environmentally hazardous as well as unnecessary. Millions of Texans rely on the Edwards Aquifer for drinking water as well as other uses including industrial and recreational. While we understand that the Central Texas population will be increasing significantly in the coming years, the planned CAMPO expansions of existing roadways including I-35, SH130, RR12, FM1826, FM150, and others eliminates the need for these costly as well as environmentally harmful new roadway projects.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit these comments.

Sincerely,

Annalisa Peace
Executive Director

www.aquiferalliance.org
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Deborah Peacock

Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Fay Pemberton
Austin, TX 78736
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Kari Perkins
Austin, TX 78745
Hello, CAMPO / CAMPO Board:

We need this project in the TIP, which exists in the CAMPO plan, but has not been addressed in a timely manner:

Roadway: RM1826

Current status: 2 lane road, without shoulders

TRAVIS COUNTY

From SH 290 West to Travis County Line (split into segments)

Reconstruct roadway to MAD 2 or MAD4 per CAMPO Plan, with shared path

Build new bridge over Slaughter Creek at RM1826, where no bridge exists currently

URGENT Segment 1: Hwy 290 to Slaughter Lane (this segment needs to be expedited because it will serve as an alternate for traffic during the construction of the Oak Hill Parkway) MAD4 is probably justified immediately.

FUTURE Segment 2: Slaughter Lane to SH45SW (includes bridge over Slaughter Creek)

FUTURE Segment 3: SH45 to Travis County / Hays County Line

Please consider having at least the First Segment added to the TIP, or funded some other way.

Thanks,

--

Rick Perkins
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

More roads will equal more development which puts further pressure on our aquifers, which are a finite resource. Hays County and the Hill Country does NOT want to be paved over!

Sincerely,

Jamie Pettit

Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse–just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays.
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
irene pickhardt
AUSTIN, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Caroline Pinkston
Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Patti Polinard
Austin, TX 78734
Why do we not have a Park and Ride on 2222 to downtown. There is not Travis County regional plan to help alleviate the traffic on 2222 or 2224 into town.

Bill Pompili
Austin, Texas 78732
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Thank you.

Joe Potter

Sincerely,

Joseph Potter

Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays...
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Deborah Potter

Austin, TX 78729
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever longer commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Deborah Potter
Austin, TX 78729
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Carla Powell
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

I am also a member of SOS and believe strongly in developing ALTERNATIVES to simply building more roads for more individual cars. We cannot continue along this trajectory, or it will never end (at least until the last drop of oil has been mined and used). I believe these things should be your priority:

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It

Protect the Edwards Aquifer

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Steven Powell

Sincerely,
Steven Powell

Austin, TX 78756
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

James Price

Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
John Price
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Penny Prosser
Kyle, TX 78640
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
David Race
Austin, TX 78733
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Rae
austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Paula Rahning
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

I am in complete agreement with the points made by Bill Bunch and the Save Our Springs alliance. Especially due to the rapidly evolving capacity for work-at-home arrangements in response to COVID-19, it seems rash at this point to continue to deplete the natural beauty and resources that our rural and wild lands provide. A reordering of priorities to account for the true value of these utterly irreplaceable benefits must be accomplished. Additional and expanded roads in Western Travis and both Northern and Western Hays counties is moving in the opposite direction of that primary goal.

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.
Sincerely,
Cathy Ramsey

DRIPPING SPRINGS, TX 78620
Dear Madam Chair Long and CAMPO Board: My name is Chris Randazzo and I am writing you today to express my support for the CAMPO 2045 Plan which is under consideration at the April 6th Board meeting. As one of the fastest growing regions in the country, this plan allows for us to anticipate growth while reducing congestion. I recognize that choosing projects from each jurisdiction while balancing the needs of the whole region is difficult, so I applaud each and every one of you for working together on a regional plan and we encourage your support in passing this plan. Additionally, the Arterial Study is a great inventory for future projects that will allow our leaders to identify projects that will address our needs as we grow. Furthermore, while some projects are not considered fiscally constrained under the plan, we are hopeful that further revisions under the amendment process will allow the plan to adjust as we grow as a region. Finally, thank you for your support of the IH 35 "Capital Expressway" project. Thank you for your service to Central Texas.

Chris Randazzo, P.E., LEED AP
Austin, Texas 78757
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Dave Rapozo
Austin, TX 78746
Where is the info on the portions of HWY 45 running west from I35 to 290? Portions of this have been built already, but there is no info available in the 2045 plan map on the supposedly planned extensions of 45. I’m particularly interested in the portion of 45 that is to run from 1826 to 290.
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Jack Ray

Austin, TX 78744
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Sue Reading
AUSTIN, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Sue Reading
Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Chloe Reeves
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever-longer commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Alexandra Reichek
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
joanna revelle
AUSTIN, TX 78722
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Henry Reynolds

Austin, TX 78748
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Gary Richards
Harlingen, TX 78552
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Joel Richards

AUSTIN, TX 78723
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Robert Richey

Austin, TX 78736
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please make the following changes to the CAMPO 2045 draft plan.

1. Have the Regional Active Transportation Plan recognize that active transportation can occur via boats on the local lakes and navigable streams. At locations where key roads cross those streams, provide room in the road’s right-of-way for safe and convenient access to the stream, including small parking areas. Someday there could be active water taxis along our waterways.

2. The Edwards Aquifer provides an increasingly valuable source of drinking water to our region. It is a mistake to urbanize it and thereby pollute it. Delete all new roads over the watershed of the Edwards Aquifer in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

3. Save $$ and recognize the local citizens’ preference by changing the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled-back parkway as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

4. Remove the proposed highly unpopular double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

5. For the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County, make only improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

6. Recognize that a viable, climate-friendly future must offer alternatives to commuting in cars. Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration.

Joe Riddell

Sincerely,
Joe Riddell
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Madam Chair Long and CAMPO Board:

I recognize that choosing projects from each jurisdiction while balancing the needs of the whole region is difficult, so I applaud each and every one of you for working together on a regional plan. Working together has paid off in other areas of Texas. While I would still like to see I-35 as a variable toll project, this allows the project to continue to move forward. The funding is an opportunity that we shouldn't pass up.

There is one project that I do encourage you to add back to the plan engineering of a MetroRail Red Line underpass or bridge at North Lamar near Airport.

- The Red Line project is the *only* project allocating regional funds to Capital Metro.
- It was already ranked as one of the highest projects in CAMPO’s technical review process.
- If IH-35 is important to the CAMPO Board, then the Red Line project should also be important because commuters taking the Red Line will help relieve congestion along IH-35 when construction on IH-35 will be occurring – as well as on other major corridors like MoPac and 183.

Thank you for your service to our region -

Lynda Rife
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Steven Riley
Austin, TX 78741
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Tim Riley
AUSTIN, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Marsha Riti
Austin, TX 78751
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Elaine Robbins
Austin, TX 78757
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,

Elaine Robbins

Austin, TX 78757
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Elaine Robbins
Austin, TX 78757
I have reviewed the 2045 plan in your April update for the new bridge and road at Wirtz Dam Road in Marble Falls, Texas. After review I have some concerns I felt needed to be brought to your attention. The LCRA, not the county, owns the ROW from their gates to the river, which is protected with a locked security gate because of major security issues for the protection of the dam from terrorists, as well as other reasons. The map shown on the 2045 plan for this project has a new road running directly through land leased, by the LCRA, to Sunset Point RV Resort. Building a new road as shown on the 2045 plan will have a significant economic impact on the RV Resort. Not only would the lessee of this land be forced to build out a completely new sewer system, but with the road built so close to the RV sites, it would, without any doubt, chase away most of the guests that utilize the RV Resort on a regular basis.

It is clear that the proposed location could wipe out the RV Resort's current successful business model, and could prove to be very expensive for the Texas Highway Department to cover the potential losses to the RV Resort, condemnation issues, and litigation relative to an existing long term lease agreement. Rather than potentially ruin a successful business, I suggest another route be planned to the north and east. In past CAMPO open meetings I have attended, other routes have been shown and should be considered. The attached map shows some of the routes considered in the past.

Please respond to my message with comments to assure me that our issues were read and considered.

Ed Robinson
Manager
Sunset Point RV Resort
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Wilson Rockefeller
Fischer, TX 78623
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

I support Save Barton Creek Association and the Wimberly Valley Watershed Association in opposing Kinder Morgan's Permian Highway Pipeline and am writing to you today to amend the draft plan to build new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed area.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Abby Rodgers
Austin, TX 78702
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Joni Rogers
Austin, TX 78751
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Martha Rogers

Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Martha Rogers

Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

We do have water water while other states suffer from the drought. —Let’s keep it clean while we have it!

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Lena Rogy
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays...
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Patrice Roisman

Dripping Springs, TX 78620
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Greg Romero
Austin, TX 78751
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Ryan Rosshirt
Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Kathy Rossi
Dripping Springs, TX 78620
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Build more roads, and without tolls. With the coronavirus we are lucky that Austin is NOT dependent on people packed in a tiny light rail to commute, like New York City, the epicenter of the virus for the world. Density is NOT the answer. Single family homes and yards and private ownership of cars save lives. There will be another outbreak. Increase the gas tax to fund roads. The Governor of Michigan who may be Biden's running mate is a proponent of high gas taxes. Gas taxes are fair and efficient and tax the sin of burning oil. Owning and using a car is not a sin and should be encouraged, especially if it is electric. Encourage telecommuting to cut down on congestion. If you're going to build rail, make it a commuter rail- we already have tracks from Round Rock and Georgetown to downtown on Mopac. Lease some timeslots from BNSF and buy some used Amtrak-style rail cars and run the train once or twice in the morning to downtown and once or twice in the evening from downtown. Heavy capacity rail, not light rail is the best solution.

Sincerely,

Andrew Rumelt
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

South Korea has won world wide praise for its handling of the corvid 19 crisis. In South Korea, they are also TEARING DOWN freeways. Freeways are so 20th century. Please consider forms of transportation appropriate for the 21st century. Fine particulates and CO2 are not appropriate.

Sincerely,
Philip Russell
Austin, TX 78757
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Dale Ryder
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Blanca Sanchez-Navarro
Austin, TX 78748
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Jenna Rose Numei Sanchez
kyle, TX 78640
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Blanca Sanchez-Navarro
Austin, TX 78748
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

I write today to make my appeal to each of you to remove from our county and regional plans these unneeded and harmful projects: the extension of Jacob’s Well Road, from FM 2325 to Wayside Drive; the extension of Wayside Drive across the Blanco River; a western loop around San Marcos; an extension of FM 150 west of RR 12; a loop around Dripping Springs; and an extension of Escarpment Blvd in Travis County all the way down to FM 150.

I appeal to you to scale back the proposed conversion of FM 150, RR 12, and RM 1826 into 4 and 6 lane divided highways. These roads can be improved with center and side turn lanes, shoulders, and bike lanes. If lane additions are needed, they should be to existing road, not as part of a major divided highway project.

I ask that you designate all of our western Hays County roads as “Hill Country Conservation” roads, where safety, scenic beauty, and watershed protection will be the priorities, not capacity expansion.

Sincerely,
Marcia Sanderson
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Adam Sanjar
Austin, TX 78728
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

These proposed changes will destroy the beauty of the hill country and make the area just another urban mess. Please preserve the Hill country, Hays County and Dripping Springs/Wimberley by making only incremental improvements to the road infrastructure.

Thank You.
William Saulnier, Dripping Springs

Sincerely,
William Saulnier

Dripping Springs, TX 78620
From: Paul Schantz
To: CAMPO Comments
Subject: Comments on the Draft CAMPO 2045 Plan
Date: Wednesday, April 15, 2020 8:29:17 AM

Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Paul Schantz
Bee cave, TX 78738
We have reviewed the 2045 plan in your April update for the new bridge and road at Wirtz Dam Road in Marble Falls, Texas. After review we have some concerns we felt needed to be brought to your attention.

As background, we own 100% of the privately owned property (about two miles) on the East Side of Wirtz Dam Road from FM 1431 south to Lake Marble Falls/Wirtz Dam. There is a very small amount of Wirtz Dam Road frontage property owned by the LCRA close to the Dam. On the West side of the Wirtz Dam Road from FM 1431 south to Lake Marble Falls/Wirtz Dam, we also own property either outright or own granite rights (which is the dominant estate) for the entire two miles with the exception of about 1,500 feet of Wirtz Dam Road frontage owned by Wilderness Cove.

Due to our significant property holdings, this projects impacts us substantially more than most. The traffic along Wirtz Dam Road will dramatically increase which impacts us in many ways as discussed further below. As the proposed Wirtz Dam Road curves toward the proposed bridge, it crosses our property. We are opposed to this road and bridge project. We also understand everybody else who has ownership interests along this road is not in favor of it either.

For decades and into the previous two centuries, the granite resources in this area have long been utilized for public infrastructure throughout the United States and especially in Texas for everything from the State Capital of Texas to the many jetties along the Texas Gulf Coast. The geographical location where this granite formation starts is uniquely situated on the eastern edge of the Llano uplift which can be seen as you drive west out of Marble Falls. West of this uplift in Marble Falls, it’s all granite — East, it’s all limestone. Aesthetically, the marketplace over time has preferred the appearance and properties of the granite between Marble Falls and Granite Shoals vs. other locations throughout the Hill Country.

We believe that any development along Wirtz Dam road negatively impacts our ability to manage the underlying granite resources on both sides of the road due to the transportation of granite materials and quarry equipment which frequently use this road. The volume of materials and equipment on this road varies over time depending on demand. There have been times over the decades when use has been very high and times when it has not. The future expectation is that there will be times of very high demand. We are currently working on a job involving approximately 5,000 truckloads of granite to be trucked out to the Texas coast over a one year period of time. Due to the economic activity and related jobs associated with this key resource, it is imperative that the utilization of this road for this resource can continue to be used as it has been historically, especially with higher expected use by our granite operations in the future.
As servants of the land and resources we have held for decades, we are also mindful of maintaining the appearance of the area to be consistent with how the serenity and landscape of the hill country has been viewed. We believe that increased high traffic on Wirtz Dam Road will negatively impact the appearance of the area we have worked so hard to maintain over the years. If this bridge is put in place, Wirtz Dam Road will evolve into looking like FM 1431 as traffic chooses to bypass Marble Falls. As a side note, we understand the city of Marble Falls has very mixed feelings of the cost/benefit about the project compared to other alternatives.

We have seen numerous floods over the decades. The most recent one was historical, the worst we have ever seen. As the river system/lakes have continued to be filled in with silt and gravel due to storm water run-off, it is obvious that the floods will worsen in the future. The effects of this increasingly destructive flooding, especially given its proximity to Wirtz Dam will have profound impacts on the proposed bridge. The sheer volume and velocity of the water coming over the dam will put the structural integrity of the bridge at risk.

Further, while I wish I didn’t even have to mention it, in light of increasing terrorist activities over the years, it is critical the dam is protected and security gates in place remain. Wirtz Dam needs to be protected from terrorists. For both flooding and security purposes, bridges do not belong next to dams. Bridges belong between dams, such as what is currently in place at Marble Falls and Kingsland.

It is clear that the proposed location could negatively impact the economical utilization of the granite located on both sides of Wirtz Dam road, will impact the serenity of the Hill Country, will put Wirtz Dam at risk and could create a catastrophe in the event the bridge is not built appropriately. Rather than have a situation where all these negative ramifications exist, other routes should be utilized as presented in past CAMPO open meetings.

Please respond to my message with comments to assure me that our issues were reviewed and considered. I also respectfully request that all future correspondence regarding this project be sent to me. We did not receive any formal notice that there was an open comment period in regards to this project.

Sincerely,
George Schnepf

CFO – Marble Falls Partners, LLC
CFO – Cold Spring Granite Company
This communication is intended only for the recipient(s) named above, may be confidential and/or legally privileged, and must be treated as such in accordance with state and federal laws. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use of this communication, or any of its contents, is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please return it to the sender and delete the message from your computer system.
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Margaret Schulenberg
Round Rock, TX 78664
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Karin Schunka
New Braunfels, TX 78130
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Gerry Schwartz
Austin, TX 78759
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Dorinda Scott

Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Dorinda Scott
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Dorinda Scott

Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever longer commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Melanie Sementilli
Austin, TX 78741
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Ted Siff
Austin, TX 78701
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Wayne Simoneau
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Sarah Simpson
Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Cathy Simpton
Fischer, TX 78623
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Robert Slaughter
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Becki Smith
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

We are losing some of what we most love about Central Texas. Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Katy Smith
Austin, TX 78727
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Leslie Smith
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Lisa Smith
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Rose Smith
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Chloe Sofikitis
Austin, TX 78724
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Clotilde Sofikitis
Austin, TX 78724
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County.
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
jeffrey solomon

Austin, TX 78735
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Monica Solomon

Austin, TX 78723
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

In order to preserve our natural resources which cannot be recovered if degraded, I vehemently oppose any new road construction or road expansion in the Edwards Aquifer contributing zone or recharge zone.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region. WE WILL BE LIVING IN A VERY DIFFERENT POST COVID-19 WORLD, WITH MANY COMPANIES REALIZING THEY DON'T EMPLOYEES TO COMMUTE IN ORDER FOR THEM TO BE PRODUCTIVE. THIS WILL NOTICEABLY REDUCE ROAD DEMAND IN OUR REGION AND THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Again, In order to preserve our natural resources which cannot be recovered if degraded, I vehemently oppose any new road construction or road expansion in the Edwards Aquifer contributing zone or recharge zone.
Sincerely,
Colter Sonneville
Austin, TX 78702
Dear Members of the CAMPO Transportation Policy Board:

Save Our Springs Alliance (SOS Alliance) offers the following comments on the CAMPO Draft 2045 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). SOS Alliance appreciates the opportunity to offer our input and your consideration thereof. SOS Alliance offers general comments on the plan followed by discussion of specific projects that should be removed from the plan.

1. The Public Participation Process Did Not Provide the Public a Reasonable Opportunity to Comment on the Plan.

SOS Alliance, along with other organizations and residents, submitted a letter dated March 9, 2020 to CAMPO’s Transportation Policy Board, discussing in detail several issues with the public participation and planning process, including concerns about access to information and technical issues with the map associated with the Draft Plan. Rather than repeat those here, we incorporate by reference this letter, attached hereto. Below, we summarize public comment issues that have arisen since the March 9th letter.

The comment period on the 2045 Regional Transportation Plan began on February 24, 2020, when CAMPO published a “Partial Draft Plan” on its online open house website. As of April 15th, this was still the version available on the website. The Partial Draft Plan is lacking in analysis and information on several key issues, including environmental justice, environmental mitigation, and financial plan components. The Partial Draft Plan contains many placeholders and relies on supporting documents that have not been made available to the public.

The Partial Draft Plan is also missing the traffic modelling, which would show how the CAMPO staff have evaluated how the plan, and its various parts, will affect travel delay, congestion, and vehicle miles travelled. This modelling analysis is a critical part of the plan; yet, the public and the CAMPO board have had no opportunity to ask questions about it or to make relevant comments.
It came to our attention indirectly that the Partial Draft Plan was updated on March 26th, but there is nothing on the website or otherwise notifying the public of this update. The updated draft plan was accessible only by clicking on a hyperlink buried on page 175 of the Meeting Packet for the April 6, 2020, Transportation Policy Board Meeting. This link has since expired. CAMPO should extend the public comment period to no sooner than 60 days following the completion and public release of the draft plan.

Although the demographic forecasting data was made available to the public on March 8th, it was made available so late in the process that it failed to give “adequate . . . time for public review and comment at key decision points.” See 23 C.F.R. § 450.316(a)(1).

In addition, the Project Map on the Draft Plan website changed since its original publication, with several of the more controversial new road projects no longer visible. A member of the public reported seeing this change on April 8th. Upon inquiry, CAMPO staff clarified that the projects are still in the Draft Plan. Staff provide no further clarification, and as of April 15th, the erroneous map remains on the website. In addition, this version of the map still does not reflect the changes from the updated project list dated March 2nd.

2. CAMPO Should Prioritize Projects that Enhance Choice and Reduce Demand Over Building More Roads.

While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That’s because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever-longer commutes to their places of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less, on a per capita basis, in the future. We will live closer to where we work—not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water—not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicles and longer distance commuting.

CAMPO should prioritize transit investment to reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT), which will also reduce our region’s greenhouse gas emissions and other harmful air pollutants.

As Travis County Judge Eckhardt explained in CAMPO’s most recent meeting, we are living in a period of “golden opportunity” to realize the benefits of solutions based on traffic demand management, such as telecommuting. With as much pain as we’ve experienced with the current COVID-19 crisis, we should at least reap the benefits of what it is teaching us. Getting commuters off the road relieves congestion, cleans our air, and makes our region healthier. This does not mean we must continue the extreme policies that we are experiencing now, but we can, at a minimum, use incentives and regulatory mechanisms to encourage employers to continue versions of these telecommuting policies so that we do not reach the demands we’ve experienced in prior years. Greatly increased rates of telecommuting, made possible by changed policies of public and private employers, combined with other changes in employer and commuter behaviours—such as more staggered work hours, more car- and van-pooling, reduced distances between employment centers and affordable housing, and
evolving transportation management systems—can all greatly reduce rush hour congestion, thereby erasing the primary “demand” for expanding roads and building new ones.

3. The Draft Plan is Travel Demand Modelling Information.

The Draft RTP does not include any information on the Travel Demand Modelling. Modelling is the critical evaluation of the plan and the decisions made therein. It should have been included at the outset, along with all key inputs and assumptions, so that it could be evaluated by stakeholders and the interested public. Yet the first time any modelling results were made public was the March 23, 2020 TAC meeting. The modelling showed traffic only getting worse even if all $42.5 billion worth of projects were built:

![Draft Preliminary Model Results](image)

Source: Mar. 23, 2020 TAC Meeting, Presentation

4. CAMPO Should Fully Disclose and Redo the Demographic Analysis for the Six-County Region, Especially for Hays County and Southwestern Travis County

SOS Alliance requests for CAMPO 2045 demographics data were denied for several months, in violation of the Texas Public Information Act. When SOS Alliance finally obtained the requested information—when it was published on a public website without informing us that it was available—SOS lacked sufficient time to do a full analysis of the population and employment projections, especially of the projected geographic distribution of populations.

However, we were able to analyze the CAMPO 2045 baseline and projected distribution of population for western Hays County. This analysis was prepared for us by Norm Marshall of SmartMobility. Mr. Marshall served as the primary transportation consultant for Envision Central Texas in its work on the CAMPO 2030 and 2035 plans, and he consulted with SOS on the CAMPO 2040 plan. Mr. Marshall’s extensive experience, both nationally and with 20 years of CAMPO planning, makes him uniquely qualified to review.

Mr. Marshall’s analysis, shown in the line graphs and tables (attached hereto, and incorporated herein by reference) to these comments shows that the CAMPO 2045 plan overestimates to a rather extreme degree the likely population growth in western Hays
county, over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer, while underestimating growth in eastern Hays County, along and to the east of I-35.

Overwhelmingly, new residents in Hays County have been moving to the Buda/Kyle/San Marcos corridor along and east of I-35. The rate of population growth in western Hays County, within the Edwards Aquifer watershed, has been much slower. Yet, the CAMPO projections flip this growth trend, projecting explosive growth in western Hays County and slowed growth in the I-35 corridor.

There is simply no rational basis for these projections. Environmental, economic, access, and social factors will continue to direct the bulk of Hays county growth to the I-35 corridor and to the east of I-35, toward the SH 130 corridor. As more lands are taken out of the development pool through purchase for watershed protection, parks, and private ranches, future constraints on development in western Hays County, including the limitation of available water supplies, are only likely to get more severe and more restrictive.

Besides making projections that have no rational basis, the CAMPO 2045 draft plan authors misrepresent these population projections as based on “trends.” They do not reflect trends; they have nothing to do with actual trends in growth over the last 20 years.

The attached analysis shows actual Hays County population growth trends in two areas within the county: those areas within the Edwards Aquifer watershed and that area to the east and downstream of the Edwards Aquifer. This is from CAMPO 2003 data (for calendar year 1997) and CAMPO 2045 data for the baseline year of 2015.

The analysis then compares these actual growth trends to those included within the CAMPO 2045 demographic forecasting files for the year 2045. The CAMPO staff projects that the population within the Edwards Aquifer watershed in western Hays County will increase 450% in the 30-years from 2015 to 2045, growing from 79,000 to 433,000.

But CAMPO’s own data shows that the actual growth trend in western Hays County would lead to a total of only 54% growth for the 30-year 2015 to 2045 period, growing to only 122,000.

By contrast, the CAMPO 2045 staff draft predicts Hays county population in the I-35 corridor downstream of the aquifer growing from about 104,000 in 2015 to 230,000 in 2045, for a total of about 125% growth. This is only slightly higher than the actual growth trend in this eastern part of Hays County.

Presumably, it is the grossly overestimated growth in population projected for western Hays County that provides the basis for a $4.2 billion network of new and expanded roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays County. Attached to this letter (and incorporated herein by reference) is a map of this network of new and expanded roads in the draft 2045 plan, along with a chart of those projects that shows their estimated cost and a brief description of each project that is included in the draft plan project list.

It is not known whether other areas of the CAMPO six-county region are projected to increase in population at rates either vastly above or vastly below actual growth trends and, if so, what the basis, rational or otherwise, is for any such variances from actual trends. To the
extent variances exist, they should do so in promoting growth management, environmental protection, and other goals of the CAMPO member jurisdictions. For the Hays County projections, the result is the opposite—conflicting directly with longstanding plans adopted by communities like San Marcos and Austin to limit development within the Edwards Aquifer watershed and to protect land for water quality and open space purposes.

The CAMPO 2045 population and employment projections, including their distribution, should be subject to professional peer review and scrutiny by the general public and by the CAMPO member jurisdictions. To our knowledge, none of that has occurred. The demographic projections should meet professional standards and practices for making such projections. Without reasonable and reliable projections of population and employment distribution, it is impossible to run reliable traffic modelling and, in turn, evaluate the need for a given proposed project and how it compares to other options and priorities.

5. CAMPO Should Incorporate Climate Change Metrics in Criteria and Analysis.

The draft RTP does not include any discussion of climate change. In 2018, transportation accounted for approximately 38% of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in our region (Travis County Climate Action Plan, 2020.) Transportation-related emissions have increased per capita over the last decade, in part due to a focus on roadway infrastructure encouraging single-occupancy vehicle use and associated lack of investment in alternative transportation modes.

The existential threat of global heating calls for prioritizing traffic demand management (TDM) strategies, rather than simply throwing them in as a minor component or afterthought. The current pandemic illuminates the potential for telecommuting. The harm from paving the planet is extreme. Not only does increasing vehicle emissions exacerbate climate change but so does the increased pavement on the ground. Concrete is the third most climate-harming substance, trailing only oil and coal.¹

CAMPO should analyze how the RTP will affect GHG emissions, include GHG emissions in the scoring rubric for projects, and incorporate a climate-change performance measure to compare alternative projects and scenarios for long-range transportation planning.

Existing resources can provide guidance and implementation methods for CAMPO to evaluate climate change in their planning. The U.S. Department of Transportation has collected information on how other MPOs have approached climate change issues.² California MPOs have been particularly advanced in incorporating climate change into their long-range planning processes. In addition, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency provides a GHG calculator to assist in estimating emissions levels based on a variety of inputs, including numbers of passenger cars.³ Without this level of analysis, climate change will continue to be a footnote of the transportation plan, with no meaningful assessment of its impact.

² https://www.transportation.gov/sustainability/climate/case-studies-mpo-activities
³ https://www.epa.gov/energy/greenhouse-gas-equivalencies-calculator

The Draft Plans (both versions) fail to include the federally required discussion on environmental mitigation strategies and activities. An RTP planning process must, among other things, “provide for consideration of projects and strategies that will . . . protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, . . . and reduce or mitigate stormwater impacts of surface transportation.” 23 U.S.C. § 324(h)(1). More specifically, an RTP “shall include a discussion of types of potential environmental mitigation activities and potential areas to carry out these activities, including activities that may have the greatest potential to restore and maintain the environmental functions affected by the plan.” 23 U.S.C. § 324(i)(2)(D); 23 C.F.R. § 450.324(f)(10). Environmental mitigation activities are defined as “strategies, policies, programs, and actions that, over time, will serve to avoid, minimize, rectify, reduce or eliminate impacts to environmental resources associated with the implementation of [an RTP].” 23 C.F.R. § 450.104. This discussion may focus on policies, programs, or strategies, rather than at the project level. 23 C.F.R. § 450.324(f)(10).

The “Environmental Considerations” discussion in the RTP is one paragraph. It asserts that “analysis of land and water impact are included in the regional special studies found in the appendices.” Updated Draft Plan at 18. This is not true. Despite the well-established science on the effects of highway construction on water quality and flooding, nowhere in the Draft Plan or Appendices are the environmental impacts of road projects considered. The only Appendix that concerns roads is the “Regional Arterials Concept Inventory,” which was downgraded to a suite of ideas for project sponsors such that even if it had such a discussion, it would not be relevant. As it turns out, the Concept Inventory merely contains the same bland, unsupported language as the draft plan, that “CAMPO works to protect air quality, habitat, cultural resources, forests, and waterways for Capital Area residents.” Updated Draft at 18.

Furthermore, the MPO must develop the discussion “in consultation with Federal, State, and tribal wildlife, land management, and regulatory agencies.” 23 U.S.C. § 324(i)(2)(D); 23 C.F.R. § 450.324(f)(10). There is nothing in the Draft or Appendices about any consultation occurring whatsoever.

7. The Draft RTP Lacks Fiscal Constraint and Does Not Reflect the Planning and Prioritization Process Contemplated in Federal Law.

The draft RTP is not meaningfully fiscally constrained. The Plan projects having $42 billion to spend on projects in the next 25 years, over half of which would come from local sources such as municipal bonds. CAMPO staff stated at a TAC meeting that the source of local funding was derived from reviewing local entities’ past transportation-related bonds. However, the draft Fiscal Analysis—a required component of an RTP—has still not been made public.

---

Consultation is a structured process defined by regulation to mean that “one or more parties confer with other identified parties in accordance with an established process and, prior to taking action(s), considers the views of the other parties and periodically informs them about action(s) taken.” 23 C.F.R. § 450.104.
Out of 557 projects submitted for consideration in the 2045 Plan, 539 projects scored “above the cut line” and are included in the plan. However, the vast majority (455) of road projects were not scored because they were categorized as “100% locally funded.” This approach is apparently intended to allow as many projects as possible to be put in the project list. This is the opposite of planning.

This dodge of the critical requirement that the plan be fiscally constrained is made worse by a consistent pattern of underestimating the costs of the projects listed. One need only look at recent CTRMA projects, as just one example, of actual project costs faced at the time of construction and right-of-way acquisition being in a whole different ball park from the estimated costs set out in earlier project estimates. As with the demographics projections, project cost estimates should be subject to standards set by peer review or published industry cost estimating standards, so that cost estimates are both realistic and consistent from one jurisdiction and project sponsor to the next.

The current debate before the TPB regarding which projects to maintain or defer funding for in order to bridge the I-35 funding gap illustrates the need to have project scores and reliable cost estimates readily available. To the extent that the funding sources for these projects will be amended later to include federal funding, these projects should be scored at the outset. Scoring allows the relative merit of projects to be compared with some degree of consistency and reliability, enhanced with public scrutiny and comment.

8. New Roads and Expansions that Increase Capacity Over the Edwards Aquifer Watershed Should be Removed from the RTP.

The Edwards Aquifer is the primary drinking water source for much of the population of Hays County, including the cities of Kyle, Buda, and San Marcos. It’s an aquifer composed of mostly porous limestone, with limited filtration capability, making it extremely vulnerable to pollution that results from roadway construction, urban development, and stormwater runoff.

The Draft RTP proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

**SOS Alliance opposes and requests the removal of the following road projects:**

**Escarpment Blvd extension into Hays County (Rutherford Ranch Bypass or NF 13)** – Creates a brand new 10-mile road right through the heart of the Texas Hill Country/Recharge Zone, crossing Bear Creek, Little Bear Creek, and Onion Creek. This extension of Escarpment Blvd is unnecessary and would cut a new path of asphalt through the middle of the recharge zone and City of Austin Water Quality Protection Lands.
San Marcos Parkway Loop (western loop) – Another top priority to oppose, this project creates a new 10 mile, 4-lane road which crosses the Blanco River and falls almost entirely within the Edwards Aquifer recharge zone. With an eastern loop expansion already planned around San Marcos, coupled with I-35 and tollway 130 widening further east, this western parkway is unnecessary. A segment of that new road is planned for near-term 2020-2025, right over the recharge zone, extending south from the RR 12/Wonder World Dr. intersection for several miles, over the recharge zone. It looks like they are planning this San Marcos loop in phases, first this south segment (let year 2025), then the rest of the southern part of the loop, then the northern part of the loop. It seems that once this first segment gets going, it would be more difficult to stop the rest of the loop.

Most of the route of this western loop around San Marcos passes through undeveloped land consisting of small and large ranches. It would include a new bridge over the Blanco River. The primary purpose of the loop would be to support new development. It’s actual traffic benefits for current residents and commuters are extremely limited. By adding pavement and spurring a broad swath of new development upstream of San Marcos, in the Sink Creek and Purgatory Creek watersheds, the loop would increase pollution runoff directly into the aquifer a short distance from San Marcos Springs and the San Marcos River. The loop and the growth it would spawn would also increase flooding downstream, in the already highly flood prone central and eastern San Marcos.

River Ridge Pkwy – north of San Marcos, another “shortcut” road is planned east of I-35, a 1-mile connector that would shorten the drive from I-35 to Lime Kiln Rd by maybe a mile. This new road, part of a larger $74M project, would cross into the eastern recharge zone, with another bridge over the Blanco River. This new road is also unneeded when the actual demographic trends of western Hays County are considered. There would be more harm than good from this new road.

Lime Kiln Rd/Blanco River Bridge – southwest of Kyle, CAMPO proposes building a bridge over the Blanco River in the eastern recharge zone, along with a new ½ mile connector road, so that drivers don’t have to use the low-water crossing over the Blanco River in this area. Again, it’s questionable whether this $10M project even needs to be undertaken in a relatively rural area of the Texas Hill Country. The Blanco River is typically dry in this area due to recharge features, so the low-water crossing is usually passable, and when it isn’t there are nearby alternate routes available now.

Kyle Loop (NF 17) – northwest of Kyle, CAMPO proposes a 3-mile “shortcut” road connecting FM1626 with FM 150, which would save drivers just a half mile of driving vs. current roads. The road would cut through the eastern edge of the recharge zone, at a cost of over $10M.

FM 150 extension west of RR 12 -- proposed to cross Onion Creek at least two times, and curve north to US 290 west of Dripping Springs; this road is unnecessary and would primarily serve to open up critical riparian land along and near Onion Creek to development
Addition of four toll lanes (2 each way) to South Mopac, between Cesar Chavez and Slaughter lane -- this $800 to $1 billion project would include a double decker bridge over Zilker Park and Lady Bird Lake, increase flooding, erosion and pollution of Barton Creek and the Barton Creek greenbelt; impose noise, ugliness, and distraction on all Austin High School students and faculty, and do nothing to actually deliver commuters from south of Lady Bird Lake into Downtown Austin. Enhanced telecommuting during the COVID-19 pandemic makes clear that this project is not really needed. The inside lane of the existing roadway, north and south bound, can and should be re-striped as rush hour high-occupancy vehicle lanes. Ramp metering should be considered as well (here as on I-35).

SOS requests the following road projects be designated “scenic, conservation byways,” or some similar designation, and that the draft plan’s proposed roadway expansions be replaced with more affordable safety and conservation improvements that do not expand roadway capacity to any significant degree:

1. Reduce the proposed expansion of RM 1826 to six lanes to no more than four lanes, and, where appropriate to two lanes with center and side turn lanes at major intersections;
2. Reduce the proposed expansion of FM 3237 to 2 lane divided with median and shoulders to appropriate safety improvements to the existing 2 lane road;
3. Reduce the proposed expansion of FM 2325 to 2 lane divided with median and shoulders to appropriate safety improvements to the existing 2 lane road;
4. Reduce the proposed expansion of Fitzhugh Road to 4 lanes to making safety improvements to the existing 2 lane roadway (from RM 12 to the Travis County line); Fitzhugh Road within Travis County should retain the existing 2-lane road, with safety improvements and appropriate turn lanes where needed to access neighborhoods and agri-tourism destinations;
5. Reduce the proposed expansion of FM 150 to a 4-lane divided highway be reduced to a 2-lane roadway with appropriate center and side turn lanes at key intersections, and with appropriate safety design specifications;
6. Reduce the proposed expansion of Hamilton Pool Road west of the Travis County line 2 lane divided with median and bike lanes and sidewalks to improvements to the existing 2 lane road with bike lanes (and compatible with Hamilton Pool Road improvements in Travis County);
7. Reduce the proposed expansion of RR 12 to four lanes to retain the existing 2 lane roadway, with safety improvements that include, where appropriate, center and side turn lanes and bike lane; and
8. Reduce proposed expansion of RM 32 by removing proposed median and substituting appropriate safety and conservation.
Thank you for your consideration. Please feel free to contact us should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

**Bill Bunch**
Executive Director

**Kelly Davis**
Staff Attorney

**Bobby Levinski**
Staff Attorney

Save Our Springs Alliance
4701 Westgate Blvd.
Bldg. D, Suite 401
Austin, Texas 78745
(512) 477-2320
bill@sosalliance.org
kelly@sosalliance.org
bobby@sosalliance.org
March 9, 2020

Honorable Cynthia Long, Chair,
Honorable Ann Kitchen, Vice Chair, &
Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization
Transportation Policy Board Members
3300 N. Interstate 35, Suite 630
Austin, Texas 78705

VIA EMAIL

RE: Public commenting process on draft 2045 Regional Transportation Plan

Dear Chair Long, Vice Chair Kitchen, and CAMPO Transportation Policy Board Members,

The undersigned organizations and individuals respectfully submit this letter to highlight the procedural inadequacies in the ongoing public comment period for the Draft 2045 Long-Range Regional Transportation Plan (draft plan). The comment period began on February 24, and is set to end on April 13. For the reasons discussed below, we request that the Board take immediate action to direct staff to rectify these deficiencies and extend the public comment period by at least forty-five days.

CAMPO is bound by federal law to provide citizens and other stakeholders “a reasonable opportunity to comment” on the draft plan. 23 U.S.C. § 134(6)(A) (emphasis added). For the reasons below, CAMPO is not currently furnishing such an opportunity.

1. The Draft RTP for Public Review is Incomplete and Lacking in Critical Information Necessary to Provide a Reasonable Opportunity for Public Input.

Federal law and regulations establish minimum standards for public involvement in developing a long-range transportation plan. See 23 U.S.C. § 134; 23 C.F.R. §§ 450.316, 450.318. A planning process that fails to allow public comment on a completed draft plan—one that discloses essential information and methodologies supporting the proposed network of proposed projects—fails to comply with minimum planning process requirements.

Public participation plans must, among other things, explicitly identify how an MPO will:

- Provide adequate public notice of public participation activities and time for public review and comment at key decision points, including a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed metropolitan transportation plan;

- Providing timely notice and reasonable access to information about transportation issues and processes;
• Making public information (**technical information** and meeting notices) available in electronically accessible formats and means, such as the World Wide Web;

• Demonstrating explicit consideration and response to public input received during the development of the metropolitan transportation plan and the TIP.

23 C.F.R. § 450.316(1)(emphasis added).

The partial draft RTP available online and lack of access to supporting documentation deprives the public and stakeholders a reasonable opportunity to comment on the plan. The public draft is missing several sections required by federal law to be included in an RTP. See 23 U.S.C. § 134; 23 C.F.R. § 450.318. For example, the draft does not include a mitigation plan to reduce environmental degradation from transportation projects. At a minimum, every federally required component of the RTP should be publically disclosed in draft form and subject to public comment.

A legally sufficient public participation plan must “provid[e] an additional opportunity for public comment, if the final metropolitan transportation plan differs significantly from the version that was made available for public comment by the MPO and raises new material issues that interested parties could not reasonably have foreseen from the public involvement efforts.” 23 C.F.R. § 450.316(a)(1)(viii). The many placeholders and missing pieces in the current draft plan leave no doubt that the final version will be significantly different. The information that is still to be added is likely to raise new material issues. Thus, CAMPO must submit to public review a substantially completed draft plan with a corresponding extended comment period and outreach events.

Equally concerning is the lack of access to technical information supporting the document. The draft plan refers several times to placeholder appendices not available to the public (**e.g.,** "Appendix XX" at pp. 20, 25, 28, and 40). Failing to provide this critical information impairs the public’s ability to evaluate and understand the decisions made in the RTP. For example, the discussion of the financial plan omits the underlying data and methodology for estimating available federal and state funding, as well as demographic forecasting data, discussed below.

2. CAMPO Should Make Available Online The Background Planning Documents, Including The Demographic Forecasting Data.

In carrying out its mandate to provide the public a reasonable opportunity to comment on the transportation plan, an MPO must "to the maximum extent practicable," “make public information available in electronically accessible format and means, such as the World Wide Web.” 23 U.S.C. § 134(6)(C)(iii). The supporting regulations specify that the
public must have “reasonable access” to information about transportation issues and processes,” including “technical information” with adequate time to review and comment “at key decision points.” 23 C.F.R. § 450.316(a)(1).

CAMPO’s ongoing failure to provide public accessibility to population and employment forecasting data at the Transportation Analysis Zone (TAZ) level violates these federal standards. Complete and timely disclosure of the baseline and projected geographic distribution of population and employment is essential for there to be a meaningful and “reasonable” opportunity to comment on the draft plan. The baseline and projection distribution of people and jobs across the CAMPO region drives both the projected need (or lack thereof) for transportation projects set out in the draft plan. It also is necessary for traffic modelling to predict future congestion, time of travel, and the relative benefits of the proposed projects in the plan.

As such, the public has had no opportunity to view and comment on the TAZ-level population and employment distribution projections or on the methodology for estimating baseline, 2020, conditions, or projecting future conditions. Discussion during public meetings indicates that local officials and staff are also experiencing delay and frustration at obtaining TAZ-level data for each of the six CAMPO counties. How is this regional planning? There is no good reason for CAMPO staff having failed to either include this information in the draft plan or in the posted supporting documentation for the draft plan.

We ask the Board to direct CAMPO staff to make this information available on CAMPO’s website immediately. Other agency websites can provide guidance. The Alamo Area MPO hosts an open data portal that contains the type of information CAMPO should be providing.1 The Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) is a good example. Similar to the MPO planning, the TWDB must develop long-range plans predicting future water-use every five years. Individual regions prepare plans that TWDB uses to put together a statewide plan. The TWDB makes publically available on its website a lengthy memo explaining its methodology and results for population forecasting, as well as a variety of GIS data.2


CAMPO’s 2045 website provides for only one way to submit public input of the draft RTP, by completing an online survey. The survey asks participants to select a maximum of five projects “that are important to you.” This could be interpreted in different ways, and participants are not asked to explain what they mean in selecting the projects. Participants

may select projects as “important” because they have strong feelings on the project—for or against.

The survey asks only one demographic sorting question—zip code. Consequently, it is impossible to discern whether a diverse cross section of people gave public comment, or even learned of the opportunity to do so. Moreover, because the survey allows (indeed, encourages) comments to be submitted anonymously, there is no safeguard against one individual or interest group from stacking the results by submitting the same (or very similar) comments repeatedly.

**4. The Online Map and Draft List of Projects Should be More User-Friendly and Informative.**

Visualization techniques are an important component for allowing public input. Indeed, federal law requires the use of visualization techniques to describe RTPs. 23 U.S.C. § 134(6)(C)(ii). Although we appreciate CAMPO staff’s effort to create an interactive map of projects in the 2045 RTP, we believe that the map contains some fundamental flaws that limit its usefulness in facilitating public understanding.

The map should have different color lines distinguishing new roads from road expansions. Currently, all road projects, regardless of design scope, are shown in a blue line. This makes it difficult to distinguish between new roads and expansions of existing roads. This problem is exacerbated by the misleading entries in the draft list of projects—some new roads are described in terms of adding lanes or shoulders. Those descriptions should be modified. Further, since there is no numbering of projects in the project list, with a corresponding number shown on the map, it is extremely difficult and time-consuming to cross reference the project list with the project shown on the map.

The draft list of projects should contain a key defining acronyms and technical terms. It is not reasonable to assume that the public would know that “NF” stands for new facility. And it is unclear what a divided versus undivided road is—is it divided by a center turn lane, a narrow concrete median, or a wide, grassy median? These variations can have major impacts on safety, environmental impact, and right-of-way acquisition, so it is important to clarify for the public. Terms such as “2x2 NTML” provide no information to the lay person as to what is being proposed. One set of term definitions also minimizes the possibility that different project sponsors have incompatible understandings of those terms.

Some road projects are broken up into various segments in the draft list, but those segments are not differentiated visually on the map. Clicking on any point of a road brings up a list of segments, all with identical titles. For example, clicking anywhere along the length of “US 290” (east or west) pulls up this:
Each entry is a different segment, but clicking on a particular entry does not isolate the segment visually. The entire roadway remains highlighted.

Other modifications that would facilitate meaningful public review include:

- The draft list and map should have a project number tied to each project, so that stakeholders can cross-reference the projects on the map with those on the list.

- An effort should be made to identify roads consistently across projects. For example, the same roadway is variably identified in the plan as “FM 3238” and “Hamilton Pool Road.”

- The map and draft list of projects should include the lengths of each project.

- The draft list of projects should be made available in its native, spreadsheet format. The online draft list contains 642 projects over 62 pages. Stakeholders should have the option to view the list in a format that allows them to organize and categorize such a large amount of information. It does not seem like it would be difficult for CAMPO staff to provide the file in the program that it was created.

Our organizations have significant concerns about the substance of the draft plan and its major components. However, these initial comments were necessary to draw the Board's attention to some of the shortcomings in the planning and public engagement process, to request that required information, including a completed draft plan, be posted immediately on the CAMPO 2045 website, and to request an extension of the comment period.
Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Bill Bunch
Executive Director
Kelly Davis
Staff Attorney
Save Our Springs Alliance
4701 Westgate Blvd., D-401
Austin, TX 78745
Tel.: 512-477-2320
bill@sosalliance.org
kelly@sosalliance.org

Jay Blazek Crossley
Executive Director
Farm&City
Tel.: 713-244-4746
jay@farmandcity.org

David Baker
Executive Director
Wimberley Valley Watershed Association
P.O. Box 2534
Wimberley, TX 78676
Tel.: 512-722-3390
davidbaker@wimberleywatershed.org

Ruven Brooks
rbrooks@beamerbrooks.com

Virginia Condie
Executive Director
San Marcos River Foundation
P.O. Box 1393
San Marcos, TX 78667-1393
Tel.: 512-353-4628
virginia@sanmarcosriver.org

Susan Somers
somerss@gmail.com

David Foster
Texas Director
Clean Water Action
600 West 28th St., Ste. 202
Austin, TX 78705
Tel.: 512-474-2046
dfoster@cleanwater.org
Comparison of Actual Population Trends and CAMPO 2045 Projections

Sources: Aquifer deliniation from Texas Commission of Environmental Quality; 1997 population from 2003 CAMPO model files; 2015 population and 2045 projections from CAMPO 2045 Draft Plan demographics website.
### Hays County Growth Projections

**Within and Downstream of the Edwards Aquifer Watershed**

Comparison of Actual Population Trends and CAMPO 2045 Projections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual Population Trend</th>
<th>CAMPO Projection</th>
<th>Actual Population Trend</th>
<th>CAMPO Projection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>52,949</td>
<td>52,949</td>
<td>33,172</td>
<td>33,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>78,740</td>
<td>78,740</td>
<td>103,455</td>
<td>103,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2045 Projections</td>
<td>121,725</td>
<td>433,162</td>
<td>220,593</td>
<td>229,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Change (2015-2045)</td>
<td>42,985</td>
<td>354,422</td>
<td>117,138</td>
<td>126,180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Discrepancy Between CAMPO Projection and Actual Population Trend (Inside Aquifer) | 311,437 |
| Discrepancy Between CAMPO Projection and Actual Population Trend (Downstream Aquifer) | 9,042 |

Sources: Aquifer delineation from Texas Commission of Environmental Quality; 1997 population from 2003 CAMPO model files; 2015 population and 2045 projections from CAMPO 2045 Draft Plan demographics website.
Hays County Growth Projections
Within and Downstream of the Edwards Aquifer Watershed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth Rate</th>
<th>Inside Edwards Aquifer Zones</th>
<th>Downstream Edwards Aquifer Zones</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1997-2015 (Actual Population Growth)</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-2045 (CAMPO Projection)</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Aquifer delination from Texas Commission of Environmental Quality; 1997 population from 2003 CAMPO model files; 2015 population and 2045 projections from CAMPO 2045 Draft Plan demographics website.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Sponsor</th>
<th>Roadway/ Facility Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Limits From</th>
<th>Limits To</th>
<th>Limits At</th>
<th>Let Year</th>
<th>Anticipated Total Cost</th>
<th>TIP Window</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>MPO Score</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTRMA</td>
<td>SL 1</td>
<td>2 tolled express lanes in each direction</td>
<td>Cesar Chavez</td>
<td>Slaughter Lane</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>$540,000,000</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>BSRZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis County</td>
<td>Hamilton Pool Rd</td>
<td>Upgrade existing 2-lane undivided to include wide outer shoulders</td>
<td>Travis County line</td>
<td>RR 12</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$5,060,000</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis County</td>
<td>Vail Divide Rd</td>
<td>Widen 2-lane &amp; construct new 4-lane divided with bike lanes &amp; sidewalks</td>
<td>SH 71 W</td>
<td>RR 3238 (Hamilton Pool Rd)</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$15,400,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>BSCZ &amp; adds new road crossing Little Barton Creek</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis County</td>
<td>Fitzhugh Rd (Phase 1)</td>
<td>Upgrade existing 2-lane roadway to a 2-lane divided roadway with bike lanes &amp; sidewalks</td>
<td>US 290 W</td>
<td>Barton Creek Bridge</td>
<td>2036</td>
<td>$59,412,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>BSCZ &amp; crosses Barton Creek + not part of other Fitzhugh</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TxDOT</td>
<td>RM 2244</td>
<td>Widen 4-lane undivided to 4-lane with continuous left turn lane and shoulders</td>
<td>Walsh Tarlton</td>
<td>Montebello</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>BSRZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TxDOT</td>
<td>RR 3238 (Hamilton Pool Rd)</td>
<td>Add shoulders &amp; center turn lane</td>
<td>RR 12</td>
<td>SH 71</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$16,200,000</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TxDOT</td>
<td>RM 620</td>
<td>Widen from 4 to 6-lane divided roadway</td>
<td>SH 71</td>
<td>Aria Dr/ Cavalier Dr</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>$37,039,200</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TxDOT</td>
<td>SL 360</td>
<td>Add continuous frontage roads &amp; grade separations</td>
<td>RM 2244</td>
<td>MoPac Expressway</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$99,000,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>BSRZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin</td>
<td>Escarpment Boulevard</td>
<td>Widen existing 2-lane undivided and construct new 4-lane divided with pedestrian/ bicycle &amp; transit improvements</td>
<td>La Crosse Avenue</td>
<td>SH 45 SW</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>$18,854,671</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin</td>
<td>Brodie Lane</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2-lane undivided to 2-lane undivided with center turn lanes and pedestrian/ bicycle &amp; transit improvements</td>
<td>Slaughter Lane</td>
<td>FM 1626</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>$23,439,377</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin</td>
<td>Davis Lane</td>
<td>Widen 2-lane undivided to a 2-lane with continuous left turn lanes and pedestrian/ bicycle &amp; transit improvements</td>
<td>Brodie Ln</td>
<td>Manchaca Rd</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>$13,353,095</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin</td>
<td>Industrial Oaks Boulevard</td>
<td>Widen existing 4-lane undivided and construct a new 4-lane divided with pedestrian/ bicycle &amp; transit</td>
<td>Southwest Parkway</td>
<td>US 290</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>$5,763,781</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin</td>
<td>Old Bee Caves Road</td>
<td>Widen 2-lane undivided to a 2-lane undivided with center turn lanes and pedestrian/ bicycle &amp; transit</td>
<td>Mountain Shadows</td>
<td>US 290</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>$21,568,588</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Not a short distance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin</td>
<td>Old Bee Caves Road</td>
<td>Widen 2-lane undivided to a 2-lane undivided with center turn lanes and pedestrian/ bicycle &amp; transit improvements</td>
<td>SH 71</td>
<td>Mountain Shadows</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>$9,332,122</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City/Country</td>
<td>Roadway/Facility Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Limits From</td>
<td>Limits To</td>
<td>Limits At</td>
<td>Let Year</td>
<td>Anticipated Total Cost</td>
<td>TIP Window</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>MPO Score</td>
<td>NOTES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin</td>
<td>South Lamar Boulevard</td>
<td>Retrofit 4-lane undivided with continuous left turn lane to a 4-lane divided with pedestrian/bicycle &amp; transit improvements</td>
<td>Barton Springs Road</td>
<td>Loop 360</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>$11,159,101</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin</td>
<td>Vega Avenue</td>
<td>Widen existing 2-lane undivided &amp; construct new 4-lane divided with pedestrian/bicycle &amp; transit improvements</td>
<td>Southwest Parkway</td>
<td>Eiger Road/ Patton Ranch</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>$8,793,056</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin</td>
<td>West Slaughter Lane</td>
<td>Widen 4-lane divided to a 6-lane divided with pedestrian/bicycle &amp; transit improvements</td>
<td>MoPac Expressway</td>
<td>Brodie Lane</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$15,726,250</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Austin</td>
<td>West William Cannon Drive</td>
<td>Widen 4-lane divided to a 6-lane divided with pedestrian/bicycle &amp; transit improvements</td>
<td>Brodie Lane</td>
<td>Menchaca Road</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>$20,648,286</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis County</td>
<td>Hamilton Pool Rd</td>
<td>Upgrade 2-lane undivided to a 2-lane divided with bike lanes &amp; sidewalks</td>
<td>East side of Pedernales River</td>
<td>RR 12</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td>$52,401,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Hill Country, crosses into BSCZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TxDOT</td>
<td>SH 71</td>
<td>Widen from 4-lane undivided to 6-lane divided</td>
<td>Blanco County Line</td>
<td>Silvermine</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>$468,245,311</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Very long segment. BSCZ + Crosses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TxDOT</td>
<td>US 290</td>
<td>Widen from 4-lane to 6-lane divided, add frontage roads</td>
<td>RM 1826</td>
<td>RR 12</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$1,166,136,448</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Spans across Travis &amp; Hays Counties.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TxDOT</td>
<td>RM 1826</td>
<td>Widen 2-lane roadway to 4-lane divided roadway</td>
<td>SH 45</td>
<td>US 290</td>
<td>2029</td>
<td>$28,420,690</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Bee Cave</td>
<td>Hamilton Pool Road Connector</td>
<td>New roadway connection between RR 3238 (HPR) &amp; RM 2244</td>
<td>RR 3238, approx. 2,300 ft. S of SH-71</td>
<td>RR 2244</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>$7,000,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TOTAL             |                       |                                                                              |                |                |              |          | $2,652,952,976 |        |       |           |                                           |

**Bold type = Projects with a Let Year of 2025 or earlier**

Blue cells= Recharge Zone. Grey cells= Contributing Zone
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Sponsor</th>
<th>Roadway/ Facility Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Limits From</th>
<th>Limits To</th>
<th>Limits At</th>
<th>Let Year</th>
<th>Anticipated Total Cost</th>
<th>TIP Window</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>MPO Score</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>NF 2 (Dripping Springs)</td>
<td>Construct new 4-lane divided</td>
<td>US 290 W</td>
<td>US 290 Bypass</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$10,100,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>NEW ROAD thru BSCZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>NF 10 (Dripping Springs)</td>
<td>Construct new 4-lane divided</td>
<td>RR 12</td>
<td>US 290 Bypass</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$3,700,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>NEW ROAD thru BSCZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>Darden Hill Rd</td>
<td>Widen from 2 to 4-lane divided</td>
<td>FM 150 W</td>
<td>RM 1826</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$30,000,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>BSCZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>Fitzhugh Rd (CR 101)</td>
<td>Widen from 2 to 4-lane undivided</td>
<td>RR 12</td>
<td>Travis County line</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$5,500,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>BSCZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>Nutty Brown Rd (CR 163)</td>
<td>Add shoulders &amp; safety improvements to 4-lane divided</td>
<td>US 290 W</td>
<td>RM 1826</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$10,500,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>BSCZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>Kyle Loop W (NF 17)</td>
<td>Construct new 4-lane with a continuous turn lane</td>
<td>FM 1626</td>
<td>NF 17</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$10,000,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>NEW ROAD thru BSRZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>NF 18 - Dripping Springs</td>
<td>Construct new 2-lane divided</td>
<td>RR 12</td>
<td>US 290 W at Holder</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$29,300,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>NEW ROAD thru BSCZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>Robert S. Light Blvd</td>
<td>Widen from 2 to 4-lane divided AND BUILD A WHOLE NEW ROAD</td>
<td>RM 967</td>
<td>FM 1626</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$23,000,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>NEW ROAD thru BSRZ &amp; BSCZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>Rutherford Ranch Bypass (NF 13)</td>
<td>Construct new 2-lane undivided</td>
<td>FM 150 W</td>
<td>Travis County line</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>$25,000,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td>New road thru BSRZ &amp; Austin WQPL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>FM 165</td>
<td>Add shoulders &amp; safety improvements to 2-lane undivided</td>
<td>US 290 W</td>
<td>Blanco County line</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$28,200,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>BSCZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>RM 967</td>
<td>Widen from 2 to 4-lane undivided</td>
<td>RM 1826</td>
<td>1.5 mile west of Oak Forrest</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$7,800,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TxDOT</td>
<td>RM 1826</td>
<td>Widen existing 2-lanes to proposed 6-lane divided</td>
<td>RM 967</td>
<td>SH 45</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>$226,630,731</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>BSCZ. Mostly in Hays, portion in Travis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** $477,630,731

Road Projects in the Southern Segment Edwards Aquifer (Blanco Watershed) in CAMPO 2045 Plan

Hays County

**Bold type = Projects with a Let Year of 2025 or earlier**

Blue cells= Recharge Zone. Grey cells= Contributing Zone
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Road</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>RM</th>
<th>Wayside Dr</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>FHWA Funds</th>
<th>Complete</th>
<th>Reimbursement</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>Jacobs Well Rd (NF 25)</td>
<td>Construct new 2-lane undivided with shoulders</td>
<td>RM 2325</td>
<td>Wayside Dr</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$13,800,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>SSCZ + new road!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>RR 12</td>
<td>Add shoulders, median and turn lanes to 2-lane divided</td>
<td>FM 150 W</td>
<td>Winters Mill</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$74,500,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>SSCZ crosses Onion Creek &amp; S. Onion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>RR 12</td>
<td>Add shoulders, median &amp; turn lanes to 2-lane divided</td>
<td>RM 32</td>
<td>RM 32</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$29,900,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>SSRZ &amp; SSCZ + crosses Blanco River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>RR 12</td>
<td>Widen from 2-lane parkway to 4-lane parkway</td>
<td>Old RR 12 / SH 80</td>
<td>Winters Mill</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$117,500,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>SSRZ - long stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>RM 3237</td>
<td>Add shoulders, median &amp; turn lanes to 2-lane divided</td>
<td>RM 32</td>
<td>RM 32</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$2,800,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>SSCZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>RM 3237</td>
<td>Add shoulders, median &amp; turn lanes to 2-lane divided</td>
<td>Flite Acres Rd</td>
<td>Winters Mill</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$3,100,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>SSCZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hays County</td>
<td>RR 12</td>
<td>Add shoulders, median &amp; turn lanes to 2-lane divided</td>
<td>Winters Mill</td>
<td>FM 150 W</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$2,100,000</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Long stretch crossing SSRZ &amp; SSCZ - and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Marcos</td>
<td>Old RR 12 Bike/Ped &amp; Widening</td>
<td>Reconstruct 2-lane with intermittent left turn lane to 2-lane with continuous turn lane &amp; pedestrian/bicycle improvements</td>
<td>RR 12</td>
<td>Craddock Ave</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td>$7,500,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>SSRZ &amp; CZWTZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Marcos</td>
<td>River Ridge Pkwy</td>
<td>Construct new 4-lane divided boulevard with pedestrian/bicycle</td>
<td>Lime Kiln Rd</td>
<td>I-35</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>$73,700,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>BSRZ + new road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Marcos</td>
<td>Proposed Parkway Loop (PH-0)</td>
<td>Construct new 4-lane divided with off-street shared paths</td>
<td>La Cima Tract Boundary</td>
<td>Proposed Blvd 1</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$62,200,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>SSRZ &amp; crosses Purgatory Creek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Marcos</td>
<td>Proposed Parkway Loop (La Cima Tract)</td>
<td>Construct new 4-lane divided with off-street shared paths</td>
<td>RR 12</td>
<td>Proposed Parkway Loop</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$63,500,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>SSRZ &amp; crosses Purgatory Creek. Opens up a bunch of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Marcos</td>
<td>Proposed Parkway Loop</td>
<td>Construct new 4-lane divided with off-street shared paths</td>
<td>Yarrington Road</td>
<td>RR 12</td>
<td>2045</td>
<td>$460,000,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>BSRZ + new road thru undeveloped land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Marcos</td>
<td>Centerpoint Road extension</td>
<td>Construct new 4-lane divided with off-street shared paths</td>
<td>Proposed Blvd 1</td>
<td>FM 2439/ Hunter Road</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$62,200,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>SSRZ &amp; CZTZ. Part of SM NW Loop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** $1,091,700,000

Road Projects in the Southern Segment Edwards Aquifer (Blanco Watershed) in CAMPO 2045 Plan - Hays County
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Douglas Spaeth
Austin, TX 78736
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Douglas Spaeth
Austin, TX 78736
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Douglas Spaeth
Austin, TX 78736
EXTERNAL email: Exercise caution when opening.

Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Douglas Spaeth
Austin, TX 78736
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Douglas Spaeth
Austin, TX 78736
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
M Spears
Fischer, TX 78623
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please do not fully implement the Hays County Transportation Plan! We need to protect our water, land and way of life from road projects we don’t need, can’t afford, and would do more harm than good.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Stanovich
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Juliana Stansfield
Pflugerville, TX 78660
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Tara Steinfeld
Austin, TX 78729
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Peter and Dolores Stern
DRIFTWOOD, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Daneil Sterns
Austin, TX 78723
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Jan Stevens

JOHNSON CITY, TX 78636
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Sara Stevenson

AUSTIN, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Dear CAMPO Board,

I am writing to you to express my concern over the CAMPO 2045 plan and the proposed disastrous impacts to our environment, groundwater, and so much that we love about the Hill Country and Austin areas. Please do not go ahead with this road plan that will pave over so much of the Hill Country and cut through existing land, the Water Quality Protection Lands, that was set aside by Austin voters specifically to protect groundwater and Barton Springs. This plan will lead to incredible sprawl and threaten our groundwater, habitats, creeks, air quality, and dark skies, without adequately (or accurately) addressing transportation needs for the future. This plan uses an incorrect demographic estimate that greatly exaggerates expected population to support the decision to add new roads and so many new lanes of pavement in the Hill Country that will cost tax-payers a heavy sum. The land that overlies the Edwards and Trinity Aquifers are incredibly sensitive landscapes that require a unique and smart development plan - not more and more pavement. We can be a model for the rest of Texas and the world by creating smart solutions to transportation issues, including better public transportation, more support to telework, and thoughtful and limited road expansions.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Thank you for your time,
Audrey
Sincerely,
Audrey Stewart
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Think of less commuting, and more need for hike & bike trails, and preserving the aquifer! Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Al Stlouis
Austin, TX 78736
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Karen Stocki
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Courtney Stollon
Austin, TX 78758
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Ty Stonecipher
New Braunfels, TX 78130
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
John Stormberg
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Tamara Stroud
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Jason Sugg
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
CS Symington
Austin, TX 78733
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

James Talbot
austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single- occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
James Talon
Austin, TX 78729
Dear Mr. Johnson,

The Travis County Commissioners Court offers the following comments on the CAMPO Planning and Public Engagement process. While we understand regional planning is a very complex and difficult process especially in uncertain times; Travis County believes there are ways to make the process work more effectively to reach regional consensus on difficult decisions. Consequently, we recommend the following steps to ensure future planning decisions move forward with more efficiency and transparency, including Plan amendments that will be necessary within the next few months.

**Specific Concerns with CAMPO 2045 Plan and Public Engagement**

- The materials used in the current CAMPO 2045 Plan public engagement process include a draft 2045 Plan document that is not complete and is missing important information, making it difficult for stakeholders and the public to determine whether the Plan is an acceptable plan for the region. Critical missing or insufficient information includes:
  - modeling results,
  - the Environmental Justice Analysis and Regional Toll Analysis that are dependent on the model results,
  - a discussion of potential environmental mitigation strategies and the types of locations where they might occur, and
  - the Transportation Demand Management Policies that the TPB approved for inclusion in the 2045 Plan.
- The public engagement materials also include a survey that is confusing and an interactive map that has been updated periodically throughout the public engagement program yet continues to have errors.
- None of the public engagement materials were approved by the TAC or TPB before being released to the public.
- Additionally, jurisdictions were not consistently provided the opportunity to review and provide input on important data used in 2045 Plan development in time to incorporate that input into results.
- The lack of fiscal constraint information until project applications had been submitted and the ad hoc scoring of projects through several iterations following the initial scoring resulted in most jurisdictions simply designating their planned projects “Locally Funded” which is clearly not what is genuinely expected or realistic.

These issues point to larger issues with the planning process. Observations and suggested solutions to address these issues in the future are as follows:

1. **The Planning process is often rushed, resulting in inadequate review times, incomplete or incorrect materials, and last minute addendums and decision-making.**
• Develop and maintain detailed schedules with interim milestones for all CAMPO work products.
  o Include contingency time and adequate review times for the TPB and the TAC. We suggest one month review times for TPB and TAC members for major reviews and decision points. Share the schedules with TPB and TAC members regularly so they can anticipate and accommodate needed review periods.
  o Include review times for interim milestone products, decision-making points and underlying data, so that the TPB and TAC can provide policy and technical guidance when the work products are being developed rather than just react when they are complete. This will increase buy-in and consensus.
• Develop and consistently apply a robust Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) process to all CAMPO work. Allow adequate time to conduct QA/QC for both major and everyday work products.
• Require substantive public engagement materials be reviewed and approved by the TPB before they can be released to the public.

II. The lack of agreed-upon standardized processes inhibit efficient and effective decision-making.

Develop and maintain equitable, mutually agreed-upon standard processes, information and data that can be used to rapidly respond to opportunities and challenges.
• Standard processes should be equitable based on the varied characteristics of jurisdictions in the CAMPO area (rural, suburban, and urban) and transportation mode (roads, transit and active transportation).
• Standard information and data should be simple and readily available for all project types and projects from all funding categories. Examples include expected let dates, where the project is in the project development process, crash and other data.
• Incorporate the TAC and other specialized subcommittees into the processes to analyze and evaluate information and data, and make timely recommendations to the TPB.
• Develop standard processes for project prioritization and all major work products.
• Use the TAC and other committees more effectively. For example, the TAC Chair could sit in on the Executive Committee meetings in order to provide technical support and subsequently communicate Executive Committee directions to the TAC.

III. Improved transparency with and between regional partners, public, TAC and TPB is needed

• Ensure consistent, timely and clear information sharing with the public, regional partners, TAC and TPB regarding major decision-making points
• Provide clearer and more timely information sharing and communication with regional partners when developing CAMPO work products
• Provide regular reporting of Executive Committee actions at CAMPO TPB and TAC meetings

IV. Develop an Agreed-Upon Process for Funding UTP Deferred Projects

• Create a transparent process involving TAC in the development of criteria to guide project funding restoration. For example, deferred projects with an earlier let date should take precedence for future funding over those with a later let date.
• Investigate other funding opportunities to provide for quicker delivery of deferred projects.
  o Use of Regional infrastructure Fund
  o Reimbursement or match credit options for locally funding deferred projects

Sarah.Eckhardt@traviscountytx.gov • (512) 854-9555 • 700 Lavaca Street, Suite 2.300, Austin, TX 78701
- Investigate changes to current policy of non-tolled managed lanes
- Potential use of exchange of TDC’s for dollars to fund deferments

Sincerely,

Sarah Eckhardt  
County Judge

Jeffrey W. Travillion, Sr.  
Commissioner, Precinct 1

Brigid Shea  
Commissioner, Precinct 2

Gerald Daugherty  
Commissioner, Precinct 3

Margaret J. Gómez  
Commissioner, Precinct 4
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
ROSS TEDTER
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Stop paving over the Hill Country so that it does not turn into "Cement Country". It would ruin the whole point of wanting to live here in the Hill Country.

Sincerely,
Chana Temple
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Dear CAMPO Board,

It is clearer now more than ever that people of good conscience need to come together to rethink everything. First up, how we spend public funds. It is now 100% certain that the future should not be about building more roads. These past two months have solidified that how we lived in the recent past is not how we will ever live again. Ever. Continuing to move forward now with a plan that was finalized seven years ago would be the opposite of leadership.

Our job is to make the world a better place for the people who come after us. In no scenario does paving more of our hills at enormous taxpayer expense fulfill that duty. For a fraction of the cost, we can make the safety improvements we need for those of us who live here, for visitors, and for the newcomers who will move here wanting the same clean water, clean air, and scenic Hill Country that we do.

Specifically, please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Scale back the proposed conversion of FM 150, RR 12 and RM 1826 into 4 and 6 lane divided highways. These roads can be improved with center and side turn lanes, shoulders, and bike lanes. If lane additions are needed, they should be to the existing road, not as part of major divided highway project.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.
I look forward to hearing about the adoption of these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Christine Terrell
San Marcos, Texas

Sincerely,
Christine Terrell
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

TexansAgainstTolls asks you to please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 15

Sincerely,

Texans AgainstTolls

Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Texans Against Tolls

Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Texans AgainstTolls
Austin, TX 78731
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Bob Thaden
Austin, TX 78735
Dear CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Aly Tharp
Austin, TX 78741
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the CAMPO region, these are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan:

I oppose cutting active transportation projects such as the Walnut Creek Trail to throw a huge amount of funding at I-35. There really isn't much that can be done to I-35 that will improve the traffic situation - I don't think we should spend billions just to end up right back where we are - stuck in traffic. There is no evidence adding a couple of lanes to I-35 will make much of a difference. We should focus on mass transit, which carries many more people in smaller spaces than do personal vehicles, and active transportation, which is much cheaper than any highway project.

I also oppose the road projects over the Edwards Aquifer, such as the expansion of Escarpment Blvd through City of Austin Water Quality Land. Why are we spending money to subsidize suburban sprawl that will degrade water quality in the Edwards Aquifer? We should focus transportation dollars towards denser developments closer to the centers of the cities in the CAMPO area.

Sincerely,
Tom Thayer
Austin, TX 78736
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Thomas
Austin, TX 78757
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever-longer commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Thanx for your consideration in helping to protect this part of our fragile ecosystem which we are blessed to have. Please try to be good stewards of this delicate balance of natural processes that depend on each to work. We have filtration & underground storage of water that you could never replicate. At your bidding, we will save the water that we so desperately need in Texas. Thanx!

Sincerely,
Pam Thompson
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Art Thompson
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

I reside in the CAMPO region, and have reviewed the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I am disappointed that it appears to underwrite low-density sprawl, without good consideration and infrastructure for more sustainable, compact-city planning.

Rather than following through with the proposed plan, I urge you to invest in set-asides of exurban lands, which would help press for more efficient, dense development. I would ask that you also remove plans for the extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C to FM 150; the loop around western San Marcos; the loop around Dripping Springs; and planned expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Thanks for considering my views.

Sincerely,
David Todd
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
william tucker

Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

william tucker

austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Robert Tyler

Austin, TX 78717
It’s quite simple.

Build 45 South.

Carl Urban
Buda, Texas
78610

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Leslie Valentine
Austin, TX 78746
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving by and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Joanna Vaughn
Austin, TX 78702
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Zoila Vega-marchena
Austin, TX 78745
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Mary Veselka
Austin, TX 78745
Your transportation plan around the headwaters of the San Marcos River will destroy critical habitat. Please remove all of the bridges, loops and roads planned on the northwest side of San Marcos and southwest side of Kyle. Also, the Blanco River recharges the San Marcos River. Please remove any planned bridges over the Blanco.

Sincerely,

Kurt Waldhauser
San Marcos, Texas
I agree with the comments below, and I vote. (Not yet in Hays County, but I will be there soon.)

We must change our ways. More pavement is not an acceptable answer. We need thoughtful, innovative ways to expand that do not harm our water.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Beverly Walker
Kingsland, TX 78639
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a lifelong Austin resident I want to see our biggest asset, our city’s water and natural beauty preserved for my children. This goes beyond traffic relief in the short term and impacts the place we want Austin and the Hill Country to be for generations to come.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Lily Walker
Austin, TX 78702
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Wallace
Dripping Spring, TX 78620
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Extending 290 from RR12 to SH45 with frontage roads is poor planning! It guarantees that the corridor will become clogged with sprawl development. It will only bring much more traffic to the area and turn it into a commercial destination. This threatens the water supply, Hill Country beauty of the area, and will not solve the congestion issue.

Additionally, the entire road plan is way too aggressive and seems to be more about encouraging development in the area rather than mobility. Future development in this environmentally sensitive area needs to be avoided not subsidized!

Let’s incentivize development AWAY from the recharge and contrib. zones, ACROSS I-35, and let’s do it in a way that encourages responsible development, not single-family gated communities that waste land and overburden our roads and highways.

Additionally, I agree with all the statements below from Save Our Springs Alliance.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Hunter Warren
San Antonio, TX 78215
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

I oppose the CAMPO 2045 draft plan. Change it dramatically.

It is time to stop building new roads in the hill country. You may believe they are needed merely in response to rampant population growth, but they also promote and encourage population hill country growth. Build it, and they will come. It is not going to be long before we pump the hill country aquifers dry, pollute the water that remains, and turn the most beautiful area of Texas into an Anywhere-USA rendition of roads, parking lots, tacky convenience stores, and 3-acre ranchette developments.

It is long past time we as a society, and you as an organization, come to the realization that we need to develop more densely to reduce our impact on what is left of our nearest-to-natural environment, and spend our money on eco-wise projects, not on the same old environmentally destructive practices we have engaged in ever since the development of the automobile.

Sincerely,
Mark Warren
Austin, TX 78703
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

I grew up in central Texas, and have friends and relatives in Hays County, Llano County, Travis County and other locations; so have a personal interest in this issue.

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
A J Watson
Rancho Murieta, CA 95683
Dear Campo Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever longcommutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Nancy Weaver
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Hannah Weipert
Lafayette, LA 70503
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
kayla weirich
Hondo, TX 78861
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Susan Welborn
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please remove from our county and regional plans these unneeded and harmful projects: the extension of Jacob’s Well Road, from FM 2325 to Wayside Drive; the extension of Wayside Drive across the Blanco River; a western loop around San Marcos; an extension of FM 150 west of RR 12; a loop around Dripping Springs; and an extension of Escarpment Boulevard in Travis County all the way down to FM 150.

Please scale back the proposed conversion of FM 150, RR 12 and RM 1826 into 4 and 6 lane divided highways. Our focus should be on continuing to improve 290 vs convert FM 150 into another highway so close to 290.

Please designate all of our western Hays County roads as “Hill Country Conservation” roads, where safety, scenic beauty, and watershed protection will be the priorities, not capacity expansion.

Sincerely,
Brian Wellins
Driftwood, TX 78619
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
David Weyman
Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Kiandra Whallon
Austin, TX 78727
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Kiandra Whallon
Austin, TX 78727
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Allyson Whipple
Austin, TX 78723
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Deborah White
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Kaiba White
Austin, TX 78741
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Kaiba White
Austin, TX 78741
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Pattricia Whiteside
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Tracey Whitley
Austin, TX 78757
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. After these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse. That is not acceptable. There are more than two options - doing this or doing nothing is a false choice. Using modern design, investing in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions, creating flow and other options should be implemented. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network. Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

The draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. This project is strongly opposed by genuine community stakeholders. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.
Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Wilcox
Austin, TX 78735
As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. After these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse. That is not acceptable. There are more than two options - doing this or doing nothing is a false choice.

Using modern design, investing in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions, creating flow and other options should be implemented. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It’s the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network.

Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

The draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. This project is strongly opposed by genuine community stakeholders. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic
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demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Wilcox

Austin, TX 78735
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

We have are vacation home in Driftwood. We are locals.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

g. willis

Dallas, TX 75201
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

We have are vacation home in Driftwood. We are locals.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

g. willis

Dallas, TX 75201
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Molly Wilson

Austin, TX 78739
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Kirk Wilson  
Austin, TX 78704
Dear CAMPO board members and staff,

The Wimberley Valley Watershed Association appreciates the hard work and awesome challenge for the elected officials serving on the board and the transportation planners and population forcasters working to develop transportation priorities for one of the fastest growing metropolitan areas in the United States. The WVWA request more time from the CAMPO board and ask that you extend the public comment period and hold off on approving the current plan until the Covid19 crisis has ended and an appropriate public participation process can be allowed. WVWA offers the following brief comments and suggested changes to scale back many of the proposed new roads and unnecessary expansions in Hays County. We believe the current configuration of the CAMPO plan is not aligned with accurate population projections and desires of a majority of stakeholders in Hays County. WVWA and the citizens in Hays County deserve more time to evaluate the billion dollar road plan proposed by CAMPO.

WVWA supports expanding investment in watershed protection, green infrastructure and focusing tax payer dollars to further protect land in Western Hays County and over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge zone that will provides clean plentiful water in our aquifers and springs. The current proposed transportation expansion threaten the tremendous investment that Hays County citizens, the City of Austin, Kyle, Buda and the City of San Marcos have made in protecting critical open space lands over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone and in the sensitive watersheds in Western Hays County.

We invite the CAMPO staff and board to please review the goals and new rules recently established for the Jacob’s Well Groundwater Management Zone and Blanco Regional Recharge Zone that are in conflict with the suggested new roads in the plan. Through a multiyear stakeholder process and years of aquifer science and research by the Hays Trinity Groundwater Conservation District these two management zones were established through extensive scientific research and active involvement of Hays County Commissioner Lon Shell and regional Water Utilities, Municipalities, developers, landowners, the Wimberley Independent School District and Buissness owners that found consensus on seven key recommendations that ultimately informed the rules that established the GMZ’s.

http://haysgroundwater.com/management-zones-draft-rules

The intention of these rules are to better manage the Trinity Aquifer to insure sustainable water supply and healthy spring flows at Jacob’s Well and Pleasant Valley Springs the primary source of flow in the Blanco that contributes significant rechage to Barton Springs during times of drought. Scalling back large road expansions and new roads in this area will help discourage sprawl development that will ultimately conserve these important open spaces that are the basis of the tourism economy in Western Hays County.
• Specific issues:
  o CAMPO should consider establishing the creation of a "Hill Country Scenic" roadway designation that gives priority to safety, scenic beauty, agri-tourism, and watershed protection, and NOT capacity expansion for unsustainable sprawl development.
  o In the Wimberley Valley area, key roads to designate as Hill Country Scenic are FM150, RR12 from Dripping Springs to San Marcos, Jacobs Well Road, FM 1826, FM 2335, FM 3237 between Wimberley and Kyle and Winters Mill Parkway. These roads should not be expanded; instead, they should be protected as scenic roadways because they are integral parts of the regional charm and beauty that is essential to the tourism economy in Hays County and the Texas Hill Country.
  o We believe the tremendous allocation of funds by Hays County and the City of Austin in land conservation and resource protection will be harmed by the current CAMPO transportation vision for Hays County. The Hill Country Scenic roadway designation would save considerable funding and allow a large portion of the savings to be redirected to the I-35 corridor and make available expanded resources to purchase land for parks and open space including conservation easements in these sensitive watersheds.
  o Land conservation is the best, most cost effective, and most publicly favored "Transportation Demand Management" strategy for the Hill Country.
  o The CAMPO plan contains several large road expansions in Western Hays and through the City of Austin's water quality protection lands that should be removed from the plan.

• Problematic elements to the CAMPO plan
  o Population and employment projections for western Hays County overestimate population and job increases. The projections are a dramatic departure from historic trends.
  o These inflated population and jobs growth projections translate to excessive "demand" for new roads that is neither sensitive to public sentiment to limit growth and keep Western Hays rural nor acknowledges the limited and environmentally sensitive water resources of the Edwards and Trinity Aquifers.
  o CAMPO should remove the following roads from the plan the new segment of Jacob’s Well Road to Wayside Drive, remove the San Marcos and Dripping Springs Loops and the expansion of FM 150 and Enscarpment Road over the City of Austin’s Water Quality Protection lands. Reinstate the Lone Star Rail into the CAMPO plan.

The draft plan also fails to even mention climate change or potential strategies to mitigate the environmental impact of all these roads. The WVWA respectfully request more time to fully evaluate and engage with the Hays County Commissioners and stakeholders to recommend specific modifications to the current plan that are in alignment with the natural resource restraints and desired conservation development strategies and land, water, transportation vision currently being developed by Hays County Commissioners.

Sincerely,

David Baker
Executive Director
Wimberley Valley Watershed Association
Dear CAMPO board members and staff,

The Wimberley Valley Watershed Association appreciates the hard work and awesome challenge for the elected officials serving on the board and the transportation planners and population forcasters working to develop transportation priorities for one of the fastest growing metropolitan areas in the United States.

The WVWA request more time from the CAMPO board and ask that you extend the public comment period and hold off on approving the current plan until the Covid19 crisis has ended and an appropriate public participation process can be allowed. WVWA offers the following brief comments and suggested changes to scale back many of the proposed new roads and unnecessary expansions in Hays County. We believe the current configuration of the CAMPO plan is not aligned with accurate population projections and desires of a majority of stakeholders in Hays County. WVWA and the citizens in Hays County deserve more time to evaluate the billion dollar road plan proposed by CAMPO.

WVWA supports expanding investment in watershed protection, green infrastructure and focusing tax payer dollars to further protect land in Western Hays County and over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge zone that will provides clean plentiful water in our aquifers and springs. The current proposed transportation expansion threaten the tremendous investment that Hays County citizens, the City of Austin, Kyle, Buda and the City of San Marcos have made in protecting critical open space lands over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone and in the sensitive watersheds in Western Hays County.

We invite the CAMPO staff and board to please review the goals and new rules recently established for the Jacob’s Well Groundwater Management Zone and Blanco Regional Recharge Zone that are in conflict with the suggested new roads in the plan. Through a multiyear stakeholder process and years of aquifer science and research by the Hays Trinity Groundwater Conservation District these two management zones were established through extensive scientific research and active involvement of Hays County Commissioner Lon Shell and regional Water Utilities, Municipalities, developers, landowners, the Wimberley Independent School District and Business owners that found consensus on seven key recommendations that ultimately informed the rules that established the GMZ’s.

The intention of these rules are to better manage the Trinity Aquifer to insure sustainable water supply and healthy spring flows at Jacob’s Well and Pleasant Valley Springs the primary source of flow in the Blanco that contributes significant recharge to Barton Springs during times of drought. Scaling back large road expansions and new roads in this area will help discourage sprawl development that will ultimately conserve these important open spaces that are the basis of the tourism economy in Western Hays County.
• Specific issues:
  o CAMPO should consider establishing the creation of a "Hill Country Scenic" roadway designation that gives priority to safety, scenic beauty, agri-tourism, and watershed protection, and NOT capacity expansion for unsustainable sprawl development.
  o In the Wimberley Valley area, key roads to designate as Hill Country Scenic are FM150, RR12 from Dripping Springs to San Marcos, Jacobs Well Road, FM 1826, FM 2335, FM 3237 between Wimberley and Kyle and Winters Mill Parkway. These roads should not be expanded; instead, they should be protected as scenic roadways because they are integral parts of the regional charm and beauty that is essential to the tourism economy in Hays County and the Texas Hill Country.
  o We believe the tremendous allocation of funds by Hays County and the City of Austin in land conservation and resource protection will be harmed by the current CAMPO transportation vision for Hays County. The Hill Country Scenic roadway designation would save considerable funding and allow a large portion of the savings to be redirected to the I-35 corridor and make available expanded resources to purchase land for parks and open space including conservation easements in these sensitive watersheds.
  o Land conservation is the best, most cost effective, and most publicly favored "Transportation Demand Management" strategy for the Hill Country.
  o The CAMPO plan contains several large road expansions in Western Hays and through the City of Austin's water quality protection lands that should be removed from the plan.

• Problematic elements to the CAMPO plan
  o Population and employment projections for western Hays County overestimate population and job increases. The projections are a dramatic departure from historic trends.
  o These inflated population and jobs growth projections translate to excessive "demand" for new roads that is neither sensitive to public sentiment to limit growth and keep Western Hays rural nor acknowledges the limited and environmentally sensitive water resources of the Edwards and Trinity Aquifers.
  o CAMPO should remove the following roads from the plan the new segment of Jacob’s Well Road to Wayside Drive, remove the San Marcos and Dripping Springs Loops and the expansion of FM 150 and Enscarpment Road over the City of Austin’s Water Quality Protection lands. Reinstate the Lone Star Rail into the CAMPO plan.
  o Remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Boulevard from SH 45 SW to FM 150 (aka, the “Rutherford Ranch Bypass”; NF 13);
  o Remove the proposed extension/realignment of Jacob’s Well Road (NF 25);
  o Remove the proposed expansion of FM 150 to US 290, from RM 12 to US 290 West;
  o Remove the proposed new loop around the northern side of Dripping Springs (NF 2; NF 10; NF 18);
  o Reduce the proposed expansion of RM 1826 to six lanes to no more than four lanes, and, where appropriate to two lanes with center and side turn lanes;
  o Reduce the proposed expansion of FM 3237 to 2 lane divided with median and shoulders to appropriate safety improvements to the existing 2 lane road;
  o Reduce the proposed expansion of FM 2325 to 2 lane divided with median and shoulders to appropriate safety improvements to the existing 2 lane road;
  o Reduce the proposed expansion of Fitzhugh Road to 4 lanes to making safety improvements to the existing 2 lane roadway (from RM 12 to the Travis County line);
- Reduce the proposed expansion of FM 150 to a 4-lane divided highway be reduced to a 2-lane roadway with appropriate center and side turn lanes, and with appropriate safety design specifications;
- Reduce the proposed expansion of Hamilton Pool Road west of the Travis County line 2 lane divided with median and bike lanes and sidewalks to improvements to the existing 2 lane road with bike lanes and compatible with Hamilton Pool Road improvements in Travis County; and
- Remove that portion of the proposed Kyle Loop between RM 1626 and FM 150;

The draft plan also fails to even mention climate change or potential strategies to mitigate the environmental impact of all these roads. The WVWA respectfully request more time to fully evaluate and engage with the Hays County Commissioners and stakeholders to recommend specific modifications to the current plan that are in alignment with the natural resource restraints and desired conservation development strategies and land, water, transportation vision currently being developed by Hays County Commissioners.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

David Baker
Executive Director
Wimberley Valley Watershed Association
Dear CAMPO board members and staff,

The Wimberley Valley Watershed Association appreciates the hard work and awesome challenge for the elected officials serving on the board and the transportation planners and population forcasters working to develop transportation priorities for one of the fastest growing metropolitan areas in the United States.

The WVWA request more time from the CAMPO board and ask that you extend the public comment period and hold off on approving the current plan until the Covid19 crisis has ended and an appropriate public participation process can be allowed. WVWA offers the following brief comments and suggested changes to scale back many of the proposed new roads and unnecessary expansions in Hays County. We believe the current configuration of the CAMPO plan is not aligned with accurate population projections and desires of a majority of stakeholders in Hays County. WVWA and the citizens in Hays County deserve more time to evaluate the billion dollar road plan proposed by CAMPO.

WVWA supports expanding investment in watershed protection, green infrastructure and focusing tax payer dollars to further protect land in Western Hays County and over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge zone that will provides clean plentiful water in our aquifers and springs. The current proposed transportation expansion threaten the tremendous investment that Hays County citizens, the City of Austin, Kyle, Buda and the City of San Marcos have made in protecting critical open space lands over the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone and in the sensitive watersheds in Western Hays County.

We invite the CAMPO staff and board to please review the goals and new rules recently established for the Jacob’s Well Groundwater Management Zone and Blanco Regional Recharge Zone that are in conflict with the suggested new roads in the plan. Through a multiyear stakeholder process and years of aquifer science and research by the Hays Trinity Groundwater Conservation District these two management zones were established through extensive scientific research and active involvement of Hays County Commissioner Lon Shell and regional Water Utilities, Municipalities, developers, landowners, the Wimberley Independent School District and Buisness owners that found consensus on seven key recommendations that ultimately informed the rules that established the GMZ’s.  
http://haysgroundwater.com/management-zones-draft-rules

The intention of these rules are to better manage the Trinity Aquifer to insure sustainable water supply and healthy spring flows at Jacob’s Well and Pleasant Valley Springs the primary source of flow in the Blanco that contributes significant recharge to Barton Springs during times of drought. Scalling back large road expansions and new roads in this area will help discourage sprawl development that will ultimately conserve these important open spaces that are the basis of the tourism economy in Western Hays County.
Specific issues:
- CAMPO should consider establishing the creation of a "Hill Country Scenic" roadway designation that gives priority to safety, scenic beauty, agri-tourism, and watershed protection, and NOT capacity expansion for unsustainable sprawl development.
- In the Wimberley Valley area, key roads to designate as Hill Country Scenic are FM150, RR12 from Dripping Springs to San Marcos, Jacobs Well Road, FM 1826, FM 2335, FM 3237 between Wimberley and Kyle and Winters Mill Parkway. These roads should not be expanded; instead, they should be protected as scenic roadways because they are integral parts of the regional charm and beauty that is essential to the tourism economy in Hays County and the Texas Hill Country.
- We believe the tremendous allocation of funds by Hays County and the City of Austin in land conservation and resource protection will be harmed by the current CAMPO transportation vision for Hays County. The Hill Country Scenic roadway designation would save considerable funding and allow a large portion of the savings to be redirected to the 1-35 corridor and make available expanded resources to purchase land for parks and open space including conservation easements in these sensitive watersheds.
- Land conservation is the best, most cost effective, and most publicly favored "Transportation Demand Management" strategy for the Hill Country.
- The CAMPO plan contains several large road expansions in Western Hays and through the City of Austin's water quality protection lands that should be removed from the plan.

Problematic elements to the CAMPO plan
- Population and employment projections for western Hays County overestimate population and job increases. The projections are a dramatic departure from historic trends.
- These inflated population and jobs growth projections translate to excessive "demand" for new roads that is neither sensitive to public sentiment to limit growth and keep Western Hays rural nor acknowledges the limited and environmentally sensitive water resources of the Edwards and Trinity Aquifers.
- CAMPO should remove the following roads from the plan the new segment of Jacob’s Well Road to Wayside Drive, remove the San Marcos and Dripping Springs Loops and the expansion of FM 150 and Enscarpment Road over the City of Austin’s Water Quality Protection lands. Reinstate the Lone Star Rail into the CAMPO plan.

The draft plan also fails to even mention climate change or potential strategies to mitigate the environmental impact of all these roads. The WVWA respectfully request more time to fully evaluate and engage with the Hays County Commissioners and stakeholders to recommend specific modifications to the current plan that are in alignment with the natural resource restraints and desired conservation development strategies and land, water, transportation vision currently being developed by Hays County Commissioners.

Sincerely,

DAVID BAKER
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Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Brad Wimberly
Austin, TX 78749
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,

Jazen Wood

Wimberley, TX 78676
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Sabra Woodward
New Braunfels, TX 78130
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,

Trey Wright
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Yax
San Marcos, TX 78666
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

As a resident and voter within the six-county CAMPO region, below are my comments on the draft 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan. I respectfully request that you take the following actions:

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. A complete draft of the 2045 Plan should be posted for public review and comment for a minimum of 60 days. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The public has had no opportunity to comment on these missing parts. Once complete, there should be at least one public hearing set no sooner than 30 days later for public comment.

Take a Smarter Approach towards Addressing Traffic. The plan calls for spending over $40 billion over the next 25 years, predominantly on building and expanding highways. Yet, even after these expansions, CAMPO staff predicts that traffic will only get worse—just not as bad as “doing nothing.” That is a painfully low measure of success. Of course, we are not going to do nothing. Expanding roads does not solve traffic problems; we must instead invest in active transportation and traffic demand management solutions. Let’s plan for success, not failure.

Protect Groundwater. The plan fails to protect our most critical and vulnerable water supplies, the Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes. The Edwards Aquifer is recognized as more vulnerable to pollution than any other groundwater system in Texas. It's the primary source of drinking water for San Marcos, Kyle, Buda, Hays County and southern Travis County.

The draft plan calls proposes over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Hays County, Travis County, and Austin voters have approved hundreds of millions of dollars to protect Edwards Aquifer watershed lands. Yet, the draft plan predicts these lands will be covered with unmanaged suburban sprawl, requiring a vastly expanded highway network. Taxpayers could save billions by CAMPO removing or scaling back many of these highway projects. Roadways within Edwards Aquifer and Highland Lakes watersheds should prioritize safety, water protection, and scenic beauty rather than major capacity expansion.

For example, the draft plan calls for extending Escarpment Blvd. from SH 45 SW down to FM 150 in Hays County. This 8-mile project is located on the Edwards Aquifer recharge and crosses several City of Austin watershed protection lands—severely damaging the City’s investments in water quality protection, while costing taxpayers an estimated $25 million for an unnecessary roadway. Please redirect this funding to protect more land in western Hays County, which can reduce future traffic demands and the need for more roads.

Expanding conservation easements and protected lands in the Edwards Aquifer watershed should be the preferred “Transportation Demand Management” strategy in western Hays.
County and southwest Travis County.

Sincerely,

HEIDI YOHE

Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Joe Zakes
Austin, TX 78749
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please consider and take appropriate action on these comments and requests on the CAMPO 2045 draft long-range transportation plan. I reside in the CAMPO region.

Extend the April 20th Public Comment Deadline. The current “Partial, Working Draft” of the $42 billion 25-year transportation plan posted for public comment is incomplete. The comment period should be extended at least 60 days after a complete draft is posted for public review and comment. The pandemic means there is no rush to meet an artificial deadline. It also means revenue and traffic demand projections should be revised, and the rapid gains in telecommuting triggered by the coronavirus pandemic should be a major part in planning for a safer, more affordable, and more sustainable transportation future for our region.

Reduce Traffic, Don't Increase It: While proposing to spend over $40 billion over the next 25 years, the draft CAMPO plan predicts traffic will only get worse. That's because the bulk of the spending is aimed at building new and expanding existing roads that assume and encourage people to drive ever long commutes to their place of employment. This is backwards. We should be planning for (and assuming) that people will drive less on a per capita basis in the future. We will live closer to where we work, not farther away. We will telecommute more. We want to protect our air, land, and water, not consume more land, pave it over, and pollute our air and water with even more single-occupancy vehicle, longer distance commuting.

Protect the Edwards Aquifer: The draft CAMPO plan proposes spending over $4.2 billion to expand and build highways over and upstream of the Edwards Aquifer in western Hays County and southwest Travis County. Rather than expand highway capacity in this region, we should spend a fraction of the proposed $4.2 billion to buy more watershed protection lands, and spend another fraction making roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed safer and more scenic.

Specifically, CAMPO should remove the proposed extension of Escarpment Blvd. from Circle C down to FM 150 in Hays County; remove the proposed new loop around western San Marcos; remove the proposed new loop around Dripping Springs; and scale back proposed major expansions to South Mopac, the Oak Hill Y, 1826, RM 12, and FM 150.

Sincerely,
Jody Zemel
Austin, TX 78704
Dear Campo Rep CAMPO Rep,

Please amend the CAMPO 2045 draft plan to:

Remove all proposed new roads in the Edwards Aquifer watershed in southwest Travis and western Hays counties, including the proposed extension of Escarpment from Circle C to FM 150; the proposed new loop roads around Dripping Springs and the loops around the west side of San Marcos and Kyle; and the proposed extension of Jacob’s Well Road.

Redesign the proposed Oak Hill Y mix-master to a scaled back park way as proposed by the Fix 290 Coalition.

Remove the proposed double decker bridge over Lady Bird Lake and Zilker Park and the addition of 4 toll lanes on South Mopac, from Cesar Chavez to Slaughter Lane. Instead, re-stripe the inside lanes for rush hour high-occupancy vehicle use, and commit half of the savings to actions that reduce, rather than increase, driving.

Designate the existing 2 and 3 lane highways in western Hays County as “Hill Country Conservation” roads with improvements for safety, watershed protection, and scenic beauty (and not for adding lanes and expanding capacity).

Establish Transportation Demand Strategies that reduce driving and greenhouse gas emissions, along with safety and deferred maintenance, as the top plan priorities.

Thank you for your serious consideration and willingness to stand up for what we all know and love as the Texas Hill Country beauty.

Sincerely,

Cecilia Zuniga

Houston, TX 77065